


# Flying males mediate oviposition and migration in female *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Chengliang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lei Zhang<sup>2</sup> and Weixiang Lv<sup>1,\*</sup> 

## Research Paper

\*All three authors contributed equally to this work.

**Cite this article:** Wang C, Zhang L, Lv W (2022). Flying males mediate oviposition and migration in female *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **112**, 110–118. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000626>

Received: 15 October 2020

Revised: 28 May 2021

Accepted: 16 July 2021

First published online: 13 August 2021

### Keywords:

Flight capacity; flight frequency; male flight; *Mythimna separata*; ovarian development; reproduction

### Author for correspondence:

Weixiang Lv, Email: [lvwx@cwnu.edu.cn](mailto:lvwx@cwnu.edu.cn)

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation, China West Normal University, Nanchong, China and <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Disease and Insect Pest, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

## Abstract

In recent decades, the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker), has caused severe damage to staple grains in China. However, little is known about when *M. separata* begin their first migration and the role of males in reproduction and migration. Here, the migratory benefits and reproductive costs of flight frequency were examined in adults under laboratory conditions. We found that flying males had a positive effect on ovarian and reproductive development in females who flew for 1–2 nights by comparing two treatment groups (flying and nonflying male groups). Moreover, flying males decreased the flight capacity and flight propensity of females. In contrast, flight for more than two nights by males significantly inhibited ovarian and reproductive development in adult females. Compared with the controls (0 night), male flight for 1–2 nights significantly shortened the preoviposition period but significantly increased ovarian and reproductive development in females. However, male flight for more than three nights significantly inhibited female reproduction and flight capacity. These results indicate that *M. separata* begin their first migration within 2 days after emergence and fly for two nights. Prolonged flight times can result in significant reproductive costs. Females initiated their first migration earlier than males due to a stronger flight capacity. These observed findings will be useful for forecasting and monitoring population dynamics to prevent outbreaks of *M. separata* and reduce crop losses.

## Introduction

The oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a major cereal crop pest in Asia and Australian countries (Sharma and Davies, 1983; Lee and Uhm, 1995; He *et al.*, 2017). Due to its typical seasonal behaviour of long-distance migration, generalist food habits, fast reproduction, high fecundity and strong adaptability (Li *et al.*, 1964; Li, 1996; Wang *et al.*, 2006; Jiang *et al.*, 2011), *M. separata* has been considered a major biological challenge in China in recent decades (Zeng *et al.*, 2013; Jiang *et al.*, 2014a). Additionally, due to global climate change, current crop structures and farming systems, and the adaptability of *M. separata*, the patterns of overwintering and outbreaks are changing, resulting in a significant decline in forecasting for prevention and control effects (Zhang *et al.*, 2012; Jiang *et al.*, 2014b; Zhao and Cheng, 2016). Therefore, it is essential to elucidate and understand the mechanisms of migration and outbreaks to improve the accuracy of forecasting for the prevention and sustainable management of *M. separata* in the future and reduce the use of pesticides to protect the environment.

From an evolutionary perspective, insect migration is considered a life-history strategy to leave a habitat incompatible with development and relocate to an adequate breeding environment (Drake *et al.*, 1995; Drake and Reynolds, 2012; Chapman *et al.*, 2015). Evidence of this theory is provided by previous studies on migratory pests of field crops, such as *Mythimna separata* and *Agrotis ipsilon*, both of which complete long-range migrations (Chen *et al.*, 1989; Johnson, 1995; Jiang *et al.*, 2011). Recently, Sappington (2018) has demonstrated that migratory flight behaviour is characterized as non-appetitive and fundamentally differs from proximate or local search behaviours. However, after the termination of migratory flight by an internal circadian rhythm (the onset of dusk or dawn) or endogenous signals (Compton, 2002), migratory insects rapidly search for potential resources such as food and suitable habitats for successful breeding (Dingle, 2014; Sappington, 2018).

It is generally thought that migrants in insects have greater reproductive potential that is passed on to the next generation than non-migrants; thus, it results in migrants investing more resources in reproduction than non-migrants (Chapman *et al.*, 2015), similar to migrating birds and mammals (Sibly *et al.*, 2012; Stevens *et al.*, 2014). Prior studies have shown that there exists a trade-off between migration and reproduction in migratory insects when considering their limited internal resources (Johnson, 1969; Rankin *et al.*, 1986). The

interaction relationship between migration and reproduction is not fixed, which differs from species to species, flight stage and other factors (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Some studies have verified that sex, age and juvenile hormone (JH) may affect the flight capacity and reproduction of adult insects, thereby altering the larval density of the offspring (Luo *et al.*, 2001; Cheng *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2020).

