Two Iranian loanwords in Syriac*

Nicholas Sims-Williams

Ns5@soas.ac.uk

Abstract

This article discusses two Syriac words which have been understood in many different ways by both ancient and modern scholars. The translations and etymologies previously proposed are evaluated and new explanations are offered, according to which both words, $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ "red" and $sy\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ "hemi-drachm", are loanwords from Middle Persian, though unattested in that language.

Keywords: Syriac lexicography, Syriac etymology, Iranian loanwords in Syriac, Syriac *sāsgaunā* "red", Syriac *syānqā* "hemi-drachm"

1. ssgwn' [sāsgaunā]

The two most recent Syriac–English dictionaries¹ agree in translating $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ as "purple", although it should be noted that the Peshitta contrasts $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ with $arg\bar{a}w\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, for which the meaning "purple" is well established. Other dictionaries translate $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ with a wide variety of colour terms including "vermilion, sky-blue or blue-black"² or "purple red, vermilion, scarlet".³ Many of these definitions go back to the Syriac–Arabic lexicon of Bar Bahlul.⁴ As Claudia Ciancaglini says, it would seem that the only certainty is that the word refers to a colour, or more precisely a dye-stuff, and that it is a compound containing gwn "colour" as its second element. Since Syriac $gaun\bar{a}$ "colour" is an Iranian loanword, it is possible though not inevitable that the word as a whole is Iranian. On the basis of yet another translation of the compound as "multicoloured, variegated", which is the most commonly accepted interpretation of the identically spelt Hebrew and Aramaic word ssgwn, several scholars have suggested that its

- * I would like to thank Agnes Korn, who kindly allowed me to read her article "Arménien karmir, sogdien krm'yr et hébreu karmīl «rouge»", BSOAS 79/1, 2016, 1–22, in advance of publication and thus provided the impetus for the first of these notes, and who also provided valuable comments on its first draft. See also Agnes Korn and Georg Warning, "Armenian karmir, Sogdian karmīr 'red', Hebrew karmīl and the Armenian scale insect dye in antiquity", in Marie Louise Nosch, Cécile Michel et al. (eds), Textile Terminologies from the Orient to the Mediterranean 1000 BC–AD 1000 (forthcoming).
- 1 Michael Sokoloff, A Syriac Lexicon: A Translation from the Latin, Correction, Expansion, and Update of C. Brockelmann's Lexicon Syriacum (Winona Lake and Piscataway, 2009); Sebastian P. Brock and George A. Kiraz, Gorgias Concise Syriac– English, English–Syriac Dictionary (Piscataway, 2015).
- 2 J. Payne Smith, A Compendious Syriac Dictionary (Oxford, 1903).
- 3 Claudia A. Ciancaglini, Iranian Loanwords in Syriac (Wiesbaden, 2008), 224.
- 4 Rubens Duval, *Lexicon syriacum auctore Hassano bar Bahlule*, II (Paris, 1901), col. 1365–6.

first part is a Persian numeral, either $\check{s}ast$ "sixty" or $\check{s}a\check{s}$ "six". However, the correspondence of Syr. s- to Pers. \check{s} - would be quite exceptional. It is not impossible to imagine an assimilation of $\check{s}\ldots s$ to $s\ldots s$, as Shaul Shaked seems to suggest, but he does not cite any close parallel.

In the Peshitta $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ is used in two contexts. In one group of passages it translates Hebrew $taha\check{s}$, mainly in the phrase ' $\bar{o}r$ $taha\check{s}$ "leather or skin of $taha\check{s}$ " (Num. 4: 6, 8; plural in Ex. 25: 5, 26: 14, 35: 7, 23, 36: 19, 39: 34), a material used for the covering of the Tabernacle or placed over a table of offerings. It has recently been suggested that Hebrew $taha\check{s}$ is a loanword from Akkadian $duh\check{s}u$, a technical term for faience and beadwork, but its original meaning was forgotten at an early date. Old Testament versions and commentaries therefore interpreted $taha\check{s}$ from context, as a term either for a particular animal or for a colour. The Septuagint, for example, has ὑακίνθινος, whence hyacinthinus in the Vulgate, while the second-century Jewish scholar Rabbi Meir maintained that $taha\check{s}$ was "a creature which existed at the time of Moses and was afterwards hidden". The use of Syriac $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ to translate Hebrew $taha\check{s}$ evidently depends on Jewish tradition, since its Aramaic equivalent ssgwn is used for the same purpose in the Targum, of explained pseudo-etymologically in the Talmud as "joyful ($sa\acute{s}$) with several colours ($g\bar{o}n$)".

