Effects of habitat disturbance on ant community composition and seed dispersal by ants in a tropical dry forest in Costa Rica

Tamara J. Zelikova¹ and Michael D. Breed

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, UCB 334, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80306, USA (Accepted 4 March 2008)

Abstract: Habitat alteration can have far-reaching consequences for natural communities and can alter existing species interactions in profound ways. Working in a tropical dry forest ecosystem in the Guanacaste Province of Costa Rica, we measured seed removals and seed dispersal distances for Acacia collinsii and papava seeds to determine if habitat disturbance affects ant community composition and the associated interactions between ants and seeds. Two hundred and forty experimental seed depots were observed in four sites that differed in land-use history and disturbance severity: secondary forest, forest edge, Acacia collinsii edge, and open-pasture. Both seed removals and average dispersal distances achieved by ants differed among habitats. Habitat disturbance did not negatively affect seed removals by ants in our study; seed removals were highest in the most disturbed habitat, the open pasture (38.3% of seeds removed within 2 h). Ant community composition and the relative abundance of key seed dispersers also differed among habitats. In all sites combined, ten species of ant were observed dispersing seeds, with two species, Pheidole fallax and Ectatomma ruidum, in combination being responsible for 92% of all observed seed removals. The abundance of P. fallax and E. ruidum differed among habitats, with E. ruidum being the most abundant ant species collected in the open-pasture habitat and P. fallax being the most abundant in the edge habitats. Pheidole fallax ants dispersed seeds significantly further (mean \pm SD = 2.11 \pm 1.35 m, maximum = 5.2 m) than did *E. ruidum* $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD} = 0.7 \pm 0.81 \text{ m}, \text{maximum} = 3.85 \text{ m})$, a difference we attribute to recruitment behaviour and not body size. Habitat disturbance thus alters the ant community and the relative abundance of key seed-dispersing ant species, with cascading effects on seed removals and seed dispersal distances.

Key Words: Acacia collinsii, ants, disturbance, Ectatomma ruidum, Pheidole fallax, seed dispersal

INTRODUCTION

Anthropogenic habitat disturbance can have wideranging consequences for mutualisms, as disturbance effects on one partner result in effects on both (Chapman *et al.* 2003). For this reason, one of the many goals in conservation and restoration ecology is the maintenance and/or re-establishment of interactions between plants and animals. Seed dispersal mutualisms are especially important because seed dispersal fundamentally influences plant communities by establishing the initial template for spatial distribution of seedlings (Wang & Smith 2002). Anthropogenic disturbance can modify seed dispersal by impacting the animal dispersers directly (Chapman & Bourke 2001, Chapman *et al.* 2003, Restrepo & Gomez 1998) and by altering their behavioural patterns (Bossard 1991, Jordano & Schupp 2000, Levey *et al.* 2005), with important consequences for the species they disperse.

Myrmecochory (seed dispersal by ants) is a geographically and phylogenetically widespread dispersal syndrome, with ants dispersing plants from more than 80 plant families (Beattie 1985, Dunn et al. 2007). While many plant species are specifically adapted for seed dispersal by ants and have special lipid-rich appendages called elaiosomes (Beattie 1985), ants are typically opportunistic and pick up both myrmecochorous (adapted) and non-myrmecochorous seeds (Christianini et al. 2007). Ants collect and transport seeds to ant nests, where they consume the nutritional reward and discard the seed virtually unharmed: the seed can subsequently germinate (Beattie & Culver 1981, Hughes & Westoby 1992). Despite its prominence as a dispersal syndrome, much of the work on seed dispersal by ants has been carried out in temperate and sclerophyll habitats (Giladi 2006). Relatively little is known about the role of seed

¹ Corresponding author. Email: zelikova@colorado.edu

dispersal by ants in tropical ecosystems (but see Dalling & Wirth 1998, Horwitz & Beattie 1980, Kaspari 1996, Pizo 2007) and even less about the potential disruptive effects of extensive habitat alteration in the tropics on the interactions between ants and seeds.