The onset of migratory behaviour is generally initiated by sexually immature adults for a variety of migratory noctuid species (Gatehouse and Zhang, 1995). The migration process usually involves long-range dispersal of sexually immature females, subsequent ovarian development and mating at the end of migration in the Noctuidae (Rhainds, 2010). Similar patterns have been reported in redbacked cutworms, *Euxoa ochrogaster* and some other noctuid species (Gerber and Walkof, 1992; Coombs *et al.*, 1993), with a high proportion of mated females among migrant individuals with mature oocytes. Zhao *et al.* (2009) has also demonstrated both ovarian development and mating of *M. separata* females occur towards the end of the migratory phase, and the completion of migration takes a series of days rather than one continuous flight.

To date, many studies have been conducted in *M. separata* to explore the occurrence and regularity of migration, reconstruct migratory pathways, and develop monitoring and forecasting techniques using many tools, including radar observation, search-light traps, flight mill experiments and trajectory analysis models (Chen *et al.*, 1989; Feng *et al.*, 2008; Jiang, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). However, little is known about the effects of males on reproduction and flight initiation in *M. separata*. In this study, we investigated flight activity, flight capacity and other flight-related physiological results through a flight mill system (Kim *et al.*, 2010; Rovnyak *et al.*, 2018). Our objectives were to evaluate the role of males participating in flight and reproductive activities and identify the most representative population reproduction and migration models for *M. separata* as well as provide a theoretical framework for determining the dynamics of populations to predict insect occurrence trends to prevent outbreaks of this pest.

## Materials and methods

### Insects

The *M. separata* samples in this experiment originated from field-collected individuals from Zhangjiakou, Hebei Province (41.85°N, 114.60°E); the population had been maintained for three generations in the laboratory at 23 ± 1 °C, 70% relative humidity (RH) and a photoperiod of 14:10 (light: dark). Larvae were reared with fresh corn seedlings as a food source in round glass bottles (9 cm × 13 cm, diameter × height) for pupation (10 larvae per bottle). After emergence, single female and male were paired and transferred to cylindrical plastic cages (10 cm × 20 cm, diameter × height) for oviposition, and they were supplied with a 10% honey solution (vol:vol) until death.

### Tethered flight

Flight tests of all treated moths (one male and one female unique pairs) at the same age were conducted in a flight mill system that automatically recorded the flight data (flight duration, flight distance and average flight velocity), as mentioned in Qin *et al.* (2018). Before the flight tests, all age-paired moths (initiated

with 1-day-old adults) were tethered using a technique outlined in previous work (Jiang *et al.*, 2010; Cheng *et al.*, 2012) and fed a honey solution.

### Flight frequency treatments

To detect the effects of flying males on ovarian and reproductive development and the flight capacity of females according to their flight frequency, the males were divided into a flying group (males and females both flew) and a nonflying male group (only the females flew). All the treatments were initiated with 1-day-old adults and paired (one male and one female) by the same age. Flight durations of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 nights were established under laboratory: the nonflying moths (0 flights) served as controls, moths that flew on the day 1 after emergence (one flight), days 1–2 (two flights), days 1–3 (three flights), days 1–4 (four flights), and days 1–5 (five flights), with a tethered flight for 12 h from 20:00 to 08:00, a period optimal for studying *M. separata* flight behaviour (Luo *et al.*, 1999; Lv *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Then, the effects of flying males on reproduction and flight frequency of adults were estimated by comparing the two male groups. To ensure the accuracy of the experimental results, all tether tests were conducted under dark conditions, and all the treatment moths were attached a short tether for 5 days. More than 25 pairs in each treatment were dissected for this experiment.

### Reproductive parameters, ovarian development levels and flight capacity

After completion of the tethered flight, all treated females were paired with males of the same age (one male and one female) and placed in cylindrical plastic cages with fresh honey solution as a food source under the same laboratory conditions as before: 23 ± 1 °C, 70% RH, and a photoperiod of 14:10 (light: dark). Reproductive parameters including lifetime fecundity, preoviposition period (POP), period of first oviposition (PFO), oviposition period, female and male longevity, mating frequency and mating rate were recorded using the method of Zhang *et al.* (2015, 2020). The POP was used to calculate the duration between adult emergence and the first oviposition. The PFO was a major parameter to evaluate the synchronization of first laying-eggs, describing the time window from female's POP to the minimal POP.

The ovarian development grades and mating rates were determined after female death. The calculation of the ovarian development level followed the standard protocol, which has been described elsewhere. The ovarian development levels were divided into five grades according to the maturity of the ovary (Chen *et al.*, 2019). The flight capacity (flight duration, flight distance and average flight velocity) of adults was calculated from the data recorded by the flight mill system. The ovarian development levels were divided into five grades as described

### Data analysis

Prior to analysis, all data from this experiment were checked for homogeneity of variance. All reproductive parameters and flight capacities were analysed by a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with flight frequency (six levels) and males (flew and not flew) as factors using Tukey's test (HSD) for mean comparisons. Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to examine the influences of flight capacity and flight frequency of males on

reproductive development of age-paired females. All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS 9.20 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## Results

