

VOLUME 17  
NUMBER 1  
MARCH 1994

An International Journal of Current Research  
and Theory with Open Peer Commentary

---

# Behavioral and Brain Sciences

---

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

**Nonconsequentialist decisions** Jonathan Baron

**Neuropsychological inference with an interactive brain: A critique  
of the "locality" assumption** Martha J. Farah

**Mathematical principles of reinforcement** Peter R. Killeen

Also, Continuing Commentary on

**"Functional specialization in the lower and upper visual fields in humans:  
Its ecological origins and neurophysiological implications"** (Previc)

**"Time and the observer: The where and when of consciousness in the brain"**  
(Dennett & Kinsbourne)

**"Natural language and natural selection"** (Pinker & Bloom)

CAMBRIDGE

# Behavioral and Brain Sciences

## Editor

Stevan Harnad

E-mail: [harnad@clarity.princeton.edu](mailto:harnad@clarity.princeton.edu) or

[harnad@pucc.bitnet](mailto:harnad@pucc.bitnet)

20 Nassau St., Suite 240  
Princeton, NJ 08542

## Managing Editor

Nancy Simon

## Chief Copy Editor

Erika Kors

## Associate Editors

### *Behavioral Biology*

Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin  
Hubert Markl/U. Konstanz

### *Biosocial Behavior*

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa

### *Cognition and Artificial Intelligence*

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

### *Cognitive Development*

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

### *Evolutionary Biology*

Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

### *Experimental Analysis of Behavior*

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

### *History and Systems*

Julian Jaynes/Princeton

### *Language and Cognition*

Philip Johnson-Laird/Princeton

### *Language and Language Disorders*

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

### *Linguistics*

Robert Freidin/Princeton

### *Neurobiology*

Irving Kupfermann/Columbia

### *Neurobehavioral Genetics*

Wim E. Crusio/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

### *Neuropsychology*

Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

John C. Marshall/Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford

### *Neurophysiology*

Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

### *Paleoneurology*

Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

### *Perception*

Bruce Bridgeman/U. California

Richard Gregory/U. Bristol

### *Philosophy*

Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts

Gilbert Harman/Princeton

### *Philosophy of Science*

Adolf Grünbaum/U. Pittsburgh

Massimo Piatelli-Palmarini/MIT

### *Primatology*

Horst D. Steklis/Rutgers

### *Psychobiology*

Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut

### *Vision and Artificial Intelligence*

Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

**Editorial Policy** *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary\* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators*, inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

**Copying** This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (222 Rosewood Dr., Danvers, MA 01923). Orga-

nizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

**Subscriptions** *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September, and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price for institutions of Volume 17 (1994) is US \$210.00 net in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; UK £141.00 in the rest of the world; for individuals US \$85.00 net (£57.00); for BBS Associates and for students (in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico only) with proof of eligibility with order US \$49.00 net (£36.00); for APA, APS, and ASA members US \$68.00 net (£36.00) with proof of eligibility with order. Subscription price includes postage.

Single parts cost US \$54.00 net (£36.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20 Street, New York, NY 10011-4211; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y., and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20 Street, New York, NY 10011-4211.

**Advertising** Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

\*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology*.

