# Cognitive distortions in an acutely traumatized sample: an investigation of predictive power and neural correlates

# J. K. Daniels<sup>1,2</sup>, K. Hegadoren<sup>3</sup>, N. J. Coupland<sup>4</sup>, B. H. Rowe<sup>5</sup>, R. W. J. Neufeld<sup>6</sup> and R. A. Lanius<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Division of Mind and Brain Research, Universitaetsklinik Charité, Berlin, Germany

<sup>8</sup> Faculty of Nursing, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychiatry, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>5</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine and School of Public Health, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>6</sup> Department of Psychology, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

**Background.** Current theories of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) place considerable emphasis on the role cognitive distortions such as self-blame, hopelessness or preoccupation with danger play in the etiology and maintenance of the disorder. Previous studies have shown that cognitive distortions in the early aftermath of traumatic events can predict future PTSD severity but, to date, no studies have investigated the neural correlates of this association.

**Method.** We conducted a prospective study with 106 acutely traumatized subjects, assessing symptom severity at three time points within the first 3 months post-trauma. A subsample of 20 subjects additionally underwent a functional 4-T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan at 2 to 4 months post-trauma.

**Results.** Cognitive distortions proved to be a significant predictor of concurrent symptom severity in addition to diagnostic status, but did not predict future symptom severity or diagnostic status over and above the initial symptom severity. Cognitive distortions were correlated with blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal strength in brain regions previously implicated in visual processing, imagery and autobiographic memory recall. Intrusion characteristics accounted for most of these correlations.

**Conclusions.** This investigation revealed significant predictive value of cognitive distortions concerning concurrent PTSD severity and also established a significant relationship between cognitive distortions and neural activations during trauma recall in an acutely traumatized sample. These data indicate a direct link between the extent of cognitive distortions and the intrusive nature of trauma memories.

Received 4 May 2010; Revised 28 January 2010; Accepted 28 January 2011; First published online 4 March 2011

Key words: Acute trauma, cognitive distortion, fMRI, post-traumatic cognition, post-traumatic stress disorder, scriptdriven imagery.

# Introduction

Current theories of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) place considerable emphasis on the role cognitive distortions such as self-blame, hopelessness or preoccupation with danger play in the etiology and maintenance of the disorder. Some authors have implicated mainly specific thoughts about the dangerousness of the world and one's perceived weaknesses (Foa & Rothbaum, 1998; McCann & Pearlman, 1990; Resick & Schnicke, 1992) whereas others have focused

(Email: Ruth.Lanius@LHSC.ON.CA)

on negative appraisals of the traumatic event itself in addition to one's own reactions during the event (Ehlers & Clark, 2000). Although these models highlight somewhat different cognitive factors influencing post-traumatic functioning, each model emphasizes the salient role of maladaptive cognitions as a maintenance factor in PTSD. A series of studies has shown that individuals who meet criteria for current PTSD have significantly more negative beliefs about the world and themselves compared to healthy traumatized and non-traumatized individuals, and that such cognitive distortions can predict concurrent and future PTSD symptom severity over and above symptoms of acute stress disorder (ASD), depression or anxiety (Ehlers et al. 1998; Foa et al. 1999; Dunmore et al. 2001; Beck et al. 2004; Kleim et al. 2007; Moser

<sup>\*</sup> Address for correspondence : R. A. Lanius, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Psychiatry, The University of Western Ontario, 339 Windermere Road, University Hospital, London, Ontario N6A 2A2, Canada.

*et al.* 2007; Ehring *et al.* 2008; Karl *et al.* 2009). Furthermore, therapy-induced reductions in negative cognitions have repeatedly been shown to be associated with reductions in PTSD symptom severity (Foa & Rauch, 2004; Ehlers *et al.* 2005; Karl *et al.* 2009), underscoring the influence of cognitive distortions on symptom maintenance.

In the acute aftermath of a traumatic event it is imperative to identify early markers for pathogenesis so as to determine who is at risk and should thus be offered close monitoring or early interventions. Equally, identification of protective factors exhibited in resilient individuals would inform neurobiological models of PTSD and could be of use in the development of early interventions. Previous studies have shown that cognitive distortions in the early aftermath of man-made and non-man-made traumatic events can predict future PTSD severity over and above early symptomatology (Kleim et al. 2007; O'Donnell et al. 2007), but very little is known about causal processes underlying this trajectory. To our knowledge, no studies have investigated the neural underpinnings of the association between cognitive distortions and symptom severity in acutely traumatized samples. Patients with ASD typically show a significant autonomic response when confronted with trauma cues that can predict PTSD development over and above symptom severity (Ehlers et al. 2010) and has been linked to amygdala activation (Lanius et al. 2003). However, Elsesser et al. (2009) reported that cognitive distortions and autonomic reactivity were only loosely related in a sample with ASD, which raises the question which neurobiological processes mediate the relationship between cognitive distortions and PTSD development. We therefore conducted a combined questionnaire and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study in which we applied the well-established traumatic script-driven imagery paradigm to investigate the neural activations during trauma recall. As the hyperarousal reaction assessed by Elsesser *et al.* (2009) did not account for the correlation between cognitive distortions and symptom severity, we hypothesized that the severity of cognitive distortions would be directly related to activation in brain areas previously implicated in intrusive trauma recall and emotion regulation. In turn, such activation differences were hypothesized to correlate significantly with symptom severity scores at 12 weeks post-trauma.