One of the primary benefits of seed dispersal by ants appears to be dispersal for distance (Andersen 1988, Giladi 2006), and most studies of myrmecochory use seed removals and dispersal distance as proxies for seed fitness (Gorb & Gorb 1999, Horvitz & Schemske 1986, Hughes & Westoby 1992). Factors that influence seed removal rate and seed dispersal distance include habitat characteristics and ant-specific characteristics, such as ant body size and behaviour. We hypothesize that habitat alteration in a tropical dry forest affects (1) ant community composition and species richness, (2) removal of Acacia collinsii and papaya seeds by ants and (3) seed dispersal distances and dispersal curves for A. collinsii and papaya seeds. If seed disperser assemblages shift with disturbance regime, we expect to see associated changes in seed removals and seed dispersal distance.

STUDY SITE

We carried out our study in April 2005 in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste Province, Costa Rica. This area is characterized as a tropical dry forest, receiving between 915 and 2558 mm of rainfall annually, with an average of 1614 mm, falling primarily from April until November (Janzen 1983, 1988). Early successional forest in Santa Rosa is dominated by Acacia collinsii Saff., a pioneer tree species native to dry deciduous forests of Central America. Acacia collinsii trees are closely associated with *Pseudomyrmex spinicola* and *P*. nigrocincta ants (Janzen 1983), which nest in hollow A. collinsii thorns, defending the tree from herbivores and encroaching vegetation. Pseudomyrmex ants also clear vegetation underneath their host trees (Janzen 1966, Suarez et al. 1998), resulting in patches of bare ground in areas where A. collinsii trees are abundant. Acacia collinsii trees commonly grow along disturbance edges (personal observation), such as roadsides and forest edges and are characteristic of a distinct forest-edge habitat; they are less abundant in more mature forests.

METHODS

Ant community composition

To sample the ant community, we placed five 50-m transects, 20 m apart, within each site and placed one pitfall trap every 10 m along each transect (30 pitfall traps per site). Pitfall traps consisted of 50-mL Falcon tubes

(Benton-Dickenson Labware), filled 75% of the way up with soapy water and left in the ground for 24 h. Ants from pitfall traps were sorted, identified to species, and voucher specimens were deposited into the Museum of Natural History, University of Colorado. To calculate the relative abundance of ant species, we took the number of occurrences per species (number of pitfall traps per site where the species was collected) and divided by the total number of occurrences for all ant species in that site.

Effect of habitat disturbance on seed removals and dispersal distance

To determine the effect of land-use change on seed removals and seed dispersal by ants, we carried out seed-baiting experiments in four sites that differ in the degree of habitat alteration, listed from least disturbed to most disturbed: (1) secondary forest, (2) forest edge, (3) Acacia collinsii edge and (4) open pasture. Secondary forest sites were at least 100 m from the nearest road and had regenerated over the 35 y since the areas were cleared in 1972. The forest-edge sites were located along an open field. The Acacia edge site was located along a dirt road and was heavily dominated by A. collinsii trees. The open-pasture site was a large ungrazed field surrounded by disturbed forest edges. An introduced African grass, Hyparrhenia rufa Stapf, dominates this highly disturbed area. We were unable to find replicates of these specific vegetation types within the park. As a result, we replicated transects within each habitat.

We used fresh A. collinsii seeds from mature fruit collected locally within the park and papaya seeds from commercially purchased papaya fruits in all seed-baiting experiments in our study. Neither papaya nor A. collinsii seeds are specifically adapted for dispersal by ants, but both seed types have fleshy pulp that attracts ants. Acacia collinsii seeds are found in large pods, and a sticky yellow aril surrounds the seeds. While the white-throated magpie jay (Calocitta formosa Swainson 1827) is the primary disperser of A. collinsii seeds, we observed these seeds in ant refuse piles and preliminary baiting trials showed that ants were attracted to the yellow aril. Because Acacia seeds were less available, we supplemented seed depots with papaya seeds. Papaya (Carica papaya L., Caricaceae) is native to the lowland tropics of Central and South America and occurs throughout Costa Rica.