A different usage is attested by the Peshitta's version of II Chron. 2: 7, 14 (= 2: 6, 13 in the Hebrew text). Here $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ translates Hebrew $karm\bar{\imath}l$ "red", in both cases as part of a sequence including $ta\underline{k}\bar{e}let$ "blue" (Peshitta tklt) and $arg\bar{a}m\bar{a}n$ or $argaw\bar{a}n$ "purple" (Peshitta rgwn). The last two are dyes produced from certain types of murex shell, 12 while $karm\bar{\imath}l$ is a red dye produced from the Armenian

- 5 Shaul Shaked, "Iranian loanwords in Middle Aramaic", in Ehsan Yarshater (ed.), Encyclopædia Iranica, II/3 (London, 1986), 259–61 (where the Aramaic form is misprinted šsgwn' with initial š-, p. 261a); "Items of dress and other objects in common use: Iranian loanwords in Jewish Babylonian Aramaic", in Shaul Shaked and Amnon Netzer (eds), Irano-Judaica, III, Jerusalem, 1994, 106–17, esp. 112–4.
- 6 Thus Philippe Gignoux apud Ciancaglini, Iranian Loanwords, 224.
- 7 Shaked, "Items of dress", 114, refers rather vaguely to the "assimilation and dissimilation of consonants involving sibilants" but it is hard to see the relevance of the words he cites in this connection: Aramaic tas "plate", Arabic tass "cup" < Middle Persian tašt (or perhaps rather from its expected by-form *tast); Middle Persian tis "someone" beside Parthian čiš, both ultimately from Old Iranian *čiščit. Sogdian has several examples of s assimilating to š (Ilya Gershevitch, A Grammar of Manichean Sogdian (Oxford, 1954), §450), but not of the reverse.
- 8 Stephanie Dalley, "Hebrew *taḥaš*, Akkadian *duhšu*, faience and beadwork", *Journal of Semitic Studies* 45, 2000, 1–19.
- 9 Jehuda Feliks, "Taḥash", in *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, 2nd ed. (Farmington Hills and Detroit, 2007), XIX, 435. See also Dalley, "Hebrew *taḥaš*", 2.
- 10 Michael Sokoloff, A Dictionary of Jewish Palestinian Aramaic of the Byzantine period, 2nd ed. (Ramat-Gan and Baltimore, 2002), 384.
- 11 Shaked, "Items of dress", 112 n. 57.
- 12 On the former see Jehuda Feliks, "Tekhelet", in *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, 2nd ed., XIX, 586–7, and in particular I.I. Ziderman, "First identification of authentic Těkēlet", *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research (BASOR)* 265, 1987, 25–33, though it should be noted that Ziderman's claims gave rise to considerable debate: see P.E. McGovern et al., "Has authentic Těkēlet been identified?", *BASOR*, 269, 1988, 81–90.

cochineal (*Porphyrophora hamelii*), ¹³ apparently the same colour which is referred to in earlier books of the Hebrew Bible as *tōlaʿat šānī*.