## Method

# Participants and procedure

This study included 106 subjects (mean age =  $37.7 \pm 14.0$  years; females n = 71) who presented to the Emergency Department at the London Health Sciences

Centre in London, Ontario or to the Department of Emergency Medicine at the University of Alberta in Edmonton, after they had been involved in a motor vehicle accident (n=94), workplace accident (n=6), physical assault (n=2), or another traumatic event (n=4). Of the current sample, 8.5% (n=9) left high school before completing grade 12, 18.9% (n=20) reported holding a high school diploma, 24.5% (n=26) attained some university or college education, another 36.8% (n=39) finished this with a degree, an additional 8.5% (n=9) with a graduate degree.

All subjects met DSM-IV criteria A for PTSD. Subjects were assessed three times within the first 3 months post-trauma (t1 n=84, t2 n=96, t3 n=77). A subsample of 20 subjects (age =  $36.4 \pm 12.5$  years, females n = 17, motor vehicle accident n = 19, physical assault n = 1) underwent an fMRI scan at 2 to 4 months post-trauma. Exclusion criteria for all participants were: (i) significant head injury, (ii) history of neurological disorders, (iii) lifetime history of bipolar disorder or schizophrenia, and (iv) concurrent psychiatric illness. For the MRI sample, lifetime psychiatric history and psychotropic or steroid medication were also excluded. The study was approved by the research ethics board at the University of Western Ontario and the University of Alberta and informed written consent was obtained from all patients.

## Measures

The Acute Stress Disorder Scale (ASDS; Bryant et al. 2000;  $\alpha = 0.945$ ) is a 19-item questionnaire that measures the severity of ASD symptoms on a five-point Likert scale (1 = not at all, 5 = very much). We applied a cut-off score of 56 to establish diagnostic status 1 to 2 weeks post-trauma (Bryant et al. 2000). The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS; Blake et al. 1995;  $\alpha = 0.952$ ) is a semi-structured clinical interview that assesses post-traumatic symptoms as defined in the current DSM-IV. Severity scores were calculated as the sum of frequency and intensity ratings across all DSM-IV symptoms of PTSD and diagnostic status was coded as CAPS <50 indicating subclinical and CAPS >50 indicating clinically significant symptoms. The Cognitive Distortions Scales (CDS; Briere, 2000;  $\alpha = 0.974$ ) is a 40-item questionnaire assessing five types of cognitive distortions with a five-point Likert scale (1 = never to 5 = very often in the past month). As all five subscales have been shown to be predictive of PTSD symptom severity, we used a total score in all analyses presented in this article. The Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire - Short Form (MASQ; Watson & Clark, 1991) is a 62-item measure assessing symptoms that commonly occur in the mood and anxiety disorders on a five-point Likert scale



Fig. 1. Neural correlates of cognitive distortions. Brain activations during trauma *versus* neutral script in the left putamen, precentral and postcentral gyri, and medial globus pallidus correlated positively with Cognitive Distortions Scales (CDS) total scores (n = 20).

(1 = not at all, 5 = extremely). The Responses to Script-Driven Imagery Scale (RSDI; Hopper *et al.* 2007) is an 11-item self-report measure of state PTSD and dissociative symptoms evoked by script-driven imagery using a seven-point Likert scale (0=not at all, 6=a great deal). The re-experiencing subscale consists of four items specifically assessing intrusion characteristics.

## Statistics

Group statistics were calculated as Pearson correlations and two-sample *t* tests. Correlation coefficients were compared using usignificance (http://peaks. informatik.uni-erlangen.de/cgi-bin/usignificance.cgi). A stepwise linear regression analysis was used to predict concurrent and future PTSD symptom severity as a function of trauma-related cognitions after accounting for gender, ASD symptoms as measured with the ASDS, and general distress, anxiety and depression as measured with MASQ. In addition, we performed a stepwise binary, logistic regression analysis with Wald  $\chi^2$  statistics to predict diagnostic status. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., USA).

## Functional imaging paradigm

We used a well-established script-driven symptom provocation paradigm (Lanius *et al.* 2001). Prerecorded 30-s scripts were read to the participant. Participants were asked to focus on the script and imagine all the feelings and sensations associated with the memory while listening to the script and also for 30 s after the script ends. Participants heard three neutral scripts followed by three traumatic scripts. Baseline brain activation was calculated on the basis of the average activation patterns 60 s before each recollection of the traumatic event, brain activation during the recall on the basis of the average activation patterns during the final 30 s of recall. MRI scans were performed on a 4-T whole-body Varian/Siemens imaging system (USA). Each functional brain volume was acquired by using a navigator echo-corrected, interleaved multi-shot (four shots), echo-planar imaging (EPI) pulse sequence with a  $128 \times 128$  matrix size and a total volume acquisition time of 5 s [echo time (TE) = 15 ms, flip angle =  $45^{\circ}$ , field of view = 24.0 cm]. The volume acquired covered the whole brain and consisted of 12 transverse slices, 6 mm thick (voxel size =  $1.87 \times 1.87 \times 6$  mm). Image processing was performed with SPM2 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/ spm/software/spm2/). Volumes were realigned to the first volume of the series and parameters for normalization were determined from the mean functional image. Realigned functional images were spatially normalized to an EPI template and spatially smoothed with an 8-mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel.