At each site, seed depots were placed along ten 50-m transects, with each seed depot placed at 10-m intervals along each transect (10 transects, 6 seed baits per transect = 60 seed depots per habitat) to ensure independent discoveries by different ant colonies. Each seed depot consisted of a white index card and we placed three fresh *Acacia* and five fresh papaya seeds at each depot. One observer circulated among four seed depots

Table 1. Actual ant species richness and relative abundance of *Ectatomma ruidum* and *Pheidole fallax* per site at Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica. Occupancy is calculated as the percentage of pitfall traps per habitat where *E. ruidum* and *P. fallax* were collected. Relative abundance is calculated as the number of occurrences of *E. ruidum* and *P. fallax* in pitfall traps per site divided by the total number of occurrences for all ants at that site.

Site	Species richness	E. ruidum % occupancy	P. fallax % occupancy	E. ruidum relative abundance	P. fallax relative abundance
Acacia	7	31.9	73.7	13.6	31.8
Forest edge	25	60	80	13.1	16.7
Forest	16	36.4	68.2	12.9	24.2
Open	26	90.5	38.1	25.3	10.7

for 2 h or until all seeds were removed, recording all observed seed removals and following removed seeds. Ant activity significantly decreased in the late morning and ceased almost completely during the middle of the day; we therefore observed seed depots in the early morning and late afternoon. We recorded ant recruitment (defined as more than 10 individuals of the same species present at the seed depot) to the seed resource (yes/no), seed dispersal distance (displacement distance from depot to nest or drop point), seed destination (nest or drop), and the number of seeds remaining after 2 h. A seed was considered removed when it was moved 5 cm. We recorded each unique dispersal event. Unique events were those that involved a new depot or a new nest, regardless of how many times a single colony exploited a particular depot. For those events where more than one seed was removed by the same colony, only one dispersal event was recorded. We terminated observations of removed seeds when we were unable to track the seeds to their final destination, thus underestimating the dispersal distance for those seeds. For all terminated observations, we measured the distance to where the seed was last tracked.

Ant species characteristics and dispersal distance

We recorded the ant species removing seeds and those ants not easily identified in the field were collected for identification, taking care not to collect individuals that were carrying seeds back to the nest. In the cases where many individuals were recruited to the baits, we collected individuals at nests. For *Pheidole* spp., we collected major workers from the nests for identification. Voucher specimens were deposited into the University of Colorado Museum of Natural History entomological collection.

To assess ant body size characteristics, we measured head length, head width and Weber's length (Weber's length is measured from the anterodorsal margin of the pronotum to the posteroventralmost extension of the mesosoma) using a dissecting microscope with a micrometer. All individual ants collected at seed baits carrying seeds were measured. For species that carried seeds infrequently (Table 1), we were only able to collect a few specimens. As a result, the sample size for these species is too low to appropriately estimate body size. Since all measured body size traits are strongly correlated across species, we used Weber's length as an indicator of ant body size in all analyses including body size characteristics.

ANALYSES

Ant community comparisons

The ant communities were compared among sites using EstimateS software (Version 7.5, R. K. Colwell, http://purl.oclc.org/estimates). We transformed ant community data into a presence/absence matrix, with each pitfall trap in a site as an observation and computed Jaccard's Classic similarity index, which is based on the probability that two randomly chosen individuals, one from each of the samples, both belong to the same species (Chao et al. 2005). A value of 1 represents complete overlap in species composition between two habitats and a species of 0 indicates that the two habitats do not share any species. Relative abundance per ant species was calculated by dividing the number of occurrences per species per habitat by the total number of occurrences for all ant species within that habitat. Absolute species occurrence (hereafter referred to as per cent species occupancy) was calculated as the number of pitfall traps where the species was collected per habitat divided by the total number of pitfall traps within that habitat.

Among-habitat analyses

JMP Software (JMP, a product of the SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Because seed depots were separated by at least 10 m, they were likely to be encountered by distinct ant colonies and we observed no instances where the same ant colony was collecting seeds from multiple spatially separated seed depots. Therefore, each seed depot was treated as an independent replicate (Christian 2001, Christianini *et al.* 2007, Kaspari 1996, Pizo & Oliveira 2001). There were no differences in seed removals between *Acacia* and papaya seeds and the vast majority of seed depots had both types of seeds removed; therefore, we pooled across seed type for the analyses of seed removal and dispersal distance. Using the following statistical models, we examined the influence of four variables on seed removals and dispersal distance: habitat, ant recruitment, ant species identity, and time block.

