cambridge.org/pax

# **Original Article**

\*This article has been corrected since its original publication. See doi:10.1017/ S147895151900083X.

**Cite this article:** Gentry MT *et al.* (2020). Effects of a multidisciplinary quality of life intervention on sleep quality in patients with advanced cancer receiving radiation therapy. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **18**, 307–313. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951519000750

Received: 16 April 2019 Revised: 28 August 2019 Accepted: 4 September 2019

#### Key words:

Palliative; Sedative and hypnotics; Sleep dysfunction; Well-being

#### Author for correspondence:

Melanie T. Gentry M.D., Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic Rochester, 200 1st Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905. E-mail: gentry.melanie@mayo.edu

© Cambridge University Press 2019



# Effects of a multidisciplinary quality of life intervention on sleep quality in patients with advanced cancer receiving radiation therapy

Melanie T. Gentry, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Pamela J. Atherton, M.S.<sup>2</sup>, Maria Lapid, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Preetha Sharone Rosen, M.D.<sup>3</sup>, Simon Kung, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Jarrett Richardson, M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Shehzad K. Niazi, M.D.<sup>4</sup>, William V. Bobo, M.D.<sup>4</sup>, Matthew M. Clark, P.H.D.<sup>1</sup> and Teresa A. Rummans, M.D.<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; <sup>2</sup>Cancer Center Statistics Section, Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, Department of Health Sciences, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; <sup>3</sup>Peace Health St John Medical Center, Longview, Washington, WA and <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL

### Abstract

**Objectives.** Sleep disturbances are prevalent in cancer patients, especially those with advanced disease. There are few published intervention studies that address sleep issues in advanced cancer patients during the course of treatment. This study assesses the impact of a multidisciplinary quality of life (QOL) intervention on subjective sleep difficulties in patients with advanced cancer.

**Method.** This randomized trial investigated the comparative effects of a multidisciplinary QOL intervention (n = 54) vs. standard care (n = 63) on sleep quality in patients with advanced cancer receiving radiation therapy as a secondary endpoint. The intervention group attended six intervention sessions, while the standard care group received informational material only. Sleep quality was assessed using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), administered at baseline and weeks 4 (post-intervention), 27, and 52. **Results.** The intervention group had a statistically significant improvement in the PSQI total score and two components of sleep quality and daytime dysfunction than the control group at week 4. At week 27, although both groups showed improvements in sleep measures from baseline, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in any of the PSQI total and component scores, or ESS. At week 52, the intervention group used less sleep medication than control patients compared to baseline (p = 0.04) and had a lower ESS score (7.6 vs. 9.3, p = 0.03).

**Significance of results.** A multidisciplinary intervention to improve QOL can also improve sleep quality of advanced cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy. Those patients who completed the intervention also reported the use of less sleep medication.

## Introduction

Patients with cancer commonly experience sleep problems, with 24-95% of cancer patients reporting some type of sleep difficulty (Clark et al., 2004; Page et al., 2006; Delgado-Guay et al., 2011; Nishiura et al., 2015). Advanced cancer patients in palliative care show even more difficulty with sleep. In one study of 219 advanced cancer patients, all patients reported significant sleep difficulty, and sleep problems were highly correlated with psychological distress (Mercadante et al., 2017). Many types of subjective sleep symptoms are reported by cancer patients, including difficulty initiating and maintaining sleep, early morning awakenings, nonrestorative sleep, and excessive daytime sleepiness (Beszterczey and Lipowski, 1977; Owen et al., 1999; Davidson et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2004). Studies using objective measures, such as actigraphy and polysomnography, also indicate high levels of sleep difficulties in cancer patients, including circadian rhythm dysfunction (Mormont and Waterhouse, 2002), poor sleep quality (Parker et al., 2008; Garrett et al., 2011; Grutsch et al., 2011), decreased sleep efficiency (Garrett et al., 2011; Palesh et al., 2014), and increased nighttime awakenings (Dhruva et al., 2012). Several factors are associated with sleep disturbances, including demographic (older age, female, and Caucasian), psychosocial (stress, social support, and mental illness), and disease or treatment related factors (pain, medications, radiation, and chemotherapy), thereby implying a multifactorial etiology (Berger et al., 2005) of sleep difficulties in patients with advanced cancer.

While sleep difficulties are highly prevalent in patients with advanced cancer, most of the intervention studies to improve sleep in cancer populations have been in early stage cancer

patients. There are few psychosocial or pharmacological intervention studies to address sleep issues in patients with advanced cancer or receiving active cancer treatment (Mystakidou et al., 2009). This is an important gap in the current knowledge base given the results of numerous studies that have shown a worsening of sleep disturbances during cancer treatment in general, and during radiation therapy, in particular (Hickok et al., 2005; Palesh et al., 2010; Miaskowski et al., 2011). Several types of interventions have been investigated to improve sleep and reduce fatigue in cancer patients. A 2014 systematic review identified 12 studies using Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia (CBT-I) to treat insomnia in cancer patients. The reviewers found that CBT-I is associated with significant improvements in subjective sleep measures and that it may also improve the overall quality of life (QOL) (Garland et al., 2014b). However, adherence to CBT-I treatment can be problematic even in healthy adults (Matthews et al., 2014). Some aspects of CBT-I may be particularly difficult for cancer patients in active treatment, such as sleep restriction. In addition, cancer patients may not always recognize the role of chronic insomnia in their overall health and may not be as motivated to participate in insomnia focused treatment. More general interventions such as Mindfulness Based Cancer Recovery, an adaptation of Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) have been shown to be equally effective to CBT-I in improving sleep in cancer patients (Garland et al., 2014a). Interventions that target multiple domains of QOL and sleep have a potential for increased acceptance amongst cancer patients and a potential to have more wide reaching areas for improvement. We thus investigated the secondary endpoint of sleep quality in 117 advanced cancer patients who were receiving radiation therapy and who participated in a multidisciplinary intervention targeting domains of QOL (physical, mental, emotional, social, and spiritual). The primary aim of the original study, described elsewhere (Clark et al., 2013), focused on intervention effects on overall QOL. This secondary analysis compares the effects of the multidisciplinary QOL intervention vs. standard care. This intervention incorporated support from an adult caregiver for all participants. Particularly in patients with advanced cancer and in active treatments, caregivers play a vital role in the overall QOL of patients. Several studies have demonstrated treatment benefits from involving caregivers in the psychosocial intervention (Mishel et al., 2002; Nezu et al., 2003).

## Methods

## Study design

This was a Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board-approved clinical trial conducted at the Mayo Clinic Cancer Center. Eligibility criteria included having an initial diagnosis of cancer within 12 months prior to study entry, intermediate to poor prognosis (0– 50% expected 5-year survival), and an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 (fully active), 1 (able to perform light work), or 2 (ambulatory and capable of self-care but unable to do any type of work). Participants were required to have caregivers willing to participate. Patients with cognitive impairment (a Mini-Mental State Examination score <20), expected survival of <6 months, active substance abuse (alcohol or drugs), participation in other psychosocial trials, active untreated thought disorder, suicide risk, or requiring psychiatric hospitalization were excluded. Patients were randomly assigned via a Pocock-Simon dynamic allocation procedure, which assigned patients to the arm that minimized the stratification factor imbalance with 75% probability (Pocock and Simon, 1975) to the intervention group or a standard care group.

The intervention consisted of six structured sessions, each of 90 min duration beginning with conditioning exercises (physical domain of QOL), followed by education, CBT strategies for coping with cancer (mental and emotional domains of QOL), open discussion and support (social QOL), discussion of a spiritual topic with a chaplain (spiritual QOL), and a 15 min deep breathing or guided imagery relaxation exercise (emotional QOL). Caregivers were invited to attend sessions 1, 3, 4, and 6. The intervention focused on the patient, with caregivers providing support to the patient. A clinical psychologist or psychiatrist led all multidisciplinary sessions, with involvement from other staff members based on session content areas. Other staff members included an advanced practice nurse, a certified hospital chaplain, a licensed physical therapist, and a licensed independent clinical social worker. One session involved sleep hygiene education including the following strategies: having a consistent bedtime routine, not watching the clock, and using the bed only for sleeping. The details of the other sessions are documented in detail elsewhere (Clark et al., 2013). Participants received 10 brief structured telephone counseling sessions over the 6 months following the intervention. Telephone contact was used to promote long-term maintenance of health behavior change. There was no long-term effect found for the telephone contact in the original analysis. Standard care consisted of ongoing medical care and written informational material only. Written information consisted of a large library of patient educational materials. The use of this material by participants was not monitored.