## Statistical mapping

For each subject a contrast of trauma script greater than neutral script was created. These contrasts were entered into a second-level analysis and correlations between subjects' CDS total score and the blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) response were examined. To our knowledge, there are no published empirical investigations into the neural correlates of cognitive distortions in acutely traumatized subjects; thus, we conducted an undirected whole-brain search with analyses thresholded at k = 10 and p = 0.001. In a second step, activation sites were corrected for small volume using a 10-mm sphere. A covariation analysis controlling for RSDI re-experiencing scores was calculated. Finally, we used the MarsBaR software (http:// sourceforge.net/projects/marsbar/) to extract percent change (trauma script > neutral script) in the region showing the strongest correlation with CDS scores (precuneus, 10-mm sphere centered at 20, -90, 22).

## Results

## Descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations

Descriptive statistics for all scales are listed in Table 1. Twenty-nine (34.5%) of the 84 subjects assessed with

## 2152 J. K. Daniels et al.

Table	1.	Descriptive	statistics
-------	----	-------------	------------

		Total	sample	MRI	MRI subsample	
Time since trauma	Scale	п	Mean±s.d.	n	Mean±s.d.	p
1–2 weeks	ASDS	84	$46.80 \pm 19.41$	14	$52.57 \pm 18.77$	0.226
5–6 weeks	CAPS	96	$32.49 \pm 26.31$	17	$33.71 \pm 21.73$	0.835
	CDS Total Score	103	$72.15 \pm 28.53$	17	$68.00 \pm 21.78$	0.515
	MASQ-General Distress Anxious	100	$20.76 \pm 8.04$	16	$21.56 \pm 8.89$	0.665
	MASQ-Anxious Arousal	101	$24.64 \pm 8.67$	16	$23.94 \pm 6.36$	0.724
	MASQ-General Distress Depressive	99	$22.24 \pm 9.44$	16	$25.13 \pm 12.15$	0.184
	MASQ-Anhedonic Depression	100	$62.59 \pm 14.48$	16	$62.56 \pm 13.60$	0.993
3 months	CAPS	77	$20.88 \pm 21.40$	14	$23.07 \pm 19.33$	0.675

MRI, Magnetic resonance imaging; ASDS, Acute Stress Disorder Scale; CAPS, Clinician-Administered Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Scale; CDS, Cognitive Distortions Scales; MASQ, Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire – Short Form; s.D., standard deviation.

# Table 2. Zero-order correlations

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 MASQ-Anhedonic Depression
 1 ASDS	0 488	0 578	0 478	0 579	0 536	0 431	0 344
2. CAPS at 5–6 weeks	0.100	0.680	0.528	0.472	0.419	0.355	0.396
3. CAPS at 3 months			0.482	0.457	0.487	0.359	0.393
4. CDS Total Score				0.713	0.625	0.715	0.614
5. MASQ-General Distress Anxious					0.751	0.790	0.578
6. MASQ-Anxious Arousal						0.550	0.463
7. MASQ-General Distress Depressive							0.623

ASDS, Acute Stress Disorder Scale; CAPS, Clinician-Administered Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Scale; CDS, Cognitive Distortions Scales; MASQ, Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire – Short Form. All values significant at p = 0.001.

the ASD 1-2 weeks post-trauma met the diagnostic cut-off for ASD. At 5 to 6 weeks post-trauma, 34 (32.1%) of the 96 subjects who underwent the CAPS interview were diagnosed with PTSD. At 3 months post-trauma, 77 subjects were available for interviewing with the CAPS, 11 (14.3%) of whom met diagnostic criteria for PTSD. The mean CDS subscale scores for this sample fell below the diagnostic cut-off, but are considerably higher those published for trauma-exposed subjects in the general population (self-criticism 15.1 v. 11.9; self-blame 13.9 v. 11.1; helplessness 15.1 v. 11.1; hopelessness 13.5 v. 12.1; preoccupation with danger 14.5 v. 12.5, respectively). According to the published gender-specific norms, 34 subjects (32.07%, 24 females) scored in the clinical range on at least one, and nine subjects (8.49%, seven females) scored on all five subscales. The subgroup undergoing fMRI scanning did not differ significantly from the remaining sample in terms of psychopathology (see Table 1) and was assessed on the day of the scan for cognitive distortions as measured with the CDS (mean total score =  $64.3 \pm 20.29$ ) and also for reactions to the script-driven imagery with the RSDI re-experiencing subscale (mean =  $1.93 \pm 1.06$ ). These two scales correlated with r = 0.437 non-significantly at p = 0.054.