- **Model 1**: Seed removals = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ (habitat) + β_2 (recruitment) + β_3 (ant species) + β_4 (time block) + e
- **Model 2**: Log (dispersal distance) = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ (habitat) + β_2 (recruitment) + β_3 (ant species) + β_4 (time block) + e

Dispersal distances were not normally distributed; as a result, all dispersal distances were log-transformed for the analyses to ensure normal distribution of the error terms. Seed removal observations were made at three time periods, which were treated as time blocks for the purposes of the analyses. All observations made between 6h30 and 7h30 in the morning were put into the 7h00 block, those made between 8h30 and 9h30 were placed in the 9h00 block, and those made after 15h30 were placed into the 16h00 block. We ran two models predicting seed removals, both including time block, habitat, ant species, and recruitment as random effects, but the first model included all three time blocks as predictors and the second model excluded the 9h00 block because our observations showed that ant foraging and seed removal decreased substantially during that time period.

Ant species characteristics and dispersal distance

We used a generalized linear regression to address whether ant body size predicts both mean and maximum seed dispersal distance. For dimorphic species in the genus *Pheidole*, we used the Weber's length of minor workers because these workers carried seeds, while the major workers patrolled the trails (personal observation). Each unique dispersal event where we collected an ant specimen was treated as an independent replicate.

RESULTS

Ant community

We collected 44 ant species across all habitats. The majority of species were rare, with only one or a few individuals collected per site. The ant assemblages differed among habitats, with the open-pasture and forest-edge habitats most similar in species composition (Jaccard's Classic = 0.457) and *Acacia* most dissimilar with the other three (*Acacia*-Open Jaccard's = 0.222, *Acacia*-Edge Jaccard's = 0.231, and *Acacia*-Forest Jaccard's = 0.263). *Pheidole fallax* and *Ectatomma ruidum* were the most abundant ant species collected in pitfall traps, though both

their relative abundance and occupancy differed among habitats (Table 1). In terms of both relative abundance and per cent occupancy, *Pheidole fallax* was the most abundant ant species in the *Acacia* edge habitat and *E. ruidum* was the most abundant in the open-pasture habitat and less abundant than *P. fallax* in the other three habitats (Table 1).

Effect of habitat disturbance on seed removals

We observed 240 seed depots containing 1920 seeds throughout the course of the study (N = 8 seeds per seed depot, 60 seed depots per habitat, 4 habitats). Out of 1920 seeds, ants removed 589 seeds during the 2-h observation periods (31% seed removal). Patterns of seed removal varied among habitats in the number of seed depots (out of 60 total) that had seed removal (open-pasture N = 42, *Acacia* edge N = 41, forest edge N = 28, secondary forest N = 17; Table 2) and the percentage of seeds removed during the observational period (open-pasture = 38.3%, *Acacia* edge = 36.5%, forest edge = 29%, secondary forest = 29.9%, Figure 1).

Both habitat and time block (observation periods: 7h00, 9h00, 16h00) significantly influenced seed removals (ANOVA overall model df = 11, 228; F = 9.46, P < 0.0001) with a significant interaction term (ANOVA df = 6, 233; F = 5.45, P < 0.0001). The percentage of seeds removed was lowest in the secondary forest and forest edge sites. Removals were highest in the early morning and lowest in the late morning. Ant activity was significantly reduced between 9h00 and 15h00 and ceased almost entirely in the open-pasture habitat. When we excluded the mid-morning time block from the analysis, we still found a significant influence of habitat on seed removals (ANOVA df = 3, 155; F = 15.1,



Figure 1. Differences in seed removals among habitats at Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica, pooling data across time blocks (Forest N = 18; Open N = 30; *Acacia* edge N = 37; Forest edge N = 28). The error bars represent ± 1 SE.



Figure 2. Mean dispersal distance by habitat (Forest N = 18; Open N = 30; *Acacia* edge N = 37; Forest edge N = 28). Data presented for overall mean dispersal distance, pooling data for all observed seed removals, but excluding lost seeds. The error bars represent ± 1 SE.

P < 0.0001; Figure 1), but no effect of time block and no interaction between habitat and time block.