### *Reproductive performances of M. separata adults treated with different flight frequency*

POP was significantly affected by the flight frequency and the interaction between flight frequency and male groups, while the male groups had no effect on the POP (tables 1 and 2). Compared to that of the male-nonflying group, the POP of females in the male-flying group was significantly shortened in the one ( $F_{1, 58} = 7.76, P = 0.007$ ) and two night groups ( $F_{1, 58} = 4.47, P = 0.039$ ); however, it was significantly prolonged when the moths flew for three nights ( $F_{1, 56} = 7.42, P = 0.009$ , table 2). There were significant differences in POP of females treated by different flight frequency (male-flying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 28.75, P < 0.001$ ; male-nonflying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 10.88, P < 0.001$ ). In the male-flying group, the POP of adults that flew for one and two nights was significantly shorter than the controls (0 nights), resulting in these females beginning to lay eggs significantly earlier than the controls; on the contrary, the POP was significantly increased in adults that flew for more than two nights, which showed a significant delay in reproductive development compared to the controls, one and two nights (table 2). In the male-nonflying group, the POP of females that flew for one and two nights was also significantly shorter than the controls; however, it was significantly prolonged in adults that flew for five nights (table 2).

Flight frequency and male groups had significant influences on the lifetime fecundity but there was no significant interaction (tables 1 and 2). Lifetime fecundity was significantly decreased with the increasing flight frequency (male-flying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 22.91, P < 0.001$ ; male-nonflying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 10.19, P < 0.001$ ), and it was significantly reduced in the three-, four- and five-night flight treatments compared to the controls, one- and two-nights in the male-flying group. Interesting, in the male-nonflying group, the moths in the four- and five-night flight treatments also produced fewer eggs than the females from the one- and two-night flight treatments (tables 1 and 2). Compared to the lifetime fecundity in the male-nonflying group at the same flight frequency, the lifetime fecundity in the male-flying group was significantly reduced in the four-night flight group ( $F_{1, 52} = 3.99, P = 0.050$ , table 2).

The oviposition period was significantly affected by the flight frequency, male groups and their interaction (tables 1 and 2). Compared to the male-nonflying group, the oviposition period in the flying male-group was significantly increased in the one-, two- and four-night flight groups (one night:  $F_{1, 58} = 4.23, P = 0.044$ , table 2; two nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 9.90, P = 0.003$ ; four nights:  $F_{1, 52} = 5.20, P = 0.027$ ). Flight frequency significantly affected the oviposition periods of moths (male-flying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 4.84, P < 0.001$ ; male-nonflying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 8.18, P < 0.001$ ). The one- and two-night flight treatments significantly increased the oviposition period compared to the five-night flight treatments in the male-flying group. However, the oviposition period of moths at each frequency was significantly less than the controls in the male-nonflying group (table 2).

Flight frequency significantly affected the PFO, mating frequency and mating rate (tables 1 and 2). The PFO of moths in the male-flying group was significantly reduced in the one-night

group ( $F_{1, 58} = 5.00, P = 0.029$ ) and two-night group ( $F_{1, 58} = 4.47, P = 0.039$ ) compared to the male-nonflying group. There were significant differences in the PFO of moths that in the 0–5 flight nights groups between the two male groups (male-flying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 4.77, P < 0.001$ ; male-nonflying group:  $F_{5, 164} = 2.77, P = 0.020$ ). In the male-flying group, the PFO of adults that flew for two nights was significantly less than that of moths from the controls and flew for five nights. Likely, the oviposition time of females that flew for two nights was significantly more synchronous than those in the controls, four- and five-nights in the male-nonflying group, with PFO was significantly less 1.05 days and 1.38 days, respectively (table 2). Although no significant differences were observed in the mating frequency and mating rate between the two male groups at the same flight frequency, there were significant differences among the different flight frequency groups. In the male-flying group, the mating frequency ( $F_{5, 164} = 5.53, P < 0.001$ ) and mating rate ( $F_{5, 164} = 3.79, P = 0.003$ ) of adults that flew for four and five nights were significantly lower than those in the one and 1–2 night flight treatments.

The female longevity was also significantly affected by the flight frequency and male groups but not by their interaction (tables 1 and 2). The longevity of females that flew for 1–3 nights was significantly higher in the male-flying group than that in the male-nonflying group (one night:  $F_{1, 58} = 5.22, P = 0.026$ ; two nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 8.21, P = 0.006$ ; three nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 12.23, P = 0.001$ , table 2). Flight frequency and the interaction between flight frequency and male groups had significant effects on the male longevity (tables 1 and 2). The longevity of males that flew for one and two nights was significantly higher than that of the non-flying males (one night:  $F_{1, 58} = 4.76, P = 0.033$ ; two nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 6.20, P = 0.016$ ), while it was significantly decreased in the five-nights group ( $F_{1, 48} = 6.57, P = 0.003$ ). In the male-flying group, the male longevity in moths that flew for one and two nights significantly exceeded that of those that flew for four and five nights ( $F_{5, 164} = 8.61, P < 0.001$ ). No significant differences were found in the nonflying group ( $F_{5, 164} = 1.08, P = 0.375$ , table 2).