Consistent with previous studies on the relationship between PTSD and negative cognitions, the CDS total score was significantly related to PTSD symptom severity at 1 to 2 weeks (r=0.478), 5 to 6 weeks (r=0.528), and 3 months (r=0.482) post-trauma (see Table 2). However, the CDS total score correlated significantly more closely with the MASQ subscales assessing general distress at 5–6 weeks post-trauma (r=0.713, |u|=2.107, p<0.05 and r=0.715; |u|=2.141, p<0.05) than with PTSD symptom severity at all three time points. PTSD symptom severity itself was also at both time points positively related to depression

Regressand	Model	F	Corr. R <sup>2</sup>	Predictor variables	Non-standardized coefficient <i>B</i>	Standardized coefficient $\beta$	Т	р
Concurrent CAPS scores	1	24.86**	0.241	ASDS	0.648	0.501	40.99	0.000
(n = 81)	2	15.54**	0.279	ASDS	0.480	0.371	30.25	0.002
				CDS	0.247	0.253	20.21	0.030
Future CAPS scores $(n=65)$	1	29.05**	0.315	ASDS	0.614	0.571	50.39	0.000

#### **Table 3.** Linear regression analyses

CAPS, Clinician-Administered Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Scale; ASDS, Acute Stress Disorder Scale; CDS, Cognitive Distortions Scales.

\*\* Significant at p = 0.001.

Table 4. Binary, logistic regression analyses

Regressand	$\chi^2$	Nagelkerke's R²	Predictor variables	Non-standardized coefficient <i>B</i>	Wald	р
Concurrent PTSD ( $n = 81$ )	17.13*	0.241	CDS	0.043	12.28	0.000
Future PTSD ( $n = 62$ )	11.74*	0.322	ASDS	0.071	8.59	0.003

PTSD, Post-traumatic stress disorder; CDS, Cognitive Distortions Scales; ASDS, Acute Stress Disorder Scale.

PTSD diagnostic status defined as Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) score <50 or >50.

\* Significant at p = 0.001.

(r=0.396 and r=0.393), anxiety (r=0.419 and r=0.487), and general distress (r=0.355 to r=0.472) as measured by the MASQ.

## **Regression analysis**

Model estimation for the prediction of PTSD symptom severity at 5 to 6 weeks post-trauma stopped after the second iteration. Educational background was not identified as a significant predictor. The final model only contained ASD symptoms and cognitive distortions as significant predictors (see Table 3). Model estimation for the prediction of future PTSD symptom severity as assessed 3 months post-trauma stopped after the first iteration, identifying ASD as the solely significant predictor.

When predicting PTSD diagnostic status instead of symptom severity, concurrent PTSD was best predicted by cognitive distortions (see Table 4). However, when predicting future PTSD diagnostic status as assessed 3 months post-trauma, ASD emerged as the only significant predictor.

## fMRI results

The CDS total score was significantly correlated with BOLD signal intensity during trauma recall in several brain areas previously implicated in imagery, autobiographic memory recall, and motor preparation (see Table 5). The strongest correlations emerged with a cluster in the visual cortex and multiple sites in the primary and secondary somatosensory cortex [Brodmann area (BA) 4, 6, 40, 44] and also in the basal ganglia (i.e. dorsal striatum, globus pallidus and substantia nigra) (see Fig. 1). Finally, significant correlations could be established with areas in the inferior and middle occipital gyri (BA 18, 19) and also the superior temporal gyrus (BA 41).

After controlling for RSDI re-experiencing scores, only three small brain areas remained significantly correlated with the CDS total score: the cuneus (BA 18), the postcentral gyrus (BA 40) and the putamen.

Percent change scores in the precuneus (mean = 0.0825, s.d. = 0.6558) correlated with r = 0.542 (p = 0.014) with CDS scores. In a subgroup of 12 subjects available for assessment at t3, percent change scores in the precuneus did not correlate significantly with CAPS scores at 12 weeks post-trauma (data not shown).

# Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the relationship between cognitive distortions, PTSD symptom severity and brain activation during trauma recall in an acutely traumatized sample. Consistent with previous investigations, the present study demonstrated a positive relationship between cognitive

