

enslaved, represented the greatest threat to Spanish hegemony in the region. The inclusion of Honduras in the volume, whether in urban centres such as Omoa or in peripheral areas such as La Mosquitia, is a departure from much of the scholarship on the country in that it establishes very early the African, indigenous and European dimensions of the nation and the ways in which all groups negotiated their existence and identity in the Spanish colonial context. The essay on Angolans in Guatemala affirms this by furthering the argument that Afro-descendants, particularly mulattos, were instrumental in the development of *ladino* identity in places such as colonial Amatitlán.

The chapters in the second half of the book address established themes such as the rise of American enclaves along the Caribbean coastal areas of the region in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries due to the development of the banana and tropical fruit industries. Costa Rica and Nicaragua are the nations covered in this section. Established themes such as the expansion of an Afro-descended proto-peasantry in the banana zones, the perception of Afro-Caribbean descendants in Costa Rica as marginal citizens, and the impact of the liberal/conservative debate in Nicaragua are revisited. The essay on the Nicaraguan political debate and its influence on racial identity and issues of loyalty and national sovereignty during the filibuster period offer new interpretations to an overlooked period in Central American history.

Through its careful and thorough research, broad chronology and in-depth analysis that situates the history of people of African descent within the wider literature on race and identity in Latin America, *Blacks and Blackness in Central America* offers a welcome contribution to the study of the African Diaspora. No longer can the histories of people of African descent be subsumed beneath homogenising national discourses or US foreign relations-centred narratives. While the authors have worked to integrate the history of blacks in Central America into the larger Latin American and Black Atlantic historiography, the essays in the volume are equally important to the study of the African Diaspora and contribute greatly to that literature, something that is often understated in the essays. The scope of this volume has made it impossible for Central American history to be ignored. The volume does expose the continued lack of attention given to El Salvador, a country often alluded to in the larger literature as having a small but relevant African presence, but the groundwork laid by the scholars in this volume provides a framework for future scholars to uncover the history of African descendants in that country and other areas throughout the Americas.

Texas A&M University

GLENN A. CHAMBERS

*J. Lat. Amer. Stud.* 45 (2013). doi:10.1017/S0022216X13000473

Laura Gotkowitz (ed.), *Histories of Race and Racism: The Andes and Mesoamerica from Colonial Times to the Present* (Durham, NC, and London: Duke University Press, 2011), pp. vii + 400, \$94.95, \$25.95 pb; £69.00, £16.99 pb.

This book has many strong points to recommend it. First, it deals directly with the concept of race in Latin America, not just past but also present. As Gotkowitz notes, more and more scholars and activists are ‘finding it impossible not to use the word “race”’ (p. 2), which is not quite the same as using the word ‘racism’. Second, it combines historical and anthropological approaches to provide a satisfying *longue durée* account of the work that race has done and still does in

the region. Third, its 16 chapters are written by a mix of scholars, some of them based in Latin America, others based in the North; this gives great coverage and an interesting variety of styles, some more traditionally 'academic' than others. Each chapter is fairly short, concise and very well honed, reflecting an effective editorial hand.

Weaker points are few. The book focuses strongly on Bolivia, with six chapters on this country alone (Mexico and Guatemala get two chapters each, Peru and Ecuador one apiece). This bias is justified in terms of the recent overt manifestations of racism in Bolivia, such as the events of 24 May 2008 in Sucre, described by Calla and the Research Group of the Observatorio del Racismo, when 'forty people of indigenous and peasant origin were dragged into the main square ... and publicly punished and humiliated' (p. 311). The book also deals with race and racism almost exclusively in terms of indigeneity, with passing nods to blackness. A single book cannot do everything, but the overall effect is to reproduce once more the division between the 'Indio' and the 'Afro' in Latin American studies, even if the division is undermined by looking at indigeneity in terms of race.

Gotkowitz's excellent introduction includes a perceptive account of the way in which scholars have dealt with 'biology' and 'culture' in thinking about race. She outlines four approaches: (1) race is a discourse of biology; (2) race used to be about biologisation, but is now a discourse of culture that masks continued racism; (3) race is (and always has been) about the intersections of ideas of biology and culture, meaning there has been no simple historical transition from 'biology' to 'culture'; (4) race is a combination of (2) and (3), such that culture and biology always intertwine, but there has been a historical shift towards a more cultural discourse. To this preferred approach, Gotkowitz adds the post-Second World War persistence of biologising idioms of race in popular domains (and indeed in some areas of science), despite an official shift away from biology or, indeed, from any mention of 'race'. Given that there is 'no clear consensus about what race means', Gotkowitz prefers to ask, 'what *work* does race do' – that is, what are its effects in practice (p. 10)? This is a fine approach, as long as one keeps an eye on what constitutes a *racial* effect, as opposed to one not related to race at all; we have to have some idea of what race 'means', even while acknowledging that this is a moving target.

The subsequent chapters do a varied job of developing this conceptual framework. Burns and Thomson both interrogate in depth what 'race' (or something like 'race') meant in colonial times, showing the complex interweavings of ideas about appearance, heredity, 'blood', human nature, religion and origins. Burns argues that, in early colonial times, divisions between Jews and Christians were sometimes more significant than between Africans and *indios*. Yet ideas about being Jewish, Moorish and Christian were laced with notions of heredity in ways that were feeding into ideas about 'race'. Simpson argues that early colonial concepts of human difference deployed collective categories that depended on ancestry and territory and were similar to the ones that we readily recognise as 'racial'.

Other chapters are less clear about these natural-cultural imbrications, focusing more on the work of cultural criteria and less on how idioms of naturalisation did (or did not) operate. Barragán shows that in late nineteenth-century La Paz race and occupation were mutually defining, such that a shoemaker was a priori a 'mestizo', while *indios* were seen as agriculturalists. Larson traces the pedagogical work done by early twentieth-century Bolivian elites to 'purify' the category of *indio*, attempting to remove the threat of the lettered, acculturated, troublesome *cholo* and reinstate

the rural indigenous labourer. In both cases, categories such as *indio* are taken for granted as 'racial' categories, as are the idioms of naturalisation that help make them such.

Better is Poole's rich analysis of the way in which twentieth-century Oaxacan elites balanced two complementary strategies for thinking about their region's identity: mapping genealogical links to ancient indigenous roots in order to specify a local singularity, and mapping contemporary cultural diversity as a set of indigenous ethnological types or 'cultures'. The balance between these two modes, with their different temporalities, was and still is an anxious one, as the *mestizo* is always potentially genealogically linked to the *indio* and 'the surface appearances of culture must be scrutinized for evidence of the racial substance that might reveal a subterranean link between the *mestizo* self and the indigenous (excluded) Other' (p. 197).

Also satisfying is Hale's chapter on the emerging intermediate categories of *mestizos* and *cholos* that are breaking up the established Guatemalan ethnic ideology which divided the world into *ladinos* and *indios*. Hale's focus is on the identity politics of the new conjuncture, but he consistently references the way in which ideas about genealogy, heredity and physical appearance intersect with class, culture and politics.

This is a superior and important book, which will be widely used and cited. There is no room to do justice to the other excellent chapters: those by Colledo-Mansfield and Lomnitz stood out for me, but I enjoyed every single one.

*University of Manchester*

PETER WADE

*J. Lat. Amer. Stud.* 45 (2013). doi:10.1017/S0022216X13000485

Rachel Sarah O'Toole, *Bound Lives: Africans, Indians, and the Making of Race in Colonial Peru* (Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2012), pp. xii + 257, \$25.95, pb.

*Bound Lives* offers readers, via criminal court records, a penetrating and thoughtful analysis of the distinct legal statuses of indigenous and Afro-descended Andeans, as well as the interrelations between these two groups in colonial discourse and the life experiences that emerge from below. Rachel Sarah O'Toole ably contextualises her themes within the fluctuations of global and local market forces at work in the Andes. While nationalist myths and to a degree historiography have erased Afro-Andeans, their presence was critical to Spanish labour needs, the rhetoric of *casta* in colonial courts and official observations, decrees and pronouncements, and the performance of Indian identity in a judicial context.

Before she turns to an analysis of criminal cases and other kinds of archival sources, O'Toole presents intriguing ideas regarding the official viceregal *casta* discourse. She argues that colonial elite writings discussing differences between Africans and indigenous people, as well as the varying assessments based on slaves' presumed places of origin in Africa, are best understood as extensions of economic imperatives. To give one example, when officials working in slave markets such as Cartagena spoke of indigenous weakness in relation to hard labour by contrast to African physical strength and fitness, they may have been seeking ways to promote the slave trade for their own profit. The marketing of certain African ethnicities, nationalities or cultural-linguistic groups as particularly suitable for slave labour reflected the availability of captives from any given provenance. Therefore these opinions regarding