### *Ovarian development of M. separata adults treated with different flight frequency*

Ovarian development was significantly affected by the flight frequency, male treatment and their interaction (tables 1 and 2). Comparisons of ovarian development between the two flying male groups at each flight frequency showed that ovarian development was significantly slower in the male-flying group than that in the male-nonflying group in moths that flew for three and four nights (three nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 12.39, P = 0.001$ ; four nights:  $F_{1, 52} = 4.63, P = 0.036$ ). The ovarian development grade in females that flew for one and two nights was significantly higher than that in the controls and the other flight frequency groups in the male-flying group ( $F_{5, 164} = 21.97, P < 0.001$ ). Likewise, the ovarian development grade of females was also significantly increased in the one- and two-night flight treatments compared to the controls, four- and five-night flight treatments in the male-nonflying group ( $F_{5, 164} = 8.58, P < 0.001$ , table 2).

### *Comparison of female flight capacity between the two male groups*

The flight duration and flight distance were significantly affected by male groups, flight frequency and the interaction between

**Table 1.** Results of two-way ANOVA analysis on effects of flight frequency and male groups (flying or nonflying males) on female reproductive parameters for *M. separata*

Parameters	Source	df	MS	F	P
POP (d)	Male groups	1	0.005	0.004	0.952
	Flight frequency	5	52.785	36.890	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	3.911	2.733	0.020
	Error	326	1.431		
Lifetime fecundity	Male groups	1	362,591.406	4.367	0.037
	Flight frequency	5	2,480,334.586	29.875	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	117,234.843	1.412	0.219
	Error	326	83,024.800		
Oviposition period (d)	Male groups	1	37.996	10.084	0.002
	Flight frequency	5	34.517	9.160	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	9.464	2.511	0.030
	Error	326	3.768		
PFO (d)	Male groups	1	0.829	0.610	0.435
	Flight frequency	5	9.638	7.092	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	1.252	0.922	0.467
	Error	326	1.359		
Mating frequency	Male groups	1	0.430	1.449	0.230
	Flight frequency	5	1.945	6.551	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	0.395	1.331	0.250
	Error	326	0.297		
Mating percentage	Male groups	1	0.022	0.091	0.763
	Flight frequency	5	0.962	4.029	0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	0.183	0.766	0.575
	Error	326	0.239		
Female longevity (d)	Male groups	1	56.766	12.448	<0.001
	Flight frequency	5	16.302	3.575	0.004
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	9.804	2.150	0.059
	Error	326	4.560		
Male longevity (d)	Male groups	1	6.877	0.437	0.509
	Flight frequency	5	77.531	4.930	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	58.921	3.746	0.003
	Error	326	15.727		
Ovarian development grade	Male groups	1	0.740	4.457	0.036
	Flight frequency	5	4.018	24.211	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	5	0.377	2.272	0.047
	Error	326	0.166		

flight frequency and male treatment (tables 3 and 4). Although all flight parameters in the male-flying group were less than those in the male-nonflying group, the flight duration was significantly lower in only those that flew for four nights ( $F_{1, 52} = 8.71$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ) and five nights ( $F_{1, 48} = 9.34$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ). In addition, flight duration was prolonged with increasing flight frequency, which was significantly decreased in moths that flew for 1–2 night compared to those that flew for four and five nights in the male-flying

group ( $F_{4, 135} = 16.91$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and male-nonflying group ( $F_{4, 135} = 40.51$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), respectively (table 4).

Compared to those in the male-nonflying group, the flight distances of females that flew for three, four and five nights were significantly decreased in the male-flying group (three nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 5.51$ ,  $P = 0.023$ ; four nights:  $F_{1, 52} = 8.84$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ; five nights:  $F_{1, 48} = 10.18$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ). Similar results were obtained for the flight distance with flight frequency in the male-flying group

**Table 2.** Reproductive performances of *M. separata* adults between the two male groups treated by different flight frequency