Positive correlations         Right cuneus, BA 18           22, -90, 22         3.53         99         Right cuneus, BA 18           -30, -16, 6         3.49         236         Left putamen           -56, -4, 18         3.42         90         Left precentral gyrus, BA 4           -54, 2, 16         3.29         38         Left precentral gyrus, BA 6           -50, 10, 12         3.11         Left precentral gyrus, BA 44           -52, -26, 12         3.33         49         Left transverse temporal gyrus, BA 40           -58, -24, 18         3.17         Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40           -6, -16, -16         3.29         14         Left substantia nigra           20, -82, -4         3.27         22         Right lingual gyrus, BA 17           44, -82, -4         3.26         16         Right middle occipital gyrus, B           40, -84, -4         3.26         16         Right middle occipital gyrus, B           42, -34, 8         3.25         30         Right superior temporal gyrus, Left medial globus pallidus           Positive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores         Left medial globus pallidus	MNI coordinates	z score	Cluster size	Brain region
22, -90, 22 $3.53$ $99$ Right cuneus, BA 18 $-30, -16, 6$ $3.49$ $236$ Left putamen $-56, -4, 18$ $3.42$ $90$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 4 $-54, 2, 16$ $3.29$ $38$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 6 $-50, 10, 12$ $3.11$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 44 $-52, -26, 12$ $3.33$ $49$ Left transverse temporal gyrus, BA 40 $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40 $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ $14$ Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, C $42, -34, 8$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	Positive correlations			
-30, -16, 6 $3.49$ $236$ Left putamen $-56, -4, 18$ $3.42$ $90$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 4 $-54, 2, 16$ $3.29$ $38$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 6 $-50, 10, 12$ $3.11$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 44 $-52, -26, 12$ $3.33$ $49$ Left transverse temporal gyrus, BA 40 $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	22, -90, 22	3.53	99	Right cuneus, BA 18
-56, -4, 18 $3.42$ $90$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 4 $-54, 2, 16$ $3.29$ $38$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 6 $-50, 10, 12$ $3.11$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 44 $-52, -26, 12$ $3.33$ $49$ Left transverse temporal gyrus, BA 40 $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40 $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ $14$ Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, C $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	-30, -16, 6	3.49	236	Left putamen
-54, 2, 16 $3.29$ $38$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 6 $-50, 10, 12$ $3.11$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 44 $-52, -26, 12$ $3.33$ $49$ Left transverse temporal gyrus $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left substantia nigra $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ $14$ Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, C $42, -34, 8$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidusPositive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	-56, -4, 18	3.42	90	Left precentral gyrus, BA 4
-50, 10, 12 $3.11$ Left precentral gyrus, BA 44 $-52, -26, 12$ $3.33$ 49Left transverse temporal gyrus $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40 $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ 14Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ 16Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ Right inferior occipital gyrus, B $44, -86, -2$ $3.22$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	-54, 2, 16	3.29	38	Left precentral gyrus, BA 6
-52, -26, 12 $3.33$ $49$ Left transverse temporal gyrus $-58, -24, 18$ $3.17$ Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40 $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ 14Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ 16Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ 16Right middle occipital gyrus, B $44, -86, -2$ $3.22$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, C $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	-50, 10, 12	3.11		Left precentral gyrus, BA 44
-58, -24, 18 $3.17$ Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40 $-6, -16, -16$ $3.29$ 14Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ 16Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ 16Right inferior occipital gyrus, B $44, -86, -2$ $3.22$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ Right superior temporal gyrus, C $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	-52, -26, 12	3.33	49	Left transverse temporal gyrus, BA 41
-6, -16, -16 $3.29$ $14$ Left substantia nigra $20, -82, -4$ $3.27$ $22$ Right lingual gyrus, BA 17 $44, -82, -4$ $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ Right inferior occipital gyrus, B $44, -86, -2$ $3.22$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	-58, -24, 18	3.17		Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40
20, -82, -4       3.27       22       Right lingual gyrus, BA 17         44, -82, -4       3.26       16       Right middle occipital gyrus, B         40, -84, -4       3.26       Right inferior occipital gyrus, B         44, -86, -2       3.22       Right middle occipital gyrus, B         42, -34, 8       3.25       30       Right superior temporal gyrus, P         -16, -8, -4       3.17       12       Left medial globus pallidus	-6, -16, -16	3.29	14	Left substantia nigra
44, -82, -4 $3.26$ $16$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $40, -84, -4$ $3.26$ Right inferior occipital gyrus, B $44, -86, -2$ $3.22$ Right middle occipital gyrus, B $42, -34, 8$ $3.25$ $30$ $-16, -8, -4$ $3.17$ $12$ Left medial globus pallidus	20, -82, -4	3.27	22	Right lingual gyrus, BA 17
40, -84, -43.26Right inferior occipital gyrus, E44, -86, -23.22Right middle occipital gyrus, B42, -34, 83.2530-16, -8, -43.1712Positive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	44, -82, -4	3.26	16	Right middle occipital gyrus, BA 19
44, -86, -23.22Right middle occipital gyrus, B42, -34, 83.2530Right superior temporal gyrus,-16, -8, -43.1712Left medial globus pallidusPositive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	40, -84, -4	3.26		Right inferior occipital gyrus, BA 18
42, -34, 83.2530Right superior temporal gyrus,-16, -8, -43.1712Left medial globus pallidusPositive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	44, -86, -2	3.22		Right middle occipital gyrus, BA 18
-16, -8, -43.1712Left medial globus pallidusPositive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	42, -34, 8	3.25	30	Right superior temporal gyrus, BA 41
Positive correlations after covariation of RSDI scores	-16, -8, -4	3.17	12	Left medial globus pallidus
	Positive correlations after	covariation of RSDI scor	res	
20, -90, 22 3.24 20 Right cuneus, BA18	20, -90, 22	3.24	20	Right cuneus, BA18
-58, -24, 18 3.22 31 Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40	-58, -24, 18	3.22	31	Left postcentral gyrus, BA 40
-30, -14, 6 3.14 17 Left putamen	-30, -14, 6	3.14	17	Left putamen

**Table 5.** Brain activations during trauma versus neutral script correlated significantly with CDS total score (n = 20, p = 0.001, corrected for small volume)

CDS, Cognitive Distortions Scales; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; BA, Brodmann area; RSDI, Responses to Script-Driven Imagery Scale.

distortions and concurrent and future PTSD severity. However, although cognitive distortions predicted concurrent symptom severity over and above severity of ASD symptoms, they failed to significantly enhance prediction of future PTSD symptom severity or future diagnostic status. The CDS total score was significantly correlated with BOLD signal intensity during trauma recall in several brain areas previously implicated in imagery, autobiographic memory recall, and motor preparation. The neuroimaging data presented here suggest that subjects characterized by stronger cognitive distortions tend to re-experience the traumatic event during script-induced imagery significantly more vividly and in more detail.

## Questionnaire study

Regression analyses indicated that gender, educational background, marital status, depression, anxiety or general distress did not account for the association between cognitive distortions and PTSD. Cognitive distortions predicted concurrent PTSD symptom severity over and above ASD severity and were the best predictor of concurrent diagnostic status. However, they could not be established as a significant predictor of future PTSD symptom severity or diagnostic status once ASD severity was taken into account. Together, these data provide support for the

unique importance of negative self-cognitions in relation to concurrent PTSD symptom severity, but call into question their predictive value in an acutely traumatized sample. They might therefore best be understood as an inherent part of PTSD. Many studies have noted high rates of co-morbidity between PTSD and depression (e.g. Kessler et al. 1995; Perkonigg et al. 2000). Similarly, depressive symptoms were related to PTSD symptoms in the present study. However, once negative trauma-related cognitions were taken into account, PTSD symptoms were unrelated to symptoms specific to depression, anxiety or general distress. These findings suggest that the relationship between depressive symptoms and PTSD may be accounted for by negative trauma-related cognitions, further highlighting the significance of negative trauma-related cognitions in understanding the development and maintenance of PTSD.

# fMRI study

Activity in the visual cortex was significantly correlated with CDS scores (BA 18; Hasnain *et al.* 1998), indicating stronger visual activity during the scriptinduced imagery in subjects with more severe cognitive distortions. Positive correlations were also established with multiple sites in the precentral, postcentral and transverse temporal gyri known to be

recruited during vivid imagery (Gerardin et al. 2000; Yoo et al. 2003; Gilboa et al. 2004; Szameitat et al. 2007; Munzert et al. 2008; Guillot et al. 2009) and previously linked to processing of fear cues (Phillips et al. 1998) and traumatic recall (Lanius et al. 2004). Of note, a decrease in BOLD intensity in these areas during exposure to threat cues has been shown to be correlated with therapy effectiveness in a sample of borderline patients (Schnell & Herpertz, 2007) and deactivations were established in resilient, trauma-exposed subjects during a script-driven imagery investigation of childhood sexual abuse-related PTSD (Shin et al. 1999). Activations in somatosensory areas in conjunction with activations in the basal ganglia (i.e. putamen, globus pallidus, substantia nigra) are probably related to the so-called fear-related motor neurocircuitry (Lane et al. 1997; Williams et al. 2001). This circuitry has been linked to cognitively induced fear and is thought to be of relevance in models of pathological fear in humans (Butler et al. 2007). Convergently, the implication of the globus pallidus in the recall of traumatic memories has been reported previously by our group (Lanius et al. 2002; Lanius et al. 2004). Taken together, these data indicate that cognitive distortions are related to vivid imagery as it is elicited by trauma cues.

This hypothesis is supported by extensive activations of brain regions involved in the recall of autobiographical memories, that is the inferior (Addis *et al.* 2007) and the middle (Piefke *et al.* 2003, 2005) occipital gyri. In conjunction, these data strongly suggest that cognitive distortions are positively correlated to intrusive trauma recall in acute trauma. To test this hypothesis directly, we conducted a covariation analysis with the RSDI re-experiencing scores assessed during the scan. After accounting for re-experiencing, only three smaller brain regions in the visual and the primary somatosensory cortex and also the putamen remained significantly correlated to cognitive distortions.

Correlated activations in visual and motor areas in conjunction with brain regions associated with autobiographical memory recall might indicate that cognitive distortions are directly linked to the intrusive nature of traumatic memories. Patients with PTSD often re-experience intrusive recollections of the event in ways that are highly distressing and may be described as reliving the memory. Intrusive recall has long been theorized to be the causal agent underlying PTSD symptomatology as it indicates that the memories of the traumatic event are highly fragmented and not well integrated into the episodic memory system (for a recent review, see Brewin *et al.* 2010). Narrative memories of the traumatic event develop slowly, with trauma victims initially struggling to put their

experience into words (van der Kolk & Fisler, 1995). What differentiates traumatized samples with and without PTSD is not the absolute number of intrusive memories that individuals report but the extent to which these memories are vividly relived in the present, lack context, and cause distress (Michael et al. 2005). The RSDI re-experiencing subscale used in the covariation analysis assesses precisely these characteristics. Therefore, it seems likely that specifically these intrusion characteristics are associated with cognitive distortions. Based on the cognitive models of PTSD, we expected to find a significant negative relationship between cognitive distortions and brain activation in emotion regulation areas. No such correlation could be established, although the scriptdriven imagery paradigm would certainly elicit emotion regulation efforts.

Activation differences in the precuneus as analyzed in a small subsample did not predict CAPS scores 3 months after the traumatic event. However, as this could be due to the small sample size, future studies should seek to predict symptom severity on the basis of BOLD signal increases in a larger sample.

