

Associations of teat morphometric parameters and subclinical mastitis in riverine buffaloes

Gagandeep Kaur¹, Baljinder Kumar Bansal¹, Raj Sukhbir Singh^{2*}, Neeraj Kashyap³ and Shukriti Sharma¹

¹ Department of Veterinary Medicine, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, India

² Department of Teaching Veterinary Clinical Complex, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, India

³ Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, India

Received 27 July 2017; accepted for publication 15 June 2018

The possible association between teat morphometric traits and subclinical mastitis (SCM) in dairy buffaloes was studied. Teat morphometric parameters, i.e. teat shape (bottle, conical, cylindrical, and others), teat-end shape (flat, round, and pointed), teat length (TL), teat diameter (TD), and teat-end to floor distance were measured before milking, but after proper milk let-down, in clinically healthy buffaloes (47 Murrah and 34 Nili-Ravi breeds). Subclinical mastitis was defined on the basis of bacteriology and somatic cell count (SCC) of quarter foremilk samples. A high proportion of cylindrical teats (40%) and pointed teat-ends (64.4%) was observed. Hind teats were longer and thicker than fore teats ($P < 0.05$). A significant breed effect was found with respect to teat shape, length and diameter ($P < 0.05$). Teats were mostly cylindrical (43.3 vs. 35.4%) and conical (34.2 vs. 30.8%) shaped, smaller (mean 8.2 vs. 9.5 cm) and thinner (mean 3.3 vs. 3.6 cm) in the Murrah breed compared with the Nili-Ravi breed. Teats that had ‘other’ shapes and were longer, wider, and placed closer to the floor were more associated with SCM ($P < 0.05$). Mean SCC was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in Nili-Ravi buffaloes, teat shapes classified as ‘others’, and quarters with SCM. Teat morphometric traits seem to be associated with indicators of udder health in buffaloes, thus, their inclusion in breeding programmes for selection against undesirable dairy type traits may be of value in reducing susceptibility to intramammary infections in Indian buffaloes.

Keywords: Buffalo, teat morphometry, SCC, subclinical mastitis, udder health.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, India is the largest milk producing country in the world due to its large bovine population comprising of approximately 210 million cattle and 112 million buffaloes. Approximately 60% of the world’s buffalo milk comes from India and buffaloes contribute to around 55% of the total milk production in India. Riverine buffaloes are an integral part of Indian livestock economy and are preferred over cattle as a dairy animal because of the high milk fat content which fetches a higher market price (Ahlawat et al. 2003).

Conformational traits of the udder and teats have a direct relation with milk production potential in dairy animals including buffaloes (Thomas et al. 2004; Prasad et al. 2010; Deng et al. 2012). The udder and teat measurements vary in different stages of lactation and parities and also

between breeds and individuals in the same herd (Tilki et al. 2005; Abdullah et al. 2013). The morphological characteristics of teats have high heritability and can be used in breeding programmes to improve milk production and quality (Coban et al. 2009; Nakov et al. 2014).

The most common cow-related risk factors for mastitis are breed, parity, stage of lactation, udder and teat morphology, udder oedema, milk production, milk somatic cell count (SCC) and reproductive disorders (Nyman et al. 2007; Valde et al. 2007; Nakov et al. 2014). These factors may play a significant role in decision making by the dairy farmers at the time of selection of dairy animals to lower the incidence of mastitis.

The teat is the first line of defence against intramammary infection (IMI). Thus, udder and teat morphometric traits are among the potential risk factors that may predispose the animal to intramammary infections (Okano et al. 2015). It is important that teats have a suitable morphology to reduce susceptibility to the invasion of pathogenic

*For correspondence; e-mail: rsbs_66@rediffmail.com

organisms. The probability of mastitis occurring varies considerably between different teat and teat-end shapes, sizes, and teat placement (Bardakcioglu et al. 2011). Previously, studies on the risks of developing SCM in dairy cows in India have indicated the possible effects of teat length (TL), teat diameter (TD), and teat morphology (Bhutto et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2014). Uzmay et al. (2003) and Singh et al. (2014) identified longer teats as a potential risk factor for mastitis. The TD was also found to be positively correlated with the IMI in lactating cows (Kuczaj, 2003; Singh et al. 2014). Bharti et al. (2015) reported that teats with flat/wide teat-ends were more susceptible to clinical mastitis.