Effect of habitat disturbance on seed dispersal distance

We found significant differences in log(dispersal distance) among habitats (ANOVA df = 3, 109; F = 5.60, P = 0.0013; Figure 2); seeds were dispersed significantly shorter distances in the open-pasture than in the other three habitats and slightly further in the *Acacia* edge than in the secondary forest and forest edge habitats (Figure 2). Overall, 44.2% of all observed seeds were taken to nests, 15.7% were dropped in litter during transport and subsequently abandoned, and 39.1% of seed observations

were terminated due to loss of visual contact with the ant carrying the seed.

Ant species characteristics and dispersal distance

We observed 24 ant species interacting with seeds at seed baits during the course of the study. Most of these species did not remove seeds (14 out of 24; Table 2) and species in the genus Solenopsis cleaned seeds at baits without removing the seeds. Both ant species identity (ANOVA df = 9, 102; F = 5.44, P < 0.0001) and recruitment (ANOVA df = 1, 110; F = 12.9, P = 0.0005) significantly influenced log(dispersal distance). However, the ant species and recruitment terms are not independent of each other since the species that dispersed seeds further were also the recruiting species; thus, when both factors are included in the overall model, they no longer significantly predict log(dispersal distance). For the ant species that dispersed seeds, we found no relationship between the mean and maximum dispersal distance and Weber's length, a measure of ant body size (mean dispersal distance: df = 1,9; $R^2 = 0.13$, P = 0.31; and maximum dispersal distance: df = 1,9; $R^2 = 0.105$, P = 0.344).

Of the species that did remove seeds, four species dispersed seeds more than once, and two ant species, *Ectatomma ruidum* and *Pheidole fallax*, were together responsible for 92% of all observed seed removals (Table 2). The Weber's lengths differed between *E. ruidum* and *P. fallax* (*E. ruidum* N = 22, mean \pm SD = 2.46 \pm 0.13; *P. fallax* minor N = 15, mean \pm SD = 0.86 \pm 0.12), as did the dispersal curves generated by these two species (Figure 3) and their relative contribution to seed removals in different habitats (Table 2), with *P. fallax* most commonly dispersing seeds in the *Acacia*-edge site and *E. ruidum* in the open-pasture site.

Table 2. Total observations of unique removals of seeds, and distances removed, by ant species in Forest (N = 20), Open (N = 28), Forest edge (N = 28), and *Acacia* edge (N = 36) sites. Number of seed depots per site at which each ant species was observed removing seeds is given in parentheses. Mean (\pm SD) and maximum recorded dispersal distances are given per ant species; for species that dispersed seeds once, the mean and maximum distances are the same.

		Nu	Distance dispersed (m)				
	Forest	Open	Forest edge	Acacia edge	Total	$\mathrm{Mean}\pm\mathrm{SD}$	Max
Ponerinae							
Ectatomma ruidum (Roger 1861)	13(7)	23(23)	11(10)	8(8)	54(48)	0.70 ± 0.81	3.85
Odontomachus bauri (Emery 1892)		1(1)			1(1)	0.32 ± 0	0.32
Pachycondyla harpax (Fabricius 1804)	1(1)				1(1)	0.1 ± 0	0.1
Pachycondyla theresiae (Forel 1899)	1(1)			1(1)	2(2)	0.46 ± 0.014	0.47
Myrmicinae							
Acromyrmex echinatior (Forel 1899)		1(1)			1(1)	0.17 ± 0	0.17
Pheidole fallax (Mayr 1870)	2(2)	2(2)	12(12)	23(23)	39(39)	2.11 ± 1.35	5.2
Pheidole pugnax (Dalla Torre 1892)			4(4)	4(4)	8(8)	1.24 ± 1.25	3.2
Pheidole subarnata (Mayr 1884)		1(1)			1(1)	0.04 ± 0	0.04
Pheidole sp. 2			1(1)		1(1)	0.2 ± 0	0.2
Pheidole sp. 3	3(3)				3(3)	1.78 ± 1.64	3.55



Figure 3. Seed dispersal curves generated by *Ectatomma ruidum* (filled in bars, N = 54) and *Pheidole fallax* (open bars, N = 39), pooling data for all seeds across all sites.