Parameters	Male treatments	Flight frequency					
		0	1	2	3	4	5
POP (d)	Flying	5.39 ± 0.24 b A	4.00 ± 0.16 c B	4.52 ± 0.13 c B	6.28 ± 0.20 a A	6.33 ± 0.25 a A	6.96 ± 0.29 a A
	Nonflying	5.77 ± 0.35 b A	4.63 ± 0.16 c A	4.93 ± 0.15 c A	5.45 ± 0.23 bc B	6.07 ± 0.21 ab A	6.88 ± 0.26 a A
Lifetime fecundity (eggs per female)	Flying	760.96 ± 68.56 a A	852.40 ± 45.49 a A	798.79 ± 45.40 a A	522.48 ± 42.48 b A	398.67 ± 47.30 bc B	254.20 ± 42.95 c A
	Nonflying	683.33 ± 54.45 ab A	902.60 ± 58.92 a A	806.62 ± 53.42 a A	679.72 ± 71.42 ab A	564.85 ± 56.96 bc A	363.04 ± 51.27 c A
Oviposition period (d)	Flying	5.37 ± 0.40 ab A	5.87 ± 0.31 a A	6.28 ± 0.39 a A	4.97 ± 0.30 ab A	5.33 ± 0.35ab A	3.84 ± 0.46 b A
	Nonflying	6.47 ± 0.45 a A	4.97 ± 0.31 b B	4.72 ± 0.31 bc B	4.21 ± 0.39 bc A	4.19 ± 0.36 bc B	3.36 ± 0.35 c A
PFO (d)	Flying	1.39 ± 0.24 ab A	1.00 ± 0.16 bc B	0.52 ± 0.13 c B	1.28 ± 0.20 abc A	1.33 ± 0.25 abc A	1.96 ± 0.29 a A
	Nonflying	1.77 ± 0.35 a A	1.50 ± 0.16 ab A	0.93 ± 0.15 b A	1.31 ± 0.19 ab A	1.93 ± 0.19 a A	1.84 ± 0.25 a A
Mating frequency	Flying	0.75 ± 0.13 ab A	0.87 ± 0.08 a A	0.93 ± 0.12 a A	0.69 ± 0.11 abc A	0.41 ± 0.10 bc A	0.28 ± 0.09 c A
	Nonflying	0.63 ± 0.10 a A	0.80 ± 0.10 a A	0.66 ± 0.09 a A	0.48 ± 0.09 a A	0.44 ± 0.10 a A	0.48 ± 0.10 a A
Mating rate (%)	Flying	60.71 ± 9.40 ab A	80.00 ± 7.43 a A	65.52 ± 8.98 a A	55.17 ± 9.40 ab A	40.74 ± 9.64 b A	28.00 ± 9.17 b A
	Nonflying	60.00 ± 9.10 a A	66.67 ± 8.75 a A	58.62 ± 9.31 a A	48.27 ± 9.44 a A	44.44 ± 9.75 a A	48.00 ± 10.20 a A
Female longevity (d)	Flying	11.23 ± 0.58 a A	10.53 ± 0.36 a A	10.52 ± 0.43 a A	10.86 ± 0.30 a A	10.74 ± 0.39 a A	10.16 ± 0.40 a A
	Nonflying	11.40 ± 0.38 a A	9.27 ± 0.42 b B	8.97 ± 0.32 b B	9.17 ± 0.38 b B	9.80 ± 0.42 ab A	10.08 ± 0.29 ab A
Male longevity (d)	Flying	14.10 ± 0.86 ab A	16.37 ± 0.99 a A	16.41 ± 0.83 a A	13.83 ± 0.85 ab A	12.15 ± 0.70 b A	11.20 ± 0.82 b B
	Nonflying	13.93 ± 0.83 a A	13.87 ± 0.57 a B	13.90 ± 0.58 a B	14.03 ± 0.53 a A	12.44 ± 0.51 a A	14.28 ± 0.56 a A
Ovarian development grade	Flying	4.27 ± 0.08 b A	4.73 ± 0.08 a A	4.62 ± 0.09 a A	4.14 ± 0.07 b B	4.07 ± 0.05 b B	4.04 ± 0.04 b A
	Nonflying	4.23 ± 0.08 b A	4.67 ± 0.09 a A	4.66 ± 0.09 a A	4.38 ± 0.09 ab A	4.30 ± 0.09 b A	4.00 ± 0.00 b A

Data in the table are mean ± SE. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant differences between different flight frequency by Tukey's HSD test at 5% level. Means in the same column followed by the same uppercase letter do not differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) by Tukey's HSD test.



**Table 3.** Results of two-way ANOVA analysis on effects of flight frequency and male groups (flying or nonflying males) on female flight parameters.

Parameters	Source	df	MS	F	P
Flight duration (h)	Male groups	1	630.709	25.599	<0.001
	Flight frequency	4	1381.841	56.085	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	4	67.030	2.721	0.030
	Error	270	24.638		
Flight distance (km)	Male groups	1	10,422.607	30.850	<0.001
	Flight frequency	4	16,245.956	48.086	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	4	1306.518	3.867	0.005
	Error	270	337.852		
Average velocity (km h <sup>-1</sup> )	Male groups	1	14.668	17.430	<0.001
	Flight frequency	4	4.486	5.331	<0.001
	Male groups × Flight frequency	4	1.500	1.782	0.133
	Error	270	0.842		

**Table 4.** Flight capacity of *M. separata* females between the two male groups treated by different flight frequency

Parameters	Male treatments	Flight frequency				
		1	2	3	4	5
Flight duration (h)	Flying	2.67 ± 0.44 d A	4.79 ± 0.68 cd A	6.82 ± 1.12 bc A	9.75 ± 1.04 ab B	12.61 ± 1.23 a B
	Nonflying	3.27 ± 0.44 cd A	6.58 ± 0.70 cd A	8.80 ± 0.74 c A	14.54 ± 1.24 b A	18.50 ± 1.48 a A
Flight distance (km)	Flying	6.76 ± 1.24 d A	11.83 ± 2.03 cd A	16.63 ± 3.12 bc B	25.57 ± 3.38 b B	38.46 ± 5.06 a B
	Nonflying	10.56 ± 2.12 d A	15.82 ± 2.09 cd A	25.25 ± 2.66 c A	41.95 ± 4.35 b A	65.83 ± 6.92 a A
Flight velocity (km h <sup>-1</sup> )	Flying	2.33 ± 0.14 ab B	2.36 ± 0.18 ab A	2.20 ± 0.13 b B	2.62 ± 0.14 ab A	2.87 ± 0.18 a B
	Nonflying	2.90 ± 0.23 ab A	2.41 ± 0.18 b A	3.05 ± 0.20 ab A	2.81 ± 0.15 ab A	3.49 ± 0.18 a A