It is strange that those who have tried to explain the meaning and etymology of Syriac and Aramaic $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ have generally focused on its use as a translation of the obscure Hebrew $taha\check{s}$ rather than its use as a translation of Hebrew $karm\bar{\imath}l$, a word whose meaning was surely known to the translator of II Chronicles – note that in the very next chapter, II Chron. 3: 14, $karm\bar{\imath}l$ is translated as zhwryt "scarlet". As has long been recognized, $karm\bar{\imath}l$, which is not attested in any other book of the Hebrew bible, is ultimately an Iranian loanword derived from a cognate of Persian kirm "worm", just as the earlier Hebrew term $t\bar{\imath}la$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ in the Peshitta as $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ is an accurate translation of $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ "worm, maggot". Consequently, if $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ an accurate translation of $t\bar{\imath}a$ $t\bar{\imath}a$ t

An apparent difficulty with this hypothesis is of course the fact that sās-gaunā "worm-colour" would represent a type of compound which is wellattested in Iranian (and in Indo-European languages in general) but quite foreign to Semitic. The obvious solution is that the compound was not formed in Syriac or Aramaic but in an Iranian language. It is a pleasure to find that this was recognized as long ago as 1794 by Georg Wilhelm Lorsbach, 14 who defined the meaning of Syriac sāsgaunā as "Wurmfarbe" and "wurmfärbig", i.e. "scharlachroth", and derived it from a Persian compound *sūsgūn. In view of the discrepancy in the vocalism of the first syllable, this explanation is not wholly acceptable as formulated by Lorsbach; moreover, Persian sūs "moth, grub, etc." is almost certainly a borrowing from Arabic. 15 However, the problem disappears if we replace Lorsbach's Persian *sūsgūn with a Middle Persian * $s\bar{a}sg\bar{o}n$, assuming an earlier borrowing direct from Aramaic $s\bar{a}s$, or even from Akkadian sāsu. In fact, sās is attested both in New Persian, where its modern meaning is "bed-bug", 16 and in Middle Persian, where $s\bar{a}s$ is mentioned beside *kayk* "flea" as a noxious insect. 17

Although Lorsbach was hardly correct in postulating a Persian *sūsgūn as the source of Syriac sāsgaunā, it is probable that such a form did eventually come into existence as a modification of the older *sūsgōn. Thus, the Syriac–Arabic lexicon of Jirjis al-Karmsaddānī (George Karmsedinoyo), composed in 1619,¹⁸ gives the spelling swsgwn', vocalized sūsgaunā, beside sūsgaunā and sūsgaunā, together with a variety of definitions which largely derive from Bar

- 13 See Korn, "Arménien karmir".
- 14 Georg Wilhelm Lorsbach, *Archiv für die Biblische und Morgenländische Literatur*, II (Marburg, 1794), 304–6, which is cited by R. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus* (Oxford, 1879–1901), col. 2682, with an incorrect page reference.
- 15 It is perhaps an open question what connections there may be between such superficially similar terms for "worm, grub, moth" as Akkadian *sāsu*, Aramaic *sās*, Arabic *sūs*, Greek σής, Armenian *çeç*, Basque *sats*, *sits*.
- 16 S. Haïm, The One-Volume Persian-English Dictionary (Tehran, 1961), 431.
- 17 D.N. MacKenzie, *A Concise Pahlavi Dictionary* (London, 1971), 74; A.V. Williams, *The Pahlavi Rivāyat Accompanying the Dādestān ī Dēnīg* (Copenhagen, 1990), I, 114–5, 333; II, 46 (where *s's*, 21a17, is an emendation for *s'š*).
- 18 Cited in R. Payne Smith, Thesaurus Syriacus, col. 2682.

Bahlul. In this connection it is also worth noting the Arabic interpretation of $s\bar{a}sgaun\bar{a}$ in II Chronicles 2 as swsnjrd "needle-work", ¹⁹ which may be a corruption of *swsjwn = Persian * $s\bar{u}sg\bar{u}n$.

2. synq' [syānqā]

This word seems to be attested in a single passage from the Acts of the Persian martyrs under Shapur II, where the king tries to bribe Barba'shmin, the bishop of Seleucia-Ctesiphon, with a golden cup containing "a thousand $sy\bar{a}nqe$ of gold".²⁰ It so happens that this passage is also attested in a Sogdian version, which has "a thousand $k\bar{e}sarak\bar{a}n$ ", i.e. "(coins) of Caesar",²¹ using a term which elsewhere translates $din\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ "denarius".²²

The meaning most commonly given for *syānqā* in Syriac dictionaries, both ancient and modern, is "hemi-drachm". This interpretation goes back to Elias of Nisibis, who gives the Arabic translation *nisf dirham* "half of a dirham",²³ while Bar Bahlul has both *nisf dirham* and *dānaq*,²⁴ the latter being the Persian term for "one sixth of a drachm", i.e. "obol". The various manuscripts of Bar Ali's dictionary give even more alternatives, ranging from "half of a dirham" via "quarter of a dirham (*rub* ' *dirham*)" to "one sixth of a dirham (*suds dirham*), *dānaq*".²⁵

What is evidently the same coin is also referred to in Talmudic Aramaic as syynq', pl. sy'nqy, zy'nqy, 26 and in Mandaic as sianqa, pl. sianqia. In Mandaic sianqa contrasts with danqa "obol", which would seem to rule out the interpretation of Syriac $sy\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ as being identical with the $d\bar{a}naq$. Of the various translations suggested by the dictionaries, there remain the quarter and half drachm, the latter explanation being the one preferred by most authorities, no doubt rightly so, since the Sasanians never minted a quarter drachm.

Though it does not seem to be attested in any Persian text, the fact that *syānqā* is a Persian word is likely from the context in which it appears and has in fact never been doubted. Two different Persian etymologies have been proposed. The first goes back once again to G.W. Lorsbach,²⁸ who suggested a Persian *sih yakkah*

- 19 This form is cited by Guilielmus (Wilhelm) Gesenius, *De Bar Alio et Bar Bahlulo, lexicographis syro-arabicis ineditis commentatio*, II (Leipzig, 1839), 23, but with an erroneous explanation ("lily-coloured", from Persian *sūsan* "lily" and *-čarda* "coloured"). On Arabic *sūsanjird* for Persian *sōzankard* "needle-work", Niya Kharoṣṭhī *sujinakirta*, see Heinrich Lüders, *Textilien im alten Turkistan* (Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil.-hist. Klasse, 1936, Nr. 3), 31–2.
- 20 Paulus Bedjan, Acta Martyrum et Sanctorum, II (Paris, 1891), 299, line 20.
- 21 E27 (formerly C2), f. 69v, line 14, ed. Nicholas Sims-Williams, *The Christian Sogdian Manuscript C 2* (Berliner Turfantexte, XII, Berlin, 1985), 146.
- 22 Luke 10.35, ed. F.W.K. Müller, *Soghdische Texte I* (Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil.-hist. Klasse, 1912, Nr. 2), 36.
- 23 P. de Lagarde, Praetermissorum Libri Duo (Göttingen, 1879), 58.
- 24 Duval, Lexicon syriacum auctore Hassano bar Bahlule, II, col. 1344.
- 25 R.J.H. Gottheil, *The Syriac–Arabic Glosses of Īshō' Bar 'Alī*, Part II (Rome, 1908), 163.
- 26 Michael Sokoloff, A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic periods (Ramat-Gan and Baltimore, 2002), 802.
- 27 E.S. Drower and R. Macuch, A Mandaic Dictionary (Oxford, 1963), 324.
- 28 In Albrecht Jacob Arnoldi et al., *Museum für biblische und orientalische Litteratur*, I/1 (Marburg, 1807), 26–7.

"one third", with replacement of [kk] by [nk]. In order to explain the discrepancy between this meaning and the "hemi-drachm" indicated by the dictionaries he ingeniously, but anachronistically, proposed that half of a drachm was equivalent to one third of the Arabic $mitq\bar{a}l$ and was named accordingly. Lorsbach's etymology held sway throughout the nineteenth century, being accepted (with some modifications and with various degrees of hesitation) by a number of scholars including Spiegel, de Lagarde and Hübschmann. A new etymology from Persian sih (better: Middle Persian $s\check{e}$) "three" plus the distributive suffix $-\bar{a}nak$ was proposed by Brockelmann in 1928 and adopted by Ciancaglini in 2008. While this is in some ways an improvement on Lorsbach's explanation, the expected meaning of such a formation would be "three by three" rather than "a third part" as assumed by Brockelmann; moreover, the problem remains that our sources suggest that the $sy\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ is a half, or possibly a quarter, not a third of the drachm. It seems therefore that Telegdi and Geiger were fully justified in regarding all attempts so far at a Persian etymology of $sv\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ as unsatisfactory.

As mentioned above, the drachm, the main unit of currency in Sasanian Iran, was equivalent to six smaller units known in Greek as *obolos* but in Persian as $d\bar{a}nak$, later $d\bar{a}ng$. If $sy\bar{a}nq\bar{a}$ is a hemi-drachm it should be equivalent to three obols. In my opinion that is exactly what its name indicates: * $siy\bar{a}nak$ from Old Iranian * θri - $d\bar{a}naka$ -, Old Persian * ϵri - $d\bar{a}naka$ -"three $d\bar{a}naks$ ".

Phonologically this derivation presents no problems, as an intervocalic *d regularly gives y in Middle Persian. Two historical problems need to be addressed, however. In the first place, the hemi-drachm, which had been minted under the earliest Sasanians, had already fallen out of use by the time of Shapur II, 32 the king who is supposed to have offered "a thousand $sy\bar{a}nqe$ of gold" to Barba shmin. Secondly, both the drachm and the hemi-drachm were coins of silver, not gold.

These two problems may have a common solution. We may start from the assumption that * $siy\bar{a}nak$ was originally a hemi-drachm as its name implies. Once the silver hemi-drachm was no longer minted, the name would lose its specific application and could simply function as a "half" of any unit. Similarly, the Persian term $\check{s}a\check{s}$ $d\bar{a}ng$, originally "six $d\bar{a}naks$ ", i.e. "a whole drachm", came to be used for "six sixths whether of a dirham or a dīnār-mi θ qāl" and later still of anything complete or perfect, e.g. $\check{s}a\check{s}$ $d\bar{a}ng$ -e $jah\bar{a}n$ "the whole world", cf. also

- 29 Fr. Spiegel, Grammatik der Huzvâresch-Sprache (Vienna, 1856), 77–8; Paul de Lagarde, Gesammelte Abhandlungen (Leipzig, 1866), 71; Heinrich Hübschmann, Armenische Grammatik, I (Leipzig, 1897), 237.
- 30 Carl Brockelmann, *Lexicon Syriacum*, 2nd ed. (Halle, 1928), 472; Ciancaglini, *Iranian Loanwords*, 221–2.
- 31 S. Telegdi, "Essai sur la phonétique des emprunts iraniens en araméen talmudique", Journal Asiatique, 226, 1935, 177–256, esp. p. 197; Bernhard Geiger in Samuel Krauss et al., Additamenta ad librum Aruch Completum (Vienna, 1937), 171 [non vidi].
- 32 Robert Göbl, Sasanian Numismatics (Braunschweig, 1971), 27; Nikolaus Schindel, Sylloge Nummorum Sasanidarum Paris Berlin Wien, III/1 (Vienna, 2004), 103. Göbl's statement (op. cit., 29) that "the name of the half-drachm piece was ... unknown" can now be revised.
- 33 Walther Hinz, *Islamische Masse und Gewichte* (Handbuch der Orientalistik, Ergänzungsband 1, Heft 1, Leiden, 1955), 11.

Sogdian *xwšd'nc mry'rt* "a perfect pearl".³⁴ Mandaic has *šar danqa* < Persian *čahār dāng*, which looks as if it should mean "four sixths", i.e. "two thirds".³⁵ The fact remains that the standard Sasanian gold coin (in so far as such a thing existed, since gold was generally used only for special "festive" issues) was the dinar, and that neither Shapur II nor any other Sasanian king minted a half dinar. The reference in the martyrdom of Barba'shmin to "*syānqe* of gold" cannot therefore be regarded as historically accurate.

³⁴ See Yutaka Yoshida, "Sogdian miscellany", *Studia Iranica*, 13, 1984, 145–9, esp. 146 n. 2; Nicholas Sims-Williams, *Biblical and other Christian Sogdian Texts from the Turfan Collection* (Berliner Turfantexte, XXXII, Turnhout, 2014), 103.

³⁵ Rather than "double" with Drower and Macuch, Mandaic Dictionary, 100.