## Measures

The study measures were administered at baseline and weeks 4 (post-intervention), 27, and 52. Measures used to assess sleep difficulties were the Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS). The PSQI measures the quality of sleep and provides a total score (range 0–21) and 7 component scores (each ranging from 0 to 3: sleep quality, latency, duration, efficiency, disturbance, medication, and daytime dysfunction), where lower scores indicate less difficulty (Buysse et al., 1989). A score  $\geq$ 5 on the PSQI is generally indicative of a "poor" sleeper and suggests a subjective sleep disorder (Buysse et al., 1989). The ESS assesses daytime sleepiness using 8 situations in which patients rate on a scale from 0 to 3 where 0 = "no chance of dozing" and 3 = "high chance of dozing." A total score is calculated using the individual scales with a range of 0–24, with lower scores indicating less chance of dozing (Johns, 1991).

The other self-reported measures included in this study were related to QOL and mood states. The Linear Analogue Self-Assessment is a series of QOL-related items, which has been validated in cancer patients. Items include overall QOL, mental well-being (WB), physical WB, emotional WB, social activity, and spiritual WB (Bretscher et al., 1999; Locke et al., 2007; Sloan et al., 2012). Each item is measured on an 11-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 = "As bad as it can be" to 10 = "As good as it can be." The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy — General is a 28 item assessment for cancer patients with any tumor type (Cella et al., 1993). Questions are scored from 0 = "Not at all" to 4 = "Very much" and are compiled into four subscales: functional, physical, social/family, and emotional WB.

# Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics

	Intervention	Control	Total	
	( <i>N</i> = 54)	( <i>N</i> = 63)	( <i>N</i> = 117)	p Value
Age				0.6477 <sup>a</sup>
Mean (SD)	59.2 (10.9)	60.3 (11.2) 59.8 (11.0)		
Median	60.0	61.0 60.0		
Age Group				0.7220 <sup>b</sup>
<50	9 (16.7%)	9 (14.3%)	18 (15.4%)	
50+	45 (83.3%)	54 (85.7%)	99 (84.6%)	
Gender				0.6940 <sup>b</sup>
Female	19 (35.2%)	20 (31.7%)	39 (33.3%)	
Male	35 (64.8%)	43 (68.3%)	78 (66.7%)	
Race				0.2563 <sup>b</sup>
White	52 (96.3%)	61 (96.8%)	113 (96.6%)	
Other	2(3.8%)	2 (3.2%)	4 (3.5%)	
Dominant disease status				0.4051 <sup>b</sup>
Brain	8 (14.8%)	17 (27.0%)	25 (21.4%)	
Head and neck	7 (13.0%)	10 (15.9%)	17 (14.5%)	
Lung	10 (18.5%)	7 (11.1%)	17 (14.5%)	
GI	21 (38.9%)	23 (36.5%)	44 (37.6%)	
Other	8 (14.8%)	6 (9.5%)	14 (12.0%)	
Tumor grade				0.1202 <sup>b</sup>
1–2	9 (16.7%)	4 (6.3%)	13 (11.1%)	
3	20 (37.0%)	30 (47.6%)	50 (42.7%)	
4	13 (24.1%)	22 (34.9%)	35 (29.9%)	
5	12 (22.2%)	7 (11.1%)	19 (16.2%)	
Performance score				0.8186 <sup>b</sup>
Fully active	30 (55.6%)	32 (50.8%)	62 (53.0%)	
Restricted	23 (42.6%)	29 (46.0%)	52 (44.4%)	
Ambulatory	1 (1.9%)	2 (3.2%)	3 (2.6%)	
Planned treatment				0.6168 <sup>b</sup>
Radiation	9 (16.7%)	9 (14.3%)	18 (15.4%)	
Chemotherapy	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.6%)	1 (0.9%)	
Both	45 (83.3%)	53 (84.1%)	98 (83.8%)	
Education level				0.2448 <sup>b</sup>
H.S. graduate/GED or less	15 (27.8%)	11 (17.4%)	26 (22.2%)	
Some college or vocational	16 (29.6%)	21 (33.3%)	37 (31.6%)	
Graduate w/ 4 yr degree	12 (22.2%)	11 (17.5%)	23 (19.7%)	
Some post graduate study or more	9 (16.7%)	13 (20.1%)	22 (18.8%)	
Other	2 (3.7%)	7 (11.1%)	9 (7.7%)	
Work while undergoing treatment				0.9120 <sup>b</sup>
Yes	11 (35.5%)	13 (34.2%)	24 (34.8%)	
Marital status				0.0868 <sup>b</sup>
Married	48 (88.9%)	52 (82.5%)	100 (85.5%)	
Separated/divorced/single	1 (1.9%)	9 (14.2%)	10 (8.5%)	

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

	Intervention (N = 54)	Control (N = 63)	Total (N = 117)	p Value
Widowed	5 (9.3%)	2 (3.2%)	7 (6.0%)	
Meditation use				0.1324 <sup>b</sup>
Yes	46 (85.2%)	59 (93.7%)	105 (89.7%)	
Religious affiliation				0.1207 <sup>b</sup>
Catholic	14 (25.9%)	28 (44.4%)	42 (35.9%)	
Protestant	32 (59.3%)	32 (50.8%)	64 (54.7%)	
Jewish	2 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)	
None	5 (9.3%)	2 (3.2%)	7 (6.0%)	
Other	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (1.7%)	

<sup>a</sup>Kruskal Wallis.