Data in the table are mean ± SE. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant differences between different flight frequency by Tukey's HSD test at 5% level. The same uppercase letter in a same column indicates no significant difference between the two male groups by Tukey's HSD test at 5% level.

( $F_{4, 135} = 15.57$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and male-nonflying group ( $F_{4, 135} = 32.64$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , table 4). Male groups and flight frequency had significant effects on the mean velocity; however, their interaction had no influence (tables 3 and 4). The average velocities of moths that flew for one, three and five nights in the male-flying group were significantly slower than those in the male-nonflying group (one night:  $F_{1, 58} = 4.52$ ,  $P = 0.038$ ; three nights:  $F_{1, 56} = 12.75$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ; five nights:  $F_{1, 48} = 6.15$ ,  $P = 0.017$ ). Moths that flew for three nights had the lowest average velocity, with a velocity of less than 0.67 km h<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the highest those that flew for five nights in the male-flying group ( $F_{4, 135} = 3.32$ ,  $P < 0.013$ ), while the lowest mean velocity of moths that flew for two nights was observed in the male-nonflying group ( $F_{4, 135} = 3.99$ ,  $P = 0.004$ , table 4).

#### Correlation of flight capacity of males, with reproduction of age-paired females

The correlation analysis (table 5) suggested a positive correlation between flight frequency and flight duration and distance of males with POP and PFO of age-paired females, but the relationship with the lifetime fecundity, oviposition period, mating frequency, ovarian development grade of age-paired females showed a

significantly negative correlation. Collectively, these results suggested that flight capacity of males was negatively correlated with reproduction of age-paired females with the increase of flight frequency.

#### Discussion

Comparisons of *M. separata* reproductive performance and degree of ovarian development between the two male treatment groups at the same frequency revealed that males played a crucial role in reproductive and migratory regulation. Females that flew for 1–2 nights in the flying male group had a significantly shorter POP and PFO than those in the nonflying group, and the oviposition period and longevity of the females were significantly increased. In contrast, flight for more than two nights induced prolonged POP and PFO, as well as decreased lifetime fecundity, a decreased mating frequency, a decreased mating rate and a lower degree of ovarian development. In the current study, reproductive variations in response to different flight treatments were evaluated by assessing the POP, PFO and other reproductive parameters in a migratory insect (Jiang *et al.*, 2010; Cheng *et al.*, 2012). A decrease in lifetime fecundity and an increase in POP are generally considered major reproductive costs of migration (Gunn *et al.*, 1989;

**Table 5.** Pearson's correlations of flight distance (km), flight velocity (km h<sup>-1</sup>), and flight duration (h) of males, with reproductive parameters of age-paired females

Parameters	Flight frequency		Flight duration		Flight distance		Flight velocity	
	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> -value	<i>r</i>	<i>P</i> -value
POP	0.442	<0.001	0.383	<0.001	0.353	<0.001	0.096	0.214
PFO	0.098	0.037	0.187	0.015	0.162	0.036	-0.047	0.545
Lifetime fecundity	-0.577	<0.001	-0.419	<0.001	-0.415	<0.001	-0.248	0.001
Oviposition period	-0.246	0.001	-0.179	0.020	-0.206	0.007	-0.078	0.316
Mating frequency	-0.301	<0.001	-0.224	0.003	-0.199	0.010	-0.090	0.249
Mating rate	-0.260	0.001	-0.193	0.012	-0.136	0.080	-0.039	0.613
Female longevity	-0.096	0.213	-0.055	0.481	-0.096	0.216	-0.094	0.226
Male longevity	-0.286	<0.001	-0.222	0.004	-0.276	<0.001	-0.111	0.153
Ovarian development grade	-0.406	<0.001	-0.273	<0.001	-0.288	<0.001	-0.162	0.036

Gibbs and Dyck, 2010). Overall, the results showed that flying males significantly promoted ovarian and reproductive development in *M. separata* that flew continuously for 1–2 nights compared to nonflying males; however, they could have the opposite effect for other flight frequencies.