The dairy buffalo is considered to be less susceptible to mastitis than the dairy cow (Mustafa et al. 2013). Some important udder morphological characteristics of the buffalo may influence any difference from the cow in predisposition for infections and inflammations, e.g. the tighter teat sphincter of buffaloes (Uppal et al. 1994). Although the dairy buffalo has huge economic importance, very little work has been done to demonstrate associations between teat morphological traits and the occurrence of mastitis in this species. Considering the importance of conformation of the mammary gland in the occurrence of bovine mastitis, the present study was aimed to evaluate the morphometric features of teats in Murrah and Nili-Ravi breeds of buffalo and their associations with udder health at the quarter level.

Material and methods

Farm management

The study was conducted at the dairy farm of the University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India using high-producing Murrah and Nili-Ravi buffaloes. The lactating animals used were loose housed and milked twice daily, at 04.00 and 16.00 h. As required for experimental purposes some animals were machine-milked using individual bucket milkers designed for buffalo milking (DeLaval, Tumba, Sweden). The milking machine operated at a vacuum of 55 kPa with 60 : 40 pulsation at 1 Hz. Animals were fed fresh green seasonal fodder when available, otherwise silage. Supplementary concentrate was fed according to milk yield.

Animals

Healthy Murrah ($n=47$) and Nili-Ravi ($n=34$) were selected at random from the herd. The animals varied in parity (mean 2.6 ± 0.2 ; range 1–9) and stage of lactation (mean 4.2 ± 0.4 months; range 1–12 months). The average test day milk production was 7 L (range 1–17 L).

Teat morphometry

All buffalo were stimulated for milk let down by calf or manual massage of the udder after washing. At the time of

Table 1. Definition of quarter health status as per the International Dairy Federation criteria (IDF, 1987)

Milk somatic cell counts (cells/ml)	Microbial pathogen	
	Not detected	Detected
$\leq 400\,000$	Healthy	Latent infection
$> 400\,000$	Nonspecific mastitis	Specific mastitis

evening milking, teat morphological parameters recorded were:

Teat length: Defined as the distance (cm) from base of the teat to tip of the teat, measured by a tape

Teat diameter: Diameter (cm) of the teat at the mid of the teat barrel, measured by Vernier calipers

Teat-end to floor distance: Distance (cm) of the teat-tip from the floor forming an angle of 90° with the floor, measured by a tape

Teat shapes: Classified as bottle, conical, cylindrical, and 'others' (not any of these three categories)

Teat-end shapes: Classified as flat, round or pointed

Collection and analysis of milk samples

Quarter foremilk samples (10 ml) were collected aseptically into sterile glass tubes after discarding the first few strips of milk. Samples were stored at 4°C until analysed for bacteriology and cell count within 1 h of collection. Bacteriological examination was performed at a mastitis laboratory of the University, according to the recommended tests and standard procedures (Hogan et al. 1999). Somatic cells numbers (SCC) were estimated using an automatic somatic cell counter (SomaScope Smart, DELTA Instruments, The Netherlands). Both absolute ($\times 10^3$ cells/ml) and \log_{10} SCC cells/ml values were calculated. The quarter health status was defined on the basis of SCC and bacteriology of foremilk samples according to the International Dairy Federation criteria (IDF, 1987) (Table 1). Both perfectly healthy quarters and quarters with latent infections were grouped as 'healthy quarters' since it is generally assumed that a latent infection induces no significant inflammatory reaction in an udder. A 'mastitis group' represents both non-specific and specific mastitis quarters together. At the animal level, an udder was considered mastitic if at least one quarter was affected by non-specific or specific mastitis.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using SAS (version 9.3, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Associations of teat and teat-end shapes with breed (Murrah and Nili-Ravi) and quarter health (healthy and SCM) were examined using Chi-Square test (χ^2) of independence of factors. Data on teat morphometry were analysed using the general linear model procedure using following model:

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + B_i + QL_j + QP_k + QH_l + e_{ijklm}$$

Table 2. Association between teat morphology and breeds of buffalo (Murrah and Nili-Ravi)

Variable	Breed		Overall <i>n</i> (%)	Significance of results
	Murrah <i>n</i> (%)	Nili-Ravi <i>n</i> (%)		
Teat shape				$\chi^2 = 7.4$; <i>df</i> = 3; <i>P</i> < 0.05
Bottle	36 (19.3)	32 (24.6)	68 (21.5)	
Conical	64 (34.2)	40 (30.8)	104 (32.8)	
Cylindrical	81 (43.3)	46 (35.4)	127 (40.1)	
Others	6 (3.2)	12 (9.2)	18 (5.7)	
Teat-end shape				$\chi^2 = 3.6$; <i>df</i> = 2; N.S.
Pointed	126 (67.4)	78 (60)	204 (64.4)	
Round	59 (31.5)	52 (40)	111 (35)	
Flat	2 (1.1)	0 (0)	2 (0.6)	

N.S.: Non-significant

Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage.

where Y_{ijklm} : individual teat morphometric parameter; μ : general mean; B_i : effect of breed (Murrah and Nili-Ravi); QL_j : effect of left and right side teats; QP_k : effect of fore and hind teats, QH_l : effect of quarter health (healthy and SCM) and e_{ijklm} : residual error.

The data on $\log_{10}SCC$ were analysed using the general linear model with following model:

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + B_i + TS_j + ES_k + QH_l + e_{ijklm}$$

where Y_{ijklm} : mean $\log_{10}SCC$; μ : general mean; B_i : effect of breed (Murrah and Nili-Ravi); TS_j : effect of teat shape (bottle, conical, cylindrical and other); ES_k : effect of teat-end shape (flat, round, and pointed), QH_l : effect of quarter health (healthy and SCM) and e_{ijklm} : residual error.

Pearson's correlation was used to find the relationship between teat morphometric parameters and $\log_{10}SCC$. Statistical significance was defined at *P*-value of <0.05.

Results and discussion

Prevalence of SCM

A total of 324 quarter foremilk samples from 81 apparently healthy lactating Murrah (188 teats) and Nili-Ravi (136 teats) buffaloes were analysed for bacteriology and SCC. The prevalence of SCM was 21% at animal level with 1.54% and 9.26% of the quarters were having specific and non-specific mastitis, respectively. The prevalence of SCM was low compared with the findings of Hardenberg (2016) (28.6% animal level and 10.6% quarter level) and Sharma & Sindhu (2007) (33% quarter level) but was high compared with a study by Bulla et al. (2006) (6.23% quarter level). The difference in prevalence of SCM may be due to the criteria used to define mastitis, different husbandry practices, diagnostic techniques, environmental conditions and immune status of animals. Most of the IMI were caused by coagulase negative staphylococci (59%) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (31%) and *Corynebacterium* species (10%). In buffaloes, *Staphylococcus* species usually predominate (39%) in both clinical and SCM followed by *Streptococcus* species

(31–32%) in SCM (Sharma & Sindhu, 2007; Kaur et al. 2015; Talukder et al. 2016).