<sup>b</sup>Chi-Square.

## Table 2. ESS results

Week	Intervention	Control	p Value*
0	7.3	8.2	0.21
4	7.6	8.7	0.14
27	7.5	8.4	0.15
52	7.6	9.3	0.03

Range 0–24 where 0 is no chance of dozing and 24 is high chance of dozing. \*Significant at  $p \le 0.05$ 

## Analysis

Assessments were scored according to their specific scoring algorithms. Changes from baseline were calculated. Patients were categorized as having increases or decreases in the various scores and categorized as having PSQI-defined sleep improvement or no sleep improvement. PSQI-defined sleep improvement was defined as a score of less than or equal to 5. Conversely, sleep problems were defined as a PSQI score greater than 5. Comparisons were made between intervention and control patients using the Chi-square or Fischer's exact test for ordinal data and Wilcoxon methodology for continuous data. Repeated measures ANOVA modeling were performed to determine whether relationships over time, if any, existed between the patient's sleep performance and other baseline QOL scores or patient characteristics.

Hypothesis testing used a two-tailed alternative and a 5% Type I error rate. The primary analysis of the parent study was ensured to have 80% power to detect a clinically significant difference of 0.5 standard deviations (a shift of 8% or more on a 100-point scale) in QOL scores between study arms. The statistical analyses presented here are exploratory and hypothesis generating without adjustment for multiple testing due to limited sample size.

# Results

Patients in the intervention group were evaluable for the primary endpoint if they completed both baseline and week 4 assessments and participated in at least 4 of 6 intervention sessions. There were 117 evaluable patients (54 in the intervention group and 63 in the standard care group). Patients had a median age of  $60 \pm 11$  years at baseline and were predominantly male (67%). Cancer types included gastrointestinal (GI) (38%), brain (21.4%), head and neck (14.5%), lung (14.5%), and other (12.0%) cancers (Table 1). The majority had radiation and chemotherapy (84%) and had a history of prior cancer surgery (96%). There were no significant differences on ESS scores between groups at baseline, week 4, or week 27 (see Table 2). An individual ESS item analysis showed that the intervention group reported a lower chance of dozing at week 52 (7.6 vs. 9.3, p = 0.03).

At baseline, there were no differences between study arms for sleep difficulties as measured by the PSQI score (see Table 3). At baseline, PSQI-defined sleep problems were found in 62.4% of all patients enrolled. In our sample, sleep problems existed in a total of 73 patients (37 intervention and 36 standard care) (p = 0.36). Characteristics of those with sleep problems were similar between groups, with sleep problems being associated with older age (age > 50) and male gender; older (87%), male (55%) for the intervention group; and older (89%), male (56%) for the standard care group.

For measures of sleep quality, at week 4, the intervention group showed a better PSQI total score change from baseline than the control group (-0.7 vs. 0.3, p = 0.04). Two of the seven domains also improved from baseline: sleep quality (-0.2 vs. 0, p = 0.04) and daytime dysfunction (-0.1 vs. 0.3, p < 0.01) (Table 2). The intervention group also had PSQI scores indicating less daytime dysfunction (0.9 vs. 1.3, p = 0.01). While not statistically significant compared to control, the intervention group showed improvements in sleep latency and duration and used less sleep medication. In the intervention group (n = 47), 21 (45%) patients had improvement in both PSQI and overall QOL, 25 (53%) had improvement in PSQI and social activity, and 23 (49%) had improvement in PSQI and spiritual WB (QOL data not shown).

At week 27, the intervention group showed greater improvement over standard care in all PSQI component changes from baseline except daytime dysfunction (0.7 vs. 1.3). However, none of these improvements were statistically significant (data not shown). In the intervention group (n = 43), 25 (58%) patients had improvement in both PSQI and overall QOL, and 27 (63%) had improvement in PSQI and emotional WB (Analysis for intervention group only, no *p* value calculated).

#### Table 3. PSQI results (mean (SD))

		Week 0			Week 4		
	Intervention (N = 54)	Control ( <i>N</i> = 63)	p value	Intervention (N = 54)	Control (N = 63)	<i>p</i> value	
Sleep quality	1.1 (0.7)	1.0 (0.7)	0.25	0.9 (0.6)	1.0 (0.8)	0.67	
Sleep quality change				-0.2 (0.6)	0.0 (0.7)	0.04	
Sleep latency	1.2 (1.0)	0.9 (0.8)	0.08	1.1 (0.8)	0.9 (0.9)	0.11	
Sleep latency change				-0.2 (0.9)	0.0 (0.8)	0.44	
Sleep duration	0.4 (0.7)	0.4 (0.8)	0.91	0.3 (0.6)	0.4 (0.8)	0.77	
Sleep duration change				-0.1 (0.5)	0.0 (0.9)	0.59	
Sleep efficiency	0.8 (1.0)	0.7 (1.0)	0.42	0.7 (1.0)	0.6 (0.9)	0.74	
Sleep efficiency change				0.0 (1.1)	-0.1 (1.0)	0.86	
Sleep disturbance	1.6 (0.6)	1.6 (0.5)	0.74	1.6 (0.6)	1.6 (0.6)	0.50	
Sleep disturbance change				0.0 (0.5)	-0.1 (0.7)	0.30	
Sleep medication	1.1 (1.4)	0.9 (1.2)	0.49	0.9 (1.4)	1.1 (1.3)	0.52	
Sleep medication change				-0.2 (1.2)	0.1 (1.1)	0.13	
Daytime dysfunction	1.0 (0.7)	0.9 (0.6)	0.63	0.9 (0.6)	1.3 (0.8)	0.01	
Daytime dysfunction change				-0.1 (0.7)	0.3 (0.8)	<0.01	
PSQI total	7.3 (3.5)	6.4 (3.1)	0.15	6.5 (3.2)	6.6 (3.4)	0.94	
PSQI total change				-0.7 (2.7)	0.3 (2.8)	0.04	

Component score range 0-3 where 0 = no difficulty and 3 = severe difficulties. Total score range 0-21 where 0 = no difficulty and 21 = severe difficulties. Negative change scores indicate an improvement from baseline.

\*Significant at  $p \le 0.05$ .

At week 52, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in PSQI changes from baseline. However, intervention patients reported using less sleep medication (0.6 vs. 1.1, p = 0.04). This is a decrease of 0.5 points from baseline for intervention patients and an increase of 0.2 points for standard care patients. Sleep medication scores measure the number of times per week patients uses sleep medication (0 = none, 1 = less than once per week, 2 = once or twice a week). Of the 36 patients still participating in the intervention group, 21 (58%) patients had increases in both PSQI and overall QOL (Analysis for intervention group only, no p value calculated).

Repeated measure results indicated neither sex nor employment status was significant independent factors for modeling the PSQI score, but age group (p = 0.03) and baseline overall QOL (p < 0.001) were. The model using the ESS score as the dependent variable resulted in no significant independent factors.

## **Discussion**

In this secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial of a multidisciplinary structured intervention targeting multiple QOL domains, participants with advanced cancer who received the intervention demonstrated an improvement in sleep. This improvement was statistically significant only at week 4 (postintervention). The only statistically significant outcome at longer term follow-up was that the intervention group patients used less sleep medication and were less sleepy than the control group at week 52.

An association between sleep and QOL has been shown in several studies in both the general population (Reimer and Flemons, 2003; Sateia and Pigeon, 2004) and in cancer patients (Clark et al., 2004; Vena et al., 2006; Mystakidou et al., 2007b). In one study, poor QOL was one of the strongest predictors of poor sleep quality in advanced cancer patients referred for palliative care (Mystakidou et al., 2009). Sleep issues have been associated with increased pain, depression, fatigue, decreased immune function, and increased mortality (Sephton and Spiegel, 2003; Stepanski et al., 2009; Delgado-Guay et al., 2011; Lehrer et al., 2013). One study showed that better sleep efficiency as measured by actigraphy predicted a significant reduction in mortality in advanced breast cancer patients even after adjusting for other prognostic factors (Palesh et al., 2014). Poor sleep has also been shown to hasten the desire for death in cancer patients (Mystakidou et al., 2007a). Our sample supports previous findings that rates of sleep disturbance in advanced cancer patients are very high. Other studies have shown rates of sleep dysfunction in advanced cancer patients ranging from 47% to 100% (Gibbins et al., 2009; Mystakidou et al., 2009; Yennurajalingam et al., 2015; Mercadante et al., 2017). In our sample of advanced cancer patients, 62.4% reported sleep difficulties. The intervention group reported significant improvements in sleep quality and reduced daytime dysfunction compared to the control group at week 4. However, these improvements were no longer statistically significant at follow-up. At week 52, the intervention group used less sleep medication and reported significantly less daytime sleepiness.

Many of the psychosocial intervention studies in cancer patients have focused on cognitive behavioral therapy alone (Clark et al., 2004; Dalton et al., 2004; Berger et al., 2005; Page et al., 2006; Berger, 2009). Our intervention is unique in that it is a multidisciplinary approach which addressed multiple domains of QOL, including physical, emotional, cognitive, social, and spiritual. This intervention also allowed patients' caregivers to participate. In a post-session survey, the majority of the patients reported that coping strategies, relaxation techniques, and education were very helpful. Although this QOL intervention was not designed with the primary goal of improving sleep, positive benefits on sleep have been reported using a variety of psychosocial approaches and exercise techniques similar to those provided in this intervention. A randomized controlled trial on stage IV lung and colorectal cancer patients showed that home-based exercise program improved sleep quality (Cheville et al., 2013). Savard et al. (2006) showed that cognitive therapy improved both depression and insomnia in women with metastatic breast cancer. MBSR improved sleep quality in a study sample of 63 cancer patients with different types and stages of cancer (Carlson and Garland, 2005). Expressive writing was helpful with sleep disturbances in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma compared to neutral writing (de Moor et al., 2002). In a study by Cohen et al. (2004), Tibetan yoga improved sleep outcomes in lymphoma patients in various stages of the disease. A 2012 pilot study examined a patient-controlled cognitive behavioral intervention to improve pain, fatigue, and sleep in advanced cancer patients (Kwekkeboom et al., 2012). While the intervention improved the symptoms cluster as whole, the intervention did not result in improvements in sleep quality.

Our study has some limitations. The demographics of our participants from the cancer center who were generally Caucasian from the Midwest may not apply to a more diverse population. This secondary analysis examined the changes in sleep quality from an intervention primarily designed to improve overall QOL. Sleep quality was assessed using self-report measures rather than objective sleep studies. Despite these limitations, our results provide evidence that multidisciplinary QOL interventions can contribute to sleep improvement in advanced cancer patients.

In summary, we found that our multidisciplinary intervention to improve QOL for advanced cancer patients receiving radiation treatment also improved some components of sleep at postintervention, as well as a few at longer term follow-up. Many psychosocial interventions have shown to be beneficial for improving sleep in cancer patients but few have addressed both sleep and QOL. This multidisciplinary intervention also included caregivers, who may also experience sleep disturbance. Additional studies are needed to examine the potential benefits of such an intervention for improving cancer caregiver sleep quality. As QOL and sleep disturbance appear to have a bidirectional association, interventions that target both QOL and sleep needs are likely to be beneficial in the treatment of cancer patients. Given the multifactorial etiology of sleep disturbances and the profound need for sleep intervention studies in advanced cancer patients, future clinical trials should incorporate multidisciplinary interventions to address QOL, sleep quality, and their impact on long-term survival.

# Funding

Linse Bock Foundation (not for profit foundation supporting cancer research) and Saint Marys Hospital Sponsorship Board, Mayo Clinic

## References

Berger AM (2009) Update on the state of the science: Sleep-wake disturbances in adult patients with cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum 36(4), E165–177.

- Berger AM, Parker KP, Young-McCaughan S, et al. (2005) Sleep wake disturbances in people with cancer and their caregivers: State of the science. Oncology Nursing Forum 32(6), E98–E126.
- Beszterczey A and Lipowski ZJ (1977) Insomnia in cancer patients. *Canadian* Medical Association Journal 116(4), 355.
- Bretscher M, Rummans T, Sloan J, et al. (1999) Quality of life in hospice patients. A pilot study. *Psychosomatics* **40**(4), 309–313.
- Buysse DJ, Reynolds III CF, Monk TH, et al. (1989) The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research* 28(2), 193–213.
- Carlson LE and Garland SN (2005) Impact of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on sleep, mood, stress and fatigue symptoms in cancer outpatients. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 12(4), 278–285.
- **Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, et al.** (1993) The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale: Development and validation of the general measure. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **11**(3), 570–579.
- Cheville AL, Kollasch J, Vandenberg J, et al. (2013) A home-based exercise program to improve function, fatigue, and sleep quality in patients with Stage IV lung and colorectal cancer: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management* 45(5), 811–821.
- Clark J, Cunningham M, McMillan S, et al. (2004) Sleep-wake disturbances in people with cancer part II: Evaluating the evidence for clinical decision making. Oncology Nursing Forum 31(4), 747–771.
- Clark MM, Rummans TA, Atherton PJ, et al. (2013) Randomized controlled trial of maintaining quality of life during radiotherapy for advanced cancer. *Cancer* 119(4), 880–887.
- Cohen L, Warneke C, Fouladi RT, *et al.* (2004) Psychological adjustment and sleep quality in a randomized trial of the effects of a Tibetan yoga intervention in patients with lymphoma. *Cancer* **100**(10), 2253–2260.
- Dalton JA, Keefe FJ, Carlson J, et al. (2004) Tailoring cognitive-behavioral treatment for cancer pain. Pain Management Nursing 5(1), 3–18.
- Davidson JR, MacLean AW, Brundage MD, et al. (2002) Sleep disturbance in cancer patients. Social Science & Medicine 54(9), 1309–1321.
- Delgado-Guay M, Yennurajalingam S, Parsons H, et al. (2011) Association between self-reported sleep disturbance and other symptoms in patients with advanced cancer. Journal of Pain & Symptom Management 41(5), 819–827.
- **de Moor C, Sterner J, Hall M, et al.** (2002) A pilot study of the effects of expressive writing on psychological and behavioral adjustment in patients enrolled in a Phase II trial of vaccine therapy for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Health Psychology* **21**(6), 615–619.
- Dhruva A, Paul SM, Cooper BA, et al. (2012) A longitudinal study of measures of objective and subjective sleep disturbance in patients with breast cancer before, during, and after radiation therapy. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 44(2), 215–228.
- Garland SN, Carlson LE, Stephens AJ, et al. (2014a) Mindfulness-based stress reduction compared with cognitive behavioral therapy for the treatment of insomnia comorbid with cancer: A randomized, partially blinded, noninferiority trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 32(5), 449–457.
- Garland SN, Johnson JA, Savard J, et al. (2014b) Sleeping well with cancer: A systematic review of cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in cancer patients. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment* 10, 1113–1124.
- Garrett K, Dhruva A, Koetters T, *et al.* (2011) Differences in sleep disturbance and fatigue between patients with breast and prostate cancer at the initiation of radiation therapy. *Journal of Pain & Symptom Management* **42**(2), 239–250.
- Gibbins J, McCoubrie R, Kendrick AH, et al. (2009) Sleep-wake disturbances in patients with advanced cancer and their family carers. Journal of Pain & Symptom Management 38(6), 860–870.
- Grutsch JF, Wood PA, Du-Quiton J, et al. (2011) Validation of actigraphy to assess circadian organization and sleep quality in patients with advanced lung cancer. Journal of Circadian Rhythms 9, 4.
- Hickok JT, Morrow GR, Roscoe JA, et al. (2005) Occurrence, severity, and longitudinal course of twelve common symptoms in 1129 consecutive patients during radiotherapy for cancer. Journal of Pain & Symptom Management 30(5), 433-442.
- Johns MW (1991) A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: The Epworth Sleepiness Scale. *Sleep* 14(6), 540–545.

- Kwekkeboom KL, Abbott-Anderson K, Cherwin C, et al. (2012) Pilot randomized controlled trial of a patient-controlled cognitive-behavioral intervention for the pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbance symptom cluster in cancer. Journal of Pain & Symptom Management 44(6), 810–822.
- Lehrer S, Green S, Ramanathan L, *et al.* (2013) Obesity and deranged sleep are independently associated with increased cancer mortality in 50 US states and the District of Columbia. *Sleep Breath* **17**(3), 1117–1118.
- Locke DEC, Decker PA, Sloan JA, et al. (2007) Validation of Single-Item Linear Analog Scale assessment of quality of life in neuro-oncology patients. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 34(6), 628–638.
- Matthews EE, Berger AM, Schmiege SJ, *et al.* (2014) Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia outcomes in women after primary breast cancer treatment: A randomized, controlled trial. *Oncology Nursing Forum* **41**(3), 241–253.
- Mercadante S, Adile C, Ferrera P, et al. (2017) Sleep disturbances in advanced cancer patients admitted to a supportive/palliative care unit. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 25(4), 1301–1306.
- Miaskowski C, Paul SM, Cooper BA, *et al.* (2011) Predictors of the trajectories of self-reported sleep disturbance in men with prostate cancer during and following radiation therapy. *Sleep* **34**(2), 171–179.
- Mishel MH, Belyea M, Germino BB, *et al.* (2002) Helping patients with localized prostate carcinoma manage uncertainty and treatment side effects: Nurse-delivered psychoeducational intervention over the telephone. *Cancer* **94**(6), 1854–1866.
- Mormont MC and Waterhouse J (2002) Contribution of the rest-activity circadian rhythm to quality of life in cancer patients. *Chronobiology International* **19**(1), 313–323.
- Mystakidou K, Parpa E, Tsilika E, et al. (2007a) Depression, hopelessness, and sleep in cancer patients' desire for death. International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine 37(2), 201–211.
- Mystakidou K, Parpa E, Tsilika E, et al. (2007b) The relationship of subjective sleep quality, pain, and quality of life in advanced cancer patients. Sleep 30(6), 737–742.
- Mystakidou K, Parpa E, Tsilika E, *et al.* (2009) How is sleep quality affected by the psychological and symptom distress of advanced cancer patients? *Palliative Medicine* 23(1), 46–53.
- Nezu AM, Nezu CM, Felgoise SH, et al. (2003) Project Genesis: Assessing the efficacy of problem-solving therapy for distressed adult cancer patients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 71(6), 1036–1048.
- Nishiura M, Tamura A, Nagai H, et al. (2015) Assessment of sleep disturbance in lung cancer patients: Relationship between sleep disturbance and pain, fatigue, quality of life, and psychological distress. *Palliative and Supportive Care* 13(3), 575–581.

- Owen DC, Parker KP and McGuire DB (1999) Comparison of subjective sleep quality in patients with cancer and healthy subjects. *Oncology Nursing Forum* 26(10), 1649–1651.
- Page MS, Berger AM and Johnson LB (2006) Putting evidence into practice: Evidence-based interventions for sleep-wake disturbances. *Clinical Journal* of Oncology Nursing 10(6), 753–767.
- Palesh OG, Roscoe JA, Mustian KM, et al. (2010) Prevalence, demographics, and psychological associations of sleep disruption in patients with cancer: University of Rochester Cancer Center-Community Clinical Oncology Program. Journal of Clinical Oncology 28(2), 292–298.
- Palesh O, Aldridge-Gerry A, Zeitzer JM, et al. (2014) Actigraphy-measured sleep disruption as a predictor of survival among women with advanced breast cancer. Sleep 37(5), 837–842.
- Parker KP, Bliwise DL, Ribeiro M, et al. (2008) Sleep/Wake patterns of individuals with advanced cancer measured by ambulatory polysomnography. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 26(15), 2464–2472.
- Pocock SJ and Simon R (1975) Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. *Biometrics* 31(1), 103–115.
- Reimer MA and Flemons WW (2003) Quality of life in sleep disorders. Sleep Medicine Reviews 7(4), 335–349.
- Sateia MJ and Pigeon WR (2004) Identification and management of insomnia. Medical Clinics of North America 88(3), 567–596, vii.
- Savard J, Simard S, Giguere I, et al. (2006) Randomized clinical trial on cognitive therapy for depression in women with metastatic breast cancer: Psychological and immunological effects. Palliative & Supportive Care 4(3), 219–237.
- Sephton S and Spiegel D (2003) Circadian disruption in cancer: A neuroendocrine-immune pathway from stress to disease? Brain, Behavior, & Immunity 17(5), 321–328.
- Sloan JA, Zhao X, Novotny PJ, et al. (2012) Relationship between deficits in overall quality of life and non-small-cell lung cancer survival. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 30(13), 1498–1504.
- Stepanski EJ, Walker MS, Schwartzberg LS, et al. (2009) The relation of trouble sleeping, depressed mood, pain, and fatigue in patients with cancer. Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine : JCSM : Official Publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine 5(2), 132–136.
- Vena C, Parker K, Allen R, et al. (2006) Sleep-wake disturbances and quality of life in patients with advanced lung cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum 33 (4), 761–769.
- Yennurajalingam S, Chisholm G, Palla SL, et al. (2015) Self-reported sleep disturbance in patients with advanced cancer: Frequency, intensity, and factors associated with response to outpatient supportive care consultation — a preliminary report. Palliative & Supportive Care 13(2), 135–143.

313