To our knowledge, *M. separata* spends several nights flying long distances to reach breeding areas (Chen *et al.*, 1989). However, when *M. separata* migration begins and whether the first migratory period is consistent in males and females is still unknown. Prior studies found that some insects, such as *Mythimna separata* (Lin, 1990), initiated their migration soon after eclosion when the ovaries of females were still immature (stage I or II). Luo *et al.* (1999) reported that in *M. separata* adults, flight at only 1 day after emergence significantly stimulated reproduction in different flight-age tests. Zhang *et al.* (2008) further observed that the first 24 h after emergence was a crucial time window for switching migrants into residents in *M. separata*. In addition, our previous study demonstrated that a positive effect of migration on reproduction occurred in only newly emerged (24 h) females that flew within 12 h (Lv *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, it is supposed that the 1st day after emergence may be the primary migratory time period for *M. separata* (Jiang *et al.*, 2014b). Similarly, recent research on *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* proved that the first migration most likely occurred within the first 2 days after eclosion (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

The results of the flight frequency tests in the two male treatment groups showed that females in the male-flying male group that flew for 1–2 nights achieved faster ovarian and reproductive development because their POP was significantly shortened and their degree of ovarian development was significantly increased compared to those in the control group. In addition, their lifetime fecundity, mating frequency and mating rate were higher than those in the controls; their PFO was lower than that in the controls, and a significant decrease was found in adults that flew for two nights. By contrast, more than three nights of flight significantly inhibited reproductive and ovarian development, and the negative influence significantly intensified as flight frequency increased. Pearson's correlation analysis had also demonstrated that flight capacity of males had significantly negative correlations with reproduction of age-paired females with increasing the flight frequency.

In the male-nonflying group, the POP of adult females that flew for 1–2 nights was also significantly reduced compared to

those in the controls. Furthermore, significant decreases in lifetime fecundity, mating frequency and the mating rate were observed in those that flew for 4–5 nights. Adults that flew for more than three nights had significantly decreased reproductive development compared to the controls and those that flew for one or two nights, suggesting that in females, migration for three nights resulted in significant reproductive costs. Hence, we supposed that males that flew for 1–2 nights significantly stimulated ovarian and reproductive development in females and weakened the flight willingness of females, with a decrease in flight frequency from 3 to 2. Insect migration occurs when the benefits of flight exceed the reproductive costs of migration (Chapman *et al.*, 2012). Based on these results, we also hypothesized that *M. separata* individuals began their first migration within 2 days after eclosion and completed their migratory flight within two nights; otherwise, they would pay substantial reproductive costs. This speculation had been supported by radar observations (Chen *et al.*, 1995).

One possible reason might be their flight ability. Analysis of the flight capacity between the two male treatment groups revealed that the flight capacity of females in the flying male group was significantly weaker than that of females in the nonflying group because their flight duration and flight distance decreased with increasing flight frequency; significant decreases were observed in moths that flew for more than two nights and more than three nights, respectively. It is well known that a trade-off between migration and reproduction exists (Lorenz, 2007). Limited internal resources are invested more in reproduction, thereby reducing the energy supply for flight, resulting in a decrease in flight capacity. Migratory and mating behaviours of *M. separata* occurred during the POP (Luo *et al.*, 1999; Zhao *et al.*, 2009; Jiang *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, the number of female *M. separata* was significantly higher than that of males during the first migratory stage (1–4 day after emergence) in light trapping experiments (Li *et al.*, 1987; Lin, 1990; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). It was also reported that the proportion of captured females gradually decreased following the age delay due to the maturation of the ovaries and impaired flight capacity in female *M. separata* (Wang and Zhang, 2001). Thus, we deduced that flying males significantly stimulated ovarian and reproductive development in females that flew for 1–2 nights at the expense of reducing subsequent flight capacity. Flying males impaired the flight capacity of age-paired females, which was conducive to reduce

inconsistencies in the time of first migration between females and males and increase mating frequencies, thereby promoting ovarian development and reproductive development of *M. separata*. Our results are consistent with the previous findings that females emerging when and where male density is high tend to have a higher mating success, which results in increasing the proportion of mated females with mature oocytes among migrants (Gerber and Walkof, 1992; Coombs *et al.*, 1993; Rhoads, 2010).

Another possible reason is related to JH, which regulates many essential physiological processes in insects, such as ovarian and flight muscle development (Luo *et al.*, 2001; Moon and Kim, 2003; Saha *et al.*, 2016). Cusson *et al.* (1990) found that *Pseudaletia unipuncta* adults were transferred from 15 to 25 °C resulted in a marked increase in JH biosynthesis within 24 h. Heinrich (1993) reported that there was a significant increase in body temperature caused by active flight. Thus, the occurrence of sexual maturation and mating success within several days of initiating migratory flight is not a total surprise based on the above points (Zhao *et al.*, 2009). Migratory flight may increase fecundity under certain conditions (Rankin *et al.*, 1986; Luo *et al.*, 1999). High JH levels are strongly associated with the rapid development of the ovaries, accelerating the degradation of flight muscles, resulting in the inhibition of migratory ability (Zera, 2007; Sun *et al.*, 2013). This onset of maturation is beneficial to mate and initiate oviposition as soon as possible after relocate suitable breeding habitats for migrant females (Wada *et al.*, 1988).