Teat morphometry

A total of 317 teats (187 teats in Murrah and 130 teats in Nili-Ravi breed) were examined for teat and teat-end shapes (Table 2). Overall, cylindrical teats were predominant (40.1%) followed by pointed or conical (32.8%), bottle shaped (21.5%), and 'others' (5.7%). Cylindrical teats were the most common shape (Murrah 43.3% and Nili-Ravi 35.4%); the distribution of teat shapes differed significantly between the breeds ($\chi^2 = 7.4$; *df* = 3; *P* < 0.05). These results are similar to previous studies on Murrah buffaloes (Thomas et al. 2004; Bharadwaj et al. 2007; Prasad et al. 2010) who also reported a high frequency of cylindrical teats. The high frequency of cylindrical teats commonly reported may indicate selection as such teat shapes are associated with increased milk yield, compared with other teat shapes (Bharadwaj et al. 2007; Prasad et al. 2010).

The most prevalent teat-end shape was pointed (64.4%) followed by round (35%) (Table 2). Between the breeds, Murrah had 67.4% and Nili-Ravi had 60% pointed teat-ends. Flat teat-ends were rare. Various other teat-end shapes (inverted, pointed disk, round flat, round ring) reported in different cattle breeds (Coban et al. 2009) were absent in the buffaloes.

The morphometric parameters measured are reported in Table 3 and differed significantly (*P* < 0.05) between the breeds. The overall TL and TD were 8.8 ± 0.1 and 3.4 ± 0.04 cm, respectively. Teat location was significantly associated with TL and TD; left side teats were longer than right side teats. Similarly, hind teats were longer, and thicker, than fore teats (*P* < 0.05). Teats were longer and wider in Nili-Ravi breed and they were placed nearer to the floor as compared with Murrah breed. Chandrasekar et al. (2016) found longer and wider hind teats, as compared with fore teats, in primiparous Nili-Ravi buffaloes. Prasad et al. (2010) reported a positive correlation of TD and TL

Table 3. Teat morphometric parameters of buffaloes according to teat location, breed and quarter health

Variable	Teat morphometric parameters		
	Teat length (cm)	Teat diameter (cm)	Teat-end to floor distance (cm)
Teat location			
Left	9.0 ^a ± 0.2 [‡]	3.4 ± 0.06 [‡]	43.6 ± 0.5 [‡]
Right	8.5 ^b ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.05	43.2 ± 0.5
Fore	8.0 ^b ± 0.2	3.2 ^b ± 0.1	43.9 ± 0.7
Hind	9.5 ^a ± 0.2	3.6 ^a ± 0.1	42.9 ± 0.7
Breed			
Murrah	8.2 ^b ± 0.1	3.3 ^b ± 0.1	45.8 ^a ± 0.4
Nili-Ravi	9.5 ^a ± 0.2	3.6 ^a ± 0.1	40.0 ^b ± 0.5
Quarter health			
Healthy	8.7 ^b ± 0.1	3.4 ^b ± 0.04	43.6 ^a ± 0.4
Mastitis	9.7 ^a ± 0.4	3.8 ^a ± 0.2	39.7 ^b ± 1.5

‡Values are Mean ± SE

For each variable, means in columns with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

with average daily milk yield in Murrah buffaloes. The teat-end to floor distance did not vary significantly between left and right side teats or fore and hind teats. Prasad et al. (2010) reported smaller (TL: 7.8 ± 0.2 cm) and thinner (TD: 2.8 ± 0.02 cm) teats in Murrah buffaloes. In Nili-Ravi buffaloes, the teat width was slightly lower while the average TL was similar to the findings of Abdullah et al. (2013). The difference in teat thickness between hind and fore teats was higher in buffaloes than those reported in dairy cows (0.05 – 0.1 cm) (Kuczaj, 2003; Weiss et al. 2004).

Teat morphometry and quarter health

The average TL and TD of teats with SCM was 9.7 and 3.8 cm, respectively, and the distance of such teats from the floor was 39.7 cm (Table 3). All these morphometric parameters were significantly associated with poor udder health ($P < 0.05$)

According to Berry et al. (2004), dairy cows with longer teats are genetically predisposed to a higher incidence of mastitis. Generally, it is assumed that longer teats are more prone to physical injuries as they are placed closer to floor, and teat lesions are a well-documented risk factor for mastitis (Breen et al. 2009; Bhutto et al. 2010). However, Hussain et al. (2013) observed significant association between smaller teats and mastitis ($P < 0.05$ to $P < 0.001$) in Nili-Ravi buffaloes. Also, Hussain et al. (2013) found a significant association between TD (measured at the apex, mid and base of the teat) and mastitis ($P < 0.05$ to $P < 0.001$). Rathore (1976) reported that larger diameter teats had a larger orifice which remained open for a longer period, thus facilitating pathogens to enter the udder. Longer teats have been suggested to result in more liner slips and to incur more teat end lesions, both related to mastitis incidence in dairy cows (Rogers et al. 1991;

Mein et al. 2004). No evidence for this has yet been found for dairy buffaloes. Previously, a smaller teat-end to floor distance was shown to be associated with increased risk of SCC or IMI in dairy cows (Porcionato et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2014).

Teat shape was significantly associated with SCM ($\chi^2 = 8.1$; $df = 3$; $P < 0.05$; Table 4). The animals with teats classified as 'others' (28.6%) had a higher incidence of SCM, followed by those with bottle shaped teats (17.2%). Conical teats (7.4%) were the least associated with SCM in this study. With respect to teat-end shape, SCM was more frequently seen in round (14.3%) and pointed (9.9%) teat-ends. However, the effect of teat-end shape on SCM incidence was not of statistical significance. On the contrary, in dairy cows, Chrystal et al. (2001) indicated that herds in which teat-ends increase in score from pointed to inverted had increased susceptibility to mastitis. Likewise, Coban et al. (2009) observed significantly higher SCC with inverted teats. These dissimilarities in findings could be related to genetic differences between breeds, the subjective nature of teat-end evaluation methods, and milking procedures.

The log₁₀SCC was significantly higher in Nili-Ravi buffaloes as compared with Murrah buffaloes (Table 5). This may be due to the differences in the teat morphometric parameters in these breeds, as discussed above. The teats of the Nili-Ravi buffaloes were longer, wider and placed closer to the ground, thus possibly making them more susceptible to SCM. Further, teats classified as 'others' had significantly higher cell counts (250 000 cells/ml) compared with the other shapes ($P < 0.05$). Conical shaped teats had the lowest cell count. No significant difference in SCC was observed with respect to teat-end shape, although the means were highest in teats with flat (274 000 cells/ml) and round (265 000 cells/ml) teat-ends. The SCC was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) for animals suffering from SCM (794 000 cells/ml) compared with healthy animals (63 100 cells/ml).

A higher prevalence of SCM and SCC was related to teats that had an undefined shape. Similarly, teats with flat or rounded ends had a higher prevalence for SCC and SCM, respectively. However, no consensus could be drawn from the results of the present study regarding the effect of teat and teat-end shape on quarter health in these buffaloes. Hussain et al. (2013) found a significant ($P < 0.001$) association between teat shape (classified as pointed, cylindrical, round and flat) and occurrence of mastitis in Nili-Ravi buffaloes; teats with round and cylindrical shapes being more susceptible to mastitis than pointed teats. On the contrary, Sharma et al. (2017) did not observe any association between milk SCC and different teat shapes in high yielding crossbred cows. With respect to teat-end shapes, it has been reported that as the teat-end shape changes from pointed toward flat and inverted, SCC increases (Seykora & McDaniel, 1985). Slettbackk et al. (1995) reported that teats with flat teat-ends were more susceptible to clinical mastitis. However, Chrystal et al. (1999) showed no relationship between teat-end shape and SCC in cows.

Table 4. Association between teat morphology and quarter health in buffaloes

Teat variable	Quarter health		Overall <i>n</i> (%)	Significance of results
	Healthy <i>n</i> (%)	Mastitis <i>n</i> (%)		
Teat shape				$\chi^2 = 8.1$; <i>df</i> = 3; <i>P</i> < 0.05
Bottle	53 (82.8)	11 (17.2)	64 (21.5)	
Conical	88 (92.6)	7 (7.4)	95 (31.9)	
Cylindrical	113 (90.4)	12 (9.6)	125 (41.9)	
Others	10 (71.4)	4 (28.6)	14 (4.7)	
Teat-end shape				$\chi^2 = 1.5$; <i>df</i> = 2; N.S.
Pointed	172 (90.1)	19 (9.9)	191 (64.1)	
Round	90 (85.7)	15 (14.3)	105 (35.2)	
Flat	2 (100)	0 (0)	2 (0.7)	

N.S.: Non-significant.

Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage.

Table 5. Somatic cell counts (SCC) in buffaloes according to breed, teat morphology and quarter health

Variable	<i>n</i>	%	SCC ($\times 10^3$) cells/ml	Log ₁₀ SCC* (cells/ml)
Breed				
Murrah	188	59.9	201.3 \pm 49.7 [‡]	4.8 ^b \pm 0.04 [‡]
Nili-Ravi	126	41.1	239.7 \pm 50.1	4.9 ^a \pm 0.05
Teat shape				
Bottle	68	21.4	416.1 \pm 147.2	5.0 ^b \pm 0.1
Conical	104	32.8	107.6 \pm 22.1	4.7 ^c \pm 0.04
Cylindrical	127	40.1	186.5 \pm 42.8	4.9 ^{bc} \pm 0.04
Other	18	5.7	369.2 \pm 76.4	5.4 ^a \pm 0.1
Teat-end shape				
Flat	2	0.6	274 \pm 13	5.4 \pm 0.02
Pointed	204	64.4	193.5 \pm 47.8	4.8 \pm 0.04
Round	111	35	265 \pm 61.9	4.9 \pm 0.1
Quarter health				
Healthy	279	88.8	82.5 \pm 4.9	4.8 ^b \pm 0.02
Mastitis	35	11.2	1286.3 \pm 258.5	5.9 ^a \pm 0.05

*Log base 10 transformation of SCC

‡Values are Mean \pm SEFor each variable, means in columns with different superscripts differ significantly (*P* < 0.05)

No correlation was found between SCC and TL and TD in this study. On the contrary, Bharti et al. (2015) observed a positive correlation of SCC with TL and TD. Coban et al. (2009) and Sharma et al. (2017) also observed a positive correlation between mastitis and TD. However, a negative correlation between SCC and teat-end to floor distance was found in this study (*P* < 0.05). Similar findings were also reported by Sharma et al. (2017) in dairy cows.

In conclusion, this study showed the relationship between some teat morphometric traits and poor udder health in lactating buffaloes. Similar to dairy cows, buffalo teats that had undefined shapes, that were longer and wider, and placed nearer to the floor had more associations with SCM and SCC. These morphometric traits should be included in breeding programmes to select against undesirable dairy type traits and so reduce the incidence of mastitis and improve milk production in Indian dairy buffaloes.

References

- Abdullah M, Javed K, Khalid MS, Ahmad N, Bhatti JA & Younas U 2013 Relationship of udder and teat morphology with milk production in Nili-Ravi buffaloes of Pakistan. *Buffalo Bulletin* **32** 1335–1338
- Ahluwat SPS, Vij PK & Tantia MS 2003 Conservation of buffalo genetic resources. In *Proceedings of 4th Asian Buffalo Congress*, New Delhi, India, pp. 62–68
- Bardackcioglu HE, Sekkin S & Toplu HDO 2011 Relationship between some teat and body measurements of Holstein cows and sub-clinical mastitis and milk yield. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* **10** 1735–1737
- Berry DP, Buckler F, Dillon P, Evans RD & Veerkamp RF 2004 Genetic relationships among linear type traits, milk yield, body weight, fertility and somatic cell count in primiparous dairy cows. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* **43** 161–176
- Bharadwaj A, Dixit VB, Sethi RK & Khanna S 2007 Association of breed characteristics with milk production in Murrah buffaloes. *Indian Journal of Animal Science* **77** 1011–1016
- Bharti P, Bhakat C, Pankaj PK, Bhat SA, Prakash MA, Thul MR & Japheth KP 2015 Relationship of udder and teat conformation with intramammary infection in crossbred cows under hot-humid climate. *Veterinary World* **8** 898–901
- Bhutto AL, Murray RD & Woldehiwet Z 2010 Udder shape and teat-end lesions as potential risk factors for high somatic cell counts and intramammary infections in dairy cows. *The Veterinary Journal* **183** 63–67
- Breen JE, Bradley AJ & Green MJ 2009 Quarter and cow risk factors associated with a somatic cell count greater than 199 000 cells per milliliter in United Kingdom dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **92** 3106–3115
- Bulla TR, Rana YS, Sharma A & Beniwal BS 2006 Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in Murrah buffaloes. *Haryana Veterinarian* **45** 53–56
- Chandrasekar T, Das KS, Bhat SA, Singh JK, Parkunanan T, Japheth KP & Bharti P 2016 Relationship of prepartum udder and teat measurements with subsequent milk production traits in primiparous Nili-Ravi buffaloes. *Veterinary World* **9** 1173–1177
- Chrystal MA, Seykora AJ & Hansen LB 1999 Heritabilities of teat end shape and teat diameter and their relationships with somatic cell score. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82** 2017–2022
- Chrystal MA, Seykora AJ, Hansen LB, Freeman AE, Kelley DH & Healey MH 2001 Heritability of teat-end shape and the relationship of teat-end shape with somatic cell score for an experimental herd of cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **84** 2549–2554
- Coban O, Sabuncuoglu N & Tuzemen N 2009 A study on relationships between somatic cell count (SCC) and some udder traits in dairy cows. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* **8** 134–138
- Deng MP, Badri TM, Atta M & Hamad ME 2012 Relationship between udder dimensions and milk yield of Kenana \times Friesian crossbred cows. *Research Opinion in Animal and Veterinary Science* **2** 49–54