DISCUSSION

Ants dispersed a large proportion of seeds in Santa Rosa National Park, seeds not morphologically adapted for such dispersal mechanisms. Ants removed nearly a third of all seeds within the first 2 h of observation in our study and both seed removals and seed dispersal distance differed significantly among sites. Contrary to our expectations, habitat disturbance did not negatively affect seed removals, which were highest at most disturbed site, the open pasture, and lowest at the most intact site, the secondary forest. However, average dispersal distance was highest in the edge habitats and lowest in the open pasture, a result we attribute to the differences in the relative abundance and behavioural characteristics between the two most common seed dispersers, *Ectatomma ruidum* and *Pheidole fallax*.

How should dispersal services be evaluated? One possible criterion for evaluation of seed dispersal services is the measure of distance a propagule is moved away from the maternal plant. Another possible measure of dispersal is a measure of seed germination and survival of offspring to adulthood, as well as adult fecundity. Ants could influence all three of these criteria and separate studies have shown the effects of ants on seed germination (Christianini et al. 2007, Leal et al. 2007), relatedness among offspring (Kalitz et al. 1999), and plant fitness. While our study does not help elucidate effects of ants on all these factors, we show that not all ant species are of equal quality in terms of dispersal distance. From the perspective of the plant, the consequences of dispersal by one ant species versus another can be very different (Carney et al. 2003, Ness 2004). We found significant differences in both mean and maximum achieved dispersal distance between ant species, an effect

that in our system is mediated by ant life history and species-specific behaviour.

Several studies on seed dispersal by ants have noted that larger ant species tend to disperse seeds further than smaller species (Horwitz & Schemske 1986, Kaspari 1996, Ness et al. 2004). In contrast, we found no significant relationship between body size and dispersal distance, most likely due to the fact that the vast majority of seeds were removed by two ant species that differ not only in body size but also in biology and behaviour – E. ruidum and P. fallax. Ectatomma ruidum workers are large-bodied generally solitary foragers (Breed et al. 1990), while P. *fallax* ants (along with other species in the genus *Pheidole*) are relatively small. Pheidole fallax ants recruit heavily to resources (Itzkowitz & Haley 1983), and E. ruidum ants have also been reported to exhibit low-level recruitment to sugar baits (Pratt 1989). In our observations, E. ruidum rarely recruited to seed depots and individual ants had difficulty handling and moving an entire seed, dropping many close to the seed depot. In many instances, E. ruidum ants removed the aril of Acacia seeds without moving the seed at all, while P. fallax ants recruited heavily and as a result, were able to transport seeds, resulting in increased dispersal distance.

Studies have shown that a number of *Pheidole* species, including P. fallax, discard collected seeds into refuse piles (Kaspari 1996, Levey & Byrne 1993). In one P. fallax refuse pile in Santa Rosa National Park, we found seeds from 16 plant species, including those of A. collinsii, which were the most abundant. Several studies have demonstrated that seed germination can be higher on soils from ant nests (Leal et al. 2007, Pizo & Oliveira 2001), though we did not test seed viability and germination in our study. The majority of ant species that interacted with seeds cleaned seeds in situ, and a few studies have shown that seed cleaning by ants improves germination (Christianini et al. 2007, Pizo & Oliveira 1998). Taken together, seed-cleaning and seed dispersal some distance away from the maternal plant confer important benefits for plants that include removal from the parent-offspring conflict zone underneath the parental canopy (Giladi 2006), decreased predation by potential seed harvesters (Giladi 2006), increased germination rates (Christianini et al. 2007), and possibly germinating in nutrient-rich ant nests.