# Contents Volume 17:1 March 1994

<b>Baron, J.</b> Nonconsequentialist decisions		<b>1</b>
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>		
Adler, J. E. Fairness to policies, distinctions and intuitions	10	
Arkes, H. R. Three reservations about consequentialism	11	
Ayton, P. & Harvey, N. Inappropriate judgements: Slips, mistakes or violations?	12	
Cohen, L. J. Do, or should, all human decisions conform to the norms of a consumer-oriented culture?	12	
Daniel, S. Correct decisions and their good consequences	13	
Dwyer, S. Why care where moral intuitions come from?	14	
Evans, J. St. B. T. Normative and descriptive consequentialism	15	
Frisch, D. Consequentialism and utility theory	16	
Fuller, S. Is consequentialism better regarded as a form of reasoning or as a pattern of behavior?	16	
Glymour, C. Moral errors	17	
Grush, R. Consequences of consequentialism	18	
Heil, J. Truth or consequences	19	
Irwin, J. R. Elicitation rules and incompatible goals	20	
Jackson, F. Departing from consequentialism versus departing from decision theory	21	
Katz, L. D. On begging the question when naturalizing norms	21	
Levy, S. S. Jonathan Baron, consequentialism and error theory	22	
McCain, R. A. Consequentialism in haste	23	
Morton, A. Does consequentialism pay?	24	
Oatley, K. Side effects: Limitations of human rationality	24	
Phillips, G. M. Some examples of nonconsequentialist decisions	25	
Pietroski, P. M. A "should" too many	26	
Railton, P. Broadening the base for bringing cognitive psychology to bear on ethics	27	
Ritov, I. Can goals be uniquely defined?	28	
Schick, F. Goals, values and benefits	29	
Spranca, M. D. What goals are to count?	29	
Teigen, K. H. Actions, inactions and the temporal dimension	30	
Tetlock, P. E. The consequences of taking consequentialism seriously	31	
<b>Author's Response</b>		
Baron, J. Normative, descriptive and prescriptive responses		32
<b>Farah, M. J.</b> Neuropsychological inference with an interactive brain: A critique of the "locality" assumption		<b>43</b>
<b>Open Peer Commentary</b>		
Bullinaria, J. A. Simulating nonlocal systems: Rules of the game	61	
Burton, A. M. & Bruce, V. Local representations without the locality assumption	62	
Butterworth, B. Regional specialities	63	
Campbell, J. I. D. Locality, modularity and numerical cognition	63	
Campbell, R. Discarding locality assumptions: Problems and prospects	64	
Carey, D. P. & Milner, A. D. Casting one's net too widely?	65	
Chater, N. Modularity, interaction and connectionist neuropsychology	66	
Clark, J. M. Modularity, abstractness and the interactive brain	67	
Davidoff, J. & Renault, B. Further advantages of abandoning the locality assumption in face recognition	68	
Diederich, J. Neurocomputing and modularity	68	
Glymour, C. Clarifying the locality assumption	69	
Grodzinsky, Y. & Hadar, U. No threat to modularity	70	
Humphreys, G. W. & Riddoch, M. J. Go with the flow but mind the details	71	
Kinsbourne, M. Do neuropsychologists think in terms of interactive models?	72	
McCarthy, R. A. Neuropsychology: Going loco?	73	
Mesulam, M.-M. Distributed locality and large-scale neurocognitive networks	74	
Oaksford, M. Computational levels again	76	
Plaut, D. C. Parallel distributed processing challenges the strong modularity hypothesis, not the locality assumption	77	
Posner, M. I. Local and distributed processes in attentional orienting	78	
Sekuler, R. Perception and its interactive substrate: Psychophysical linking hypotheses and psychophysical methods	79	
Semenza, C. Locus-pocus (which and whose locality assumption?)	80	
Servos, P. & Olds, E. M. Throwing out the neuropsychological data with the locality bathwater?	80	
Small, S. L. The real functional architecture is gray, wet and slippery	81	
Umiltà, C. The functional architecture of visual attention may still be modular	82	
van Gelder, T. Playing Flourens to Fodor's Gall	84	
Van Gulick, R. Prosopagnosia, conscious awareness and the interactive brain	84	
van Hezewijk, R. & de Haan, E. H. F. The symbolic brain or the invisible hand?	85	
Wasserman, G. S. The localization/distribution distinction in neuropsychology is related to the isomorphism/multiple meaning distinction in cell electrophysiology	87	
Young, A. W. What counts as local?	88	
Zurif, E. & Swinney, D. Modularity need not imply locality: Damaged modules can have nonlocal effects	89	
<b>Author's Response</b>		
Farah, M. J. Interactions on the interactive brain		90

