## Erratum =



Quinn, L. D., D. P. Matlaga, J. R. Stewart, and A. S. Davis. 2011. Empirical evidence of long-distance dispersal in *Miscanthus sinensis* and *Miscanthus* × *giganteus*. Invasive Plant Sci. Manag. 4:142–150.

We incorrectly identified the dispersal unit (diaspore) of *Miscanthus* spp. as the caryopsis when we should have used the word spikelet throughout (M. Barkworth 2011, personal communication). We mentioned several times in the paper that *Miscanthus*  $\times$  *giganteus* is thought to be sterile, and, indeed, found no fertile caryopses for this species (nor, given the scope of this particular study, did we attempt to quantify viability for either species). *Miscanthus sinensis* seeds sourced from naturalized populations are known to show variable germination rates (Quinn et al. 2010), and we assumed that some nonzero percentage of

*M. sinensis* spikelets dispersed in our study were fertile prior to gamma sterilization. Additionally, we would like to point out that we used the Illinois clone of M.  $\times$  giganteus and note that the correct botanical authority for this hybrid is J. M. Greef & Deuter ex Hodkinson & Renvoize.

## **Literature Cited**

Quinn, L. D., D. J. Allen, and J. R. Stewart. 2010. Invasiveness potential of *Miscanthus sinensis*: implications for bioenergy production in the U.S. Glob. Change Biol. Bioenergy 2:310–320.