The overall main effect of habitat disturbance on seed dispersal by ants at our sites was the shift in the ant community and the relative abundance of key disperser species, changes that cascaded down to affect ant-seed interactions. Other studies have also demonstrated the importance of ant species composition for seed dispersal (Gorb & Gorb 1999, Horvitz & Beattie 1980, Manzaneda *et al.* 2007, Ness 2004). Santa Rosa National Park contains a highly diverse ant community and a third of the ant species we collected in pitfall traps interacted

with seeds, though the contribution to seed removals from the majority of these species was negligible. Two disturbance specialists, *P. fallax* and *E. ruidum*, were disproportionately important seed dispersers in our study. From the perspective of plants, dispersal by these ant species can be beneficial if habitat alteration negatively impacts other dispersal vectors or if optimal germination and establishment sites are associated with ant nests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is part of the PhD dissertation of TJZ at the University of Colorado - Boulder and was financially supported by the Organization for Tropical Studies, the Walker Van Riper Museum Grant from the University of Colorado, by Sigma Xi Grants-In-Aid student grant, and by the generous support from the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology at the University of Colorado. We thank the Area de Conservacion Guanacaste for allowing us to work at Santa Rosa National Park. We also thank our field assistants Julia Richards, Chrissy Murphy, Larkin Carey, Jessica Vargas and Christie Chatterly, Melissa Geraghty for help with ant identifications, and Josh Sloane for help with ant body size measurements. The manuscript was greatly improved by comments from Ian Turner, Nathan Sanders and Martin Pfeiffer, along with two anonymous reviewers.

LITERATURE CITED

- ANDERSEN, A. N. 1988. Dispersal distance as a benefit of myrmecochory. *Oecologia* 75:507–511.
- BEATTIE, A. J. 1985. *The evolutionary ecology of ant-plant mutualisms*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 204 pp.
- BEATTIE, A. J. & CULVER, D. C. 1981. The guild of myrmecochores in the herbaceous flora of West Virginia forests. *Ecology* 62:107–115.
- BOSSARD, C. C. 1991. The role of habitat disturbance, seed predation, and ant dispersal on establishment of the exotic schrub *Cytisus scoparium* in California. *American Midland Naturalist* 126:1–13.
- BREED, M. D., ABEL, P., BLEUZE, T. J. & DENTON, S. E. 1990. Thievery, home ranges, and nestmate recognition in *Ectatomma ruidum*. *Oecologia* 84:117–121.
- CARNEY, S. E., BYERLEY, M. B. & HOLWAY, D. A. 2003. Invasive Argentine ants (*Linepithema humile*) do not replace native ants as seed dispersers of *Dendromecon rigida*. *Oecologia* 135:576–582.
- CHAO, A., CHAZDON, R. L., COLWELL, R. K. & SHEN, T. J. 2005. A new statistical approach for assessing compositional similarity based on incidence and abundance data. *Ecology Letters* 8:148–159.
- CHAPMAN, C. A., CHAPMAN, L. J., VULINEC, K., ZANNE, A. & LAWES, M. J. 2003. Fragmentation and alteration of seed dispersal processes: an initial evaluation of dung beetles, seed fate, and seedling diversity. *Biotropica* 35:382–393.
- CHAPMAN, R. E. & BOURKE, A. F. G. 2001. The influence of sociality on the conservation biology of social insects. *Ecology Letters* 4:650–662.

