Erratum =



Quinn, L. D., D. P. Matlaga, J. R. Stewart, and A. S. Davis. 2011. Empirical evidence of long-distance dispersal in *Miscanthus sinensis* and *Miscanthus* × *giganteus*. Invasive Plant Sci. Manag. 4:142–150.

We incorrectly identified the dispersal unit (diaspore) of *Miscanthus* spp. as the caryopsis when we should have used the word spikelet throughout (M. Barkworth 2011, personal communication). We mentioned several times in the paper that *Miscanthus* \times *giganteus* is thought to be sterile, and, indeed, found no fertile caryopses for this species (nor, given the scope of this particular study, did we attempt to quantify viability for either species). *Miscanthus sinensis* seeds sourced from naturalized populations are known to show variable germination rates (Quinn et al. 2010), and we assumed that some nonzero percentage of

M. sinensis spikelets dispersed in our study were fertile prior to gamma sterilization. Additionally, we would like to point out that we used the Illinois clone of M. \times giganteus and note that the correct botanical authority for this hybrid is J. M. Greef & Deuter ex Hodkinson & Renvoize.

Literature Cited

Quinn, L. D., D. J. Allen, and J. R. Stewart. 2010. Invasiveness potential of *Miscanthus sinensis*: implications for bioenergy production in the U.S. Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy 2:310–320.