- Hardenberg F** 2016 Clinical and subclinical mastitis in dairy cattle and buffaloes in Bihar, India. Prevalence, major pathogens and risk factors. Assessed online on 2017 https://stud.epsilon.slu.se/8859/1/Hardenberg_F_160225.pdf
- Hogan JS, González RN, Harmon RJ, Nickerson SC, Oliver SP, Pankey JW & Smith KL** 1999 *Laboratory Handbook on Bovine Mastitis*. Revised edition. Madison, WI, USA: National Mastitis Council Inc
- Hussain R, Javed MJ, Khan A & Muhammad G** 2013 Risks factors associated with subclinical mastitis in water buffaloes in Pakistan. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* **45** 1723–1729
- International Dairy Federation (IDF)** 1987 *Bovine Mastitis. Definition and Guidelines for Diagnosis*. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation. Brussels, Belgium: International Dairy Federation
- Kaur M, Verma R, Bansal BK, Mukhopadhyay CS & Arora JS** 2015 Status of subclinical mastitis and associated risk factors in Indian water buffalo in Doaba region of Punjab, India. *Indian Journal of Dairy Science* **68** 35–40
- Kuczaj M** 2003 Analysis of changes in udder size of high-yielding cows in subsequent lactations with regard to mastitis. *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities. Series Animal Husbandry* **6** 2–5
- Mein GA, Reinemann DJ, Schuring N & Ohnstad I** 2004 Milking machines and mastitis risk: a storm in a teatcup. In *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting of the National Mastitis Council*
- Mustafa YS, Farhat NA & Tooba Z** 2013 Prevalence and antibacterial susceptibility in mastitis in buffalo and cow in district Lahore-Pakistan. *Buffalo Bulletin* **32** 307–314
- Nakov D, Hristov S, Andonov S & Trajchev M** 2014 Udder-related risk factors for clinical mastitis in dairy cows. *Veterinarski Arhiv* **84** 111–127
- Nyman AK, Ekman T, Emanuelson U, Gustafsson AH, Holtenius K, Person Waller K & Hallen Sandgren C** 2007 Risk factors associated with the incidence of veterinary-treated clinical mastitis in Swedish dairy herds with a high milk yield and a low prevalence of subclinical mastitis. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **78** 142–160
- Okano W, Koetz Junior C & Bogado ALG** 2015 Relationship between shape of teat and teat tip and somatic cell count (SCC) in dairy cows. *Acta Scientiae Veterinariae* **43** 1276–1281
- Porcionato MADF, Soares WVB, Reis CBMD, Cortinhas CS, Mestieri L & Santos MVD** 2010 Milk flow, teat morphology and subclinical mastitis prevalence in Gir cows. *Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira* **45** 1507–1512
- Prasad RMV, Rao ER, Sudhakar K, Gupta BR & Mahender M** 2010 Studies on udder and teat measurements as affected by parity and their relationship with milk yield in Murrah buffaloes. *Buffalo Bulletin* **29** 194–198
- Rathore AK** 1976 Relationships between teat shape, production and mastitis in Friesian cows. *British Veterinary Journal* **132** 389–392
- Rogers GW, Hargrove GL, Lawlor TJJ & Ebersole JL** 1991 Correlations among linear type traits and somatic cell counts. *Journal of Dairy Science* **74** 1087–1091
- Seykora AJ & McDaniel BT** 1985 Udder and teat morphology related to mastitis resistance: a review. *Journal of Dairy Science* **68** 2087–2093
- Sharma A & Sindhu N** 2007 Occurrence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis in buffaloes in the State of Haryana (India). *Italian Journal of Animal Science* **6** 965–967
- Sharma T, Das PK, Ghosh PR, Banerjee D & Mukherjee J** 2017. Association between udder morphology and in vitro activity of milk leukocytes in high yielding crossbred cows. *Veterinary World* **10** 342–347
- Singh RS, Bansal BK & Gupta DK** 2014 Udder health in relation to udder and teat morphometry in Holstein-Friesian × Sahiwal crossbred dairy cows. *Tropical Animal Health Production* **46** 93–98
- Slettback T, Jørstad A, Farver TB & Holmes JC** 1995 Impact of milking characteristics and morphology of udder and teats on clinical mastitis in first- and second-lactation Norwegian cattle. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **24** 235–244
- Talukder AA, Rahman HH, Mahmud SJM, Alam F & Dey SK** 2016 Isolation, identification and resistance pattern of microorganisms associated with mastitis in Buffalo. *Bangladesh Journal of Microbiology* **30** 1–5
- Thomas CS, Svennersten-Sjaunja K, Bhosrekar R & Bruckmaier R** 2004 Mammary cistern size, cisternal milk and milk ejection in Murrah buffaloes. *Journal of Dairy Research* **71** 162–168
- Tilki M, Inal S, Colak M & Garip M** 2005 Relationships between milk yield and udder measurements in Brown Swiss cows. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences* **29** 75–81
- Uppal SK, Singh KB, Roy KS, Nauriyal DC & Bansal BK** 1994 Natural defense mechanism against mastitis: a comparative histo-morphology of buffalo and cow teat canal. *Buffalo Journal* **2** 125–131
- Uzmay C, Kaya Y, Akbas Y & Kaya A** 2003 Effects of udder and teat morphology, parity and lactation stage on subclinical mastitis in Holstein cows. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science* **27** 695–701
- Valde JP, Lystad ML, Simensen E & Osteras O** 2007 Comparison of feeding management and body condition of dairy cows in herds with low and high mastitis rates. *Journal of Dairy Science* **90** 4317–4324
- Weiss D, Weinfurter M & Bruckmaier RM** 2004 Teat anatomy and its relationship with quarter and udder milk flow characteristics in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **87** 3280–3289