- CHRISTIAN, C. E. 2001. Consequences of a biological invasion reveal the importance of mutualism for plant communities. *Nature* 413:635–639.
- CHRISTIANINI, A. V., MAYHE-NUNES, A. J. & OLIVEIRA, P. S. 2007. The role of ants in the removal of non-myrmecochorous diaspores and seed germination in a Neotropical savanna. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 23:343–351.
- DALLING, J. W. & WIRTH, R. 1998. Dispersal of *Miconia argentea* by the leaf-cutting ant *Atta columbica. Journal of Tropical Ecology* 14:705–710.
- DUNN, R. R., GROVE, A., BARRACLOUGH, T. G., GIVNISH, T. J. & MAJER, J. D. 2007. Convergent evolution of an ant-plant mutualism across plant families, continents, and time. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 9:1–14.
- GILADI, I. 2006. Choosing benefits or partners: a review of the evidence for the evolution of myrmecochory. *Oikos* 112:481–492.
- GORB, S. N. & GORB, E. V. 1999. Effects of ant species composition on seed removal in deciduous forest in eastern Europe. *Oikos* 84:110–118.
- HORVITZ, C. C. & BEATTIE, A. J. 1980. Ant dispersal of *Calathea* (Marantaceae) seeds by carnivorous ponerines (Formicidae) in a tropical rain forest. *American Journal of Botany* 67:321–326.
- HORVITZ, C. C. & SCHEMSKE, D. W. 1986. Seed dispersal of a Neotropical myrmecochore: variation in removal rates and dispersal distance. *Biotropica* 18:319–323.
- HUGHES, L. & WESTOBY, M. 1992. Effect of diaspore characteristics on removal of seeds adapted for dispersal by ants. *Ecology* 73:1300– 1312.
- ITZKOWITZ, M. & HALEY, M. 1983. The food retrieval tactics of the ant *Pheidole fallax* Mayr. *Insectes Sociaux* 30:317–322.
- JANZEN, D. H. 1966. Coevolution of mutualism between ants and acacias in Central America. *Evolution* 20:249–275.
- JANZEN, D. H. 1983. Costa Rican natural history. University of Chicago Press, Chicago. 823 pp.
- JANZEN, D. H. 1988. Management of habitat fragments in a tropical dry forest: growth. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Gardens 76:105–116.
- JORDANO, P. & SCHUPP, E. W. 2000. Seed disperser effectiveness: the quantity component and patterns of seed rain for *Prunus mahaleb*. *Ecological Monographs* 70:591–615.
- KALITZ, S., HANZAWA, F. M., TONSOR, S. J., THIEDE, D. A. & VOUGT, S. 1999. Ant-mediated seed dispersal alters pattern of relatedness in a population of *Trillium grandiflorum*. *Ecology* 80:2620–2634.
- KASPARI, M. 1996. Worker size and seed size selection by harvester ants in a Neotropical forest. *Oecologia* 105:397–404.
- LEAL, N. R., WIRTH, R. & TABARELLI, M. 2007. Seed dispersal by ants in the semi-arid Caatinga of North-east Brazil. *Annals of Botany* 99:885–894.
- LEVEY, D. J. & BYRNE, M. M. 1993. Complex ant-plant interactions: rain forest ants as secondary dispersers and post-dispersal seed predators. *Ecology* 74:1802–1812.
- LEVEY, D. J., BOLKER, B. M., TEWKSBURY, J. J., SARGENT, S. & HADDAD, N. M. 2005. Effects of landscape corridors on seed dispersal by birds. *Science* 309:146–148.
- MANZANEDA, A. J., REY, P. J. & BOULAY, R. 2007. Geographic and temporal variation in the ant-seed dispersal assemblage of

the perennial herb *Helleborus foetidus* L. (Ranunculaceae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 92:135–150.

- NESS, J. H. 2004. Forest edges and fire ants alter the seed shadow of an ant-dispersed plant. *Oecologia* 138:448–454.
- NESS, J. H., BRONSTEIN, J. J., ANDERSEN, A. N. & HOLLAND, J. N. 2004. Ant body size predicts dispersal distance of antadapted seeds: implications of small-ant invasions. *Ecology* 85:1244– 1250.
- PIZO, M. A. 2007. The use of seeds by a twig-dwelling ant on the floor of a tropical rain forest. *Biotropica*: online.
- PIZO, M. A. & OLIVEIRA, P. S. 1998. Interaction between ants and seeds of a nonmyrmecochorous neotropical tree, *Cabralea canjerana* (Meliaceae) in the Atlantic forest of southeast Brazil. *American Journal* of Botany 85:669–674.
- PIZO, M. A. & OLIVEIRA, P. S. 2001. Size and lipid content of nonmyrmecochorous diaspores: effects on the interaction with litterforaging ants in the Atlantic rain forest of Brazil. *Plant Ecology* 157:37–52.
- PRATT, S. C. 1989. Recruitment and other communication behaviour in the ponerine ants *Ectatomma ruidum*. *Ethology* 81:313–331.
- RESTREPO, C. & GOMEZ, N. 1998. Responses of understory birds to anthropogenic edges in a Neotropical montane forest. *Ecological Applications* 8:170–183.
- SUAREZ, A. V., DE MORAES, C. & IPPOLITO, A. 1998. Defense of Acacia collinsii by an obligate and nonobligate ant species: the significance of encroaching vegetation. *Biotropica* 30:480–482.
- WANG, B. C. & SMITH, T. B. 2002. Closing the seed dispersal loop. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17:379–387.