## Limitations

One important limitation of the current study is that all assessments were carried out post-trauma. Thus, it is impossible to determine whether negative traumarelated cognitions are part of, or cause, PTSD. The scale measuring cognitive distortions used in this study does not assess catastrophizing interpretations of PTSD symptoms, which have been hypothesized to be involved in symptom maintenance (Ehlers & Clark, 2000). This might have contributed to the limited predictive power concerning future symptom severity. Future studies should therefore separately investigate the neural correlates of such interpretations. Because of power limitations, fMRI results were not covaried for depression and anxiety symptoms. Future studies should use samples large enough to allow for such additional analyses.

# Conclusions

Notwithstanding the above concerns, this investigation revealed a significant relationship between cognitive distortions and concurrent PTSD severity, but failed to establish such distortions as a significant predictor of future PTSD symptoms once initial symptom severity was accounted for. The fMRI study exposed a significant relationship between cognitive distortions and neural activations associated with imagery and autobiographical memory recall in an acutely traumatized sample. Taken together, these data indicate that cognitive distortions may be an inherent part of PTSD and may be directly associated with the intrusive nature of trauma memories.

## Acknowledgments

The work presented in the manuscript was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. K. Hegadoren and B. H. Rowe are supported by 21st Century Canada Research Chairs from the Government of Canada (Ottawa, ON). N. J. Coupland is supported by the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research (AHFMR; Edmonton, AB).

# **Declaration of Interest**

None.

## References

- Addis DR, Wong AT, Schacter DL (2007). Remembering the past and imagining the future: common and distinct neural substrates during event construction and elaboration. *Neuropsychologia* **45**, 1363–1377.
- Beck JG, Coffey SF, Palyo SA, Gudmundsdottir B, Miller LM, Colder CR (2004). Psychometric properties of the Posttraumatic Cognitions Inventory (PTCI): a replication with motor vehicle accident survivors. *Psychological Assessment* 16, 289–298.
- Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, Kaloupek DG, Gusman FD, Charney DS, Keane TM (1995). The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 8, 75–90.
- Brewin CR, Gregory JD, Lipton M, Burgess N (2010). Intrusive images in psychological disorders: characteristics, neural mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Psychology Review* **117**, 210–232.
- Briere J (2000). Cognitive Distortions Scales Professional Manual. Psychological Assessment Resources: Lutz.
- Bryant RA, Moulds ML, Guthrie RM (2000). Acute Stress Disorder Scale: a self-report measure of acute stress disorder. *Psychological Assessment* **12**, 61–68.
- Butler T, Pan H, Tuescher O, Engelien A, Goldstein M, Epstein J, Weisholtz D, Root JC, Protopopescu X, Cunningham-Bussel AC, Chang L, Xie XH, Chen Q, Phelps EA, Ledoux JE, Stern E, Silbersweig DA (2007). Human fear-related motor neurocircuitry. *Neuroscience* 150, 1–7.
- **Dunmore E, Clark DM, Ehlers A** (2001). A prospective investigation of the role of cognitive factors in persistent posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) after physical or sexual assault. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **39**, 1063–1084.
- Ehlers A, Clark DM (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **38**, 319–345.

- Ehlers A, Clark DM, Hackmann A, McManus F, Fennell M (2005). Cognitive therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder: development and evaluation. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **43**, 413–431.
- Ehlers A, Mayou RA, Bryant B (1998). Psychological predictors of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder after motor vehicle accidents. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 107, 508–519.
- Ehlers A, Suendermann O, Boellinghaus I, Vossbeck-Elsebusch A, Gamer M, Briddon E, Martin M, Glucksman E (2010). Heart rate responses to standardized trauma-related pictures in acute posttraumatic stress disorder. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* **78**, 27–34.
- Ehring T, Ehlers A, Glucksman E (2008). Do cognitive models help in predicting the severity of posttraumatic stress disorder, phobia, and depression after motor vehicle accidents? A prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **76**, 219–230.
- Elsesser K, Freyth C, Lohrmann T, Sartory G (2009). Dysfunctional cognitive appraisal and psychophysiological reactivity in acute stress disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* 23, 979–985.
- Foa EB, Ehlers A, Clark DM, Tolin DF, Orsillo SM (1999). The posttraumatic cognitions inventory (PTCI): development and validation. *Psychological Assessment* **11**, 303–314.
- Foa EB, Rauch SA (2004). Cognitive changes during prolonged exposure versus prolonged exposure plus cognitive restructuring in female assault survivors with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 72, 879–884.
- Foa EB, Rothbaum BO (1998). Treating the Trauma of Rape: Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for PTSD. Guilford Press: New York.
- Gerardin E, Sirigu A, Lehericy S, Poline JB, Gaymard B, Marsault C, Agid Y, Le Bihan D (2000). Partially overlapping neural networks for real and imagined hand movements. *Cerebral Cortex* **10**, 1093–1104.
- Gilboa A, Winocur G, Grady CL, Hevenor SJ, Moscovitch M (2004). Remembering our past: functional neuroanatomy of recollection of recent and very remote personal events. *Cerebral Cortex* 14, 1214–1225.
- Guillot A, Collet C, Nguyen VA, Malouin F, Richards C, Doyon J (2009). Brain activity during visual versus kinesthetic imagery: an fMRI study. *Human Brain Mapping* 30, 2157–2172.
- Hasnain MK, Fox PT, Woldorff MG (1998). Intersubject variability of functional areas in the human visual cortex. *Human Brain Mapping* **6**, 301–315.
- Hopper JW, Frewen PA, Sack M, Lanius RA, van der Kolk BA (2007). The Responses to Script-Driven Imagery Scale (RSDI): assessment of state posttraumatic symptoms for psychobiological and treatment research. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment* 29, 249–268.
- Karl A, Rabe S, Zollner T, Maercker A, Stopa L (2009). Negative self-appraisals in treatment-seeking survivors of motor vehicle accidents. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* 23, 775–781.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB (1995). Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National

Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **52**, 1048–1060.

