

cambridge.org/ctyThomas Krasemann¹, Felix Berger², Petru Liuba³ and John Thomson⁴

Article Commentary

Cite this article: Krasemann T, Berger F, Liuba P, Thomson J. (2018) Reply to Robert Vincent. *Cardiology in the Young* 28: 796. doi: 10.1017/S1047951118000355

Received: 20 January 2018

Accepted: 27 January 2018

Author for correspondence:

T. Krasemann, MD, FRCP, Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Sophia Kinderziekenhuis, Erasmus Medical Centre, Wytemaweg 80, 3015CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
Tel: 0031-10 7032188;
E-mail: t.krasemann@erasmusmc.nl

Dear Dr Vincent,

Thank you very much for your kind comments¹ on our paper.

We are fully aware that many excellent catheter programmes for CHD are currently being carried out in a work environment different from that envisioned in our paper.² It is good to know that such good quality of work can be delivered even in suboptimum circumstances. But thriving for the best care of our vulnerable patients we wanted to summarise the current knowledge over the optimum-knowing that it may not be possible to achieve this everywhere, in given local circumstances. However, as you rightly pointed out, we have to plan for the future, and we hope that our manuscripts help to set up future cathlabs (or refurbishment of current cathlabs) at the best possible level.

References

1. Vincent R. Commentary on the guidelines paper by Krasemann et al. *Cardiol Young* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951118000264>.
2. Krasemann T, Berger F, Liuba P, Thomson J. Recommendations for the configuration of a cardiac catheterization laboratory for the treatment of children with congenital heart disease. *Cardiol Young* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951118000112>.