**Killeen, P. R. Mathematical principles of reinforcement 105**

**Open Peer Commentary**

<b>Bolles, R. C.</b> The response problem	135	behavior to memory: Inference in the wrong direction	147
<b>Bradshaw, C. M.</b> Validation of behavioural equations: Can neurobiology help?	136	<b>Reed, P.</b> Memory and the integration of response sequences	148
<b>Davis, H.</b> What defines a legitimate issue for Skinnerian psychology: Philosophy or technology?	137	<b>Shimp, C. P.</b> Awareness and reinforcement	149
<b>Donahoe, J. W.</b> What do reinforcers strengthen? The unit of selection	138	<b>Shull, R. L.</b> Practical effects of response specification	150
<b>Galbicka, G.</b> Moving beyond schedules and rate: A new trajectory?	139	<b>Stephens, D. W.</b> The scale of nature: Fitted parameters and dimensional correctness	150
<b>Gregson, R. A. M.</b> Has learning been shown to be attractor modification within reinforcement modelling?	140	<b>Svartdal, F.</b> Short-term memory in human operant conditioning	152
<b>Hanson, S. J.</b> Reinforcement without representation	141	<b>Timberlake, W.</b> Animal-centered models of reinforcement	153
<b>Krank, M. D.</b> Integration and specificity of retrieval in a memory-based model of reinforcement	142	<b>Walker, S. F.</b> How general is a general theory of reinforcement?	154
<b>Lattal, K. A. &amp; Abreu-Rodrigues, J.</b> Memories and functional response units	143	<b>Wearden, J. H.</b> Fifty years on: The new "principles of behavior"?	155
<b>Metzger, M. A. &amp; Sagvolden, T.</b> Killeen's theory provides an answer – and a question	144	<b>Wilkie, D. M. &amp; Saksida, L. M.</b> A mathematical theory of reinforcement: An unexpected place to find support for analogical memory coding	155
<b>Nevin, J. A.</b> Extension to multiple schedules: Some surprising (and accurate) predictions	145	<b>Wynne, C. D. L.</b> The return of the reinforcement theorists	156
<b>Pear, J. J.</b> Problems and pitfalls for Killeen's mathematical principles of reinforcement	146		
<b>Rachlin, H.</b> From overt behavior to hypothetical		<b>Author's Response</b>	
		<b>Killeen, P. R.</b> Rats, responses and reinforcers: Using a little psychology on our subjects	157

**Continuing Commentary**

*On* Previc, F. H. (1990) Functional specialization in the lower and upper visual fields in humans: Its ecological origins and neurophysiological implications. *BBS* 13:519–575. 173

Whishaw, I. Q. How do primates reach? 173

**Author's Response**

Previc, F. H. Neuropsychology and the art of reaching 174

*On* Dennett, D. C. & Kinsbourne, M. (1992) Time and the observer: The where and when of consciousness in the brain. *BBS* 15:183–247. 175

<b>Bogen, J. E.</b> Descartes' fundamental mistake: Introspective singularity	175
<b>Johnsen, B. C.</b> A model devoid of consciousness	176
<b>Revonsuo, A.</b> The "Multiple Drafts" model and the ontology of consciousness	177

**Authors' Response**

**Dennett, D. C. & Kinsbourne, M.** Counting consciousnesses: None, one, two, or none of the above? 178

*On* Pinker, S. & Bloom, P. (1990) Natural language and natural selection. *BBS* 13:707–784. 180

<b>Gomila, A.</b> The functionality of the study of language origin	180
<b>Sussman, H. M.</b> Let's get down to the "wetware" and look at evolutionarily motivated mechanisms	182

**Authors' Response**

**Pinker, S. & Bloom, P.** Humans did not evolve from bats 183

**Corrigendum**

*Continuing Commentary:* Martin Davis [BBS 16(3) 1993] on Roger Penrose [BBS 13(4) 1990], "Précis of *The emperor's new mind: Concerning computers, minds, and the laws of physics.*" 185