Kleim B, Ehlers A, Glucksman E (2007). Early predictors of chronic post-traumatic stress disorder in assault survivors. *Psychological Medicine* 37, 1457–1467.

Lane RD, Reiman EM, Bradley MM, Lang PJ, Ahern GL, Davidson RJ, Schwartz GE (1997). Neuroanatomical correlates of pleasant and unpleasant emotion. *Neuropsychologia* **35**, 1437–1444.

Lanius RA, Hopper JW, Menon RS (2003). Individual differences in a husband and wife who developed PTSD after a motor vehicle accident: a functional MRI case study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **160**, 667–669.

Lanius RA, Williamson PC, Boksman K, Densmore M, Gupta M, Neufeld RW, Gati JS, Menon RS (2002). Brain activation during script-driven imagery induced dissociative responses in PTSD: a functional magnetic resonance imaging investigation. *Biological Psychiatry* 52, 305–311.

Lanius RA, Williamson PC, Densmore M, Boksman K, Gupta MA, Neufeld RW, Gati JS, Menon RS (2001). Neural correlates of traumatic memories in posttraumatic stress disorder: a functional MRI investigation. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 158, 1920–1922.

Lanius RA, Williamson PC, Densmore M, Boksman K, Neufeld RW, Gati JS, Menon RS (2004). The nature of traumatic memories: a 4-T fMRI functional connectivity analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 36–44.

McCann IL, Pearlman LA (1990). Psychological Trauma and the Adult Survivor: Theory, Therapy, and Transformation. Brunner/Mazel: New York.

Michael T, Ehlers A, Halligan SL, Clark DM (2005). Unwanted memories of assault: what intrusion characteristics are associated with PTSD? *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **43**, 613–628.

Moser JS, Hajcak G, Simons RF, Foa EB (2007). Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in traumaexposed college students: the role of trauma-related cognitions, gender, and negative affect. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* **21**, 1039–1049.

Munzert J, Zentgraf K, Stark R, Vaitl D (2008). Neural activation in cognitive motor processes: comparing motor imagery and observation of gymnastic movements. *Experimental Brain Research* **188**, 437–444.

O'Donnell ML, Elliott P, Wolfgang BJ, Creamer M (2007). Posttraumatic appraisals in the development and persistence of posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 20, 173–182. Perkonigg A, Kessler RC, Storz S, Wittchen H-U (2000). Traumatic events and post-traumatic stress disorder in the community: prevalence, risk factors and comorbidity. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 101, 46–59.

Phillips ML, Young AW, Scott SK, Calder AJ, Andrew C, Giampietro V, Williams SC, Bullmore ET, Brammer M, Gray JA (1998). Neural responses to facial and vocal expressions of fear and disgust. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 265, 1809–1817.

Piefke M, Weiss PH, Markowitsch HJ, Fink GR (2005). Gender differences in the functional neuroanatomy of emotional episodic autobiographical memory. *Human Brain Mapping* 24, 313–324.

Piefke M, Weiss PH, Zilles K, Markowitsch HJ, Fink GR (2003). Differential remoteness and emotional tone modulate the neural correlates of autobiographical memory. *Brain* **126**, 650–668.

**Resick PA, Schnicke MK** (1992). Cognitive processing therapy for sexual assault victims. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **60**, 748–756.

Schnell K, Herpertz SC (2007). Effects of dialecticbehavioral-therapy on the neural correlates of affective hyperarousal in borderline personality disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **41**, 837–847.

Shin LM, McNally RJ, Kosslyn SM, Thompson WL, Rauch SL, Alpert NM, Metzger LJ, Lasko NB, Orr SP, Pitman RK (1999). Regional cerebral blood flow during script-driven imagery in childhood sexual abuse-related PTSD: a PET investigation. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 156, 575–584.

Szameitat AJ, Shen S, Sterr A (2007). Effector-dependent activity in the left dorsal premotor cortex in motor imagery. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 26, 3303–3308.

van der Kolk B, Fisler R (1995). Dissociation and the fragmentary nature of traumatic memories: overview and exploratory study. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 8, 505–525.

Watson D, Clark LA (1991). The Mood and Anxiety Symptom Questionnaire. University of Iowa: Iowa City.

Williams LM, Phillips ML, Brammer MJ, Skerrett D, Lagopoulos J, Rennie C, Bahramali H, Olivieri G, David AS, Peduto A, Gordon E (2001). Arousal dissociates amygdala and hippocampal fear responses: evidence from simultaneous fMRI and skin conductance recording. *NeuroImage* 14, 1070–1079.

Yoo SS, Freeman DK, McCarthy 3rd JJ, Jolesz FA (2003). Neural substrates of tactile imagery: a functional MRI study. *Neuroreport* 14, 581–585.