In summary, our laboratory study demonstrated that *M. separata* individuals began their first migration within 2 days after eclosion and flew for two nights. The first migration period of females and males was not identical; female initiated their migration earlier than males due to a stronger flight capacity. Flying males significantly stimulated ovarian and reproductive development in females that flew for two nights, resulting in a subsequently weakened flight capacity and decreased flight propensity in females. These findings provide valuable information regarding the complex process of migration in *M. separata*. In the future, additional laboratory studies combined with field tests will be carried out to elucidate the mechanism of migration and outbreaks for the development of pest prevention and control strategies.

**Acknowledgements.** This research was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds of China West Normal University (Project No. 412/412834).

## References

Chapman JW, Bell JR, Burgin LE, Reynolds DR, Pettersson LB, Hill JK, Bonsall MB and Thomas JA (2012) Seasonal migration to high latitudes results in major reproductive benefits in an insect. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **109**, 14924–14929.

Chapman JW, Reynolds DR and Wilson K (2015) Long-range seasonal migration in insects: mechanisms, evolutionary drivers and ecological consequences. *Ecology Letters* **18**, 287–302.

Chen RL, Bao XZ, Drake VA, Farrow RA, Wang SY, Sun YJ and Zhai BP (1989) Radar observations of the spring migration into Northeastern China of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* and other insects. *Ecological Entomology* **14**, 149–162.

Chen RL, Sun YJ, Wang SY, Zhai BP and Bao XZ (1995) Migration of the oriental armyworm *Mythimna separata* in East Asia in relation to weather and climate. I. Northeastern China. In Drake VA and Gatehouse AG (eds), *Migration: Tracking Resource in Space and Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 93–257.

Chen Q, Zhang YD, Qi XH, Xu YW, Hou YH, Fan ZY, Shen HL, Liu D, Shi XK, Li SM, Du Y and Wu YQ (2019) The effects of climate warming on the migratory status of early summer populations of *Mythimna separata*

(Walker) moths: a case-study of enhanced corn damage in central-northern China, 1980–2016. *Ecology & Evolution* **9**, 12332–12338.

Cheng YX, Luo LZ, Jiang XF and Sappington TW (2012) Synchronized oviposition triggered by migratory flight intensifies larval outbreaks of beet webworm. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e31562.

Compton SG (2002) Sailing with the wind: dispersal by small flying insects. In Bullock JM, Kenward RE and Hails Dispersal RS (eds), *Dispersal Ecology*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, pp. 113–133.

Coombs M, del Socorro AP, Fitt GP and Gregg PC (1993) The reproductive maturity and mating status of *Helicoverpa armigera*, *H. punctigera* and *Mythimna convecta* (Lepidoptera: Noc[1]tuidae) collected in tower-mounted light traps in northern New South Wales, Australia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **83**, 529–534.

Cusson M, Mcneil JN and Tobe SS (1990) *In vitro* biosynthesis of juvenile hormone by corpora allata of *Pseudaletia unipuncta* virgin females as a function of age, environmental conditions, calling behaviour and ovarian development. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **36**, 139–146.

Dingle H (2014) *Migration: The Biology of Life on the Move*, 2nd Edn, New York: Oxford University Press.

Drake VA and Reynolds DR (2012) *Radar Entomology: Observing Insect Flight and Migration*. Wallingford: CABI.

Drake VA, Gatehouse AG and Farrow RA (1995) Insect migration: a holistic conceptual model. In Drake VA and Gatehouse AG (eds), *Insect Migration: Tracking Resources Through Space and Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 427–457.

Feng HQ, Zhao XC, Wu XF, Wu B, Wu KM, Cheng DF and Guo YY (2008) Autumn migration of *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) over the Bohai Sea in northern China. *Environmental Entomology* **37**, 774–781.

Gatehouse AG and Zhang XX (1995). Migratory potential of insects: variation in an uncertain environment. In Drake VA and Gatehouse AG (eds), *Insect Migration: Tracking Resource in Space and Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 193–242.

Gerber GH and Walkof J (1992) Phenology and reproductive status of adult redbacked cutworms, *Euxoa ochrogaster* (Gueneé) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in southern Manitoba. *Canadian Entomologist* **124**, 541–551.

Gibbs M and Dyck HV (2010) Butterfly flight activity affects reproductive performance and longevity relative to landscape structure. *Oecologia* **163**, 341–350.

Gunn A, Gatehouse AG and Woodrow KP (1989) Trade-off between flight and reproduction in the African armyworm moth, *Spodoptera exempta*. *Physiological Entomology* **14**, 419–427.

He YQ, Bo F, Guo QS and Du YJ (2017) Age influences the olfactory profiles of the migratory oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* at the molecular level. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 32.

Heinrich B (1993) *The Hot-Blooded Insects: Strategies and Mechanisms of Thermoregulation*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Jiang XF (2018) Regularity of population occurrence and migration in the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* **17**, 1482–1505.

Jiang XF, Luo LZ and Sappington TW (2010) Relationship of flight and reproduction in beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), a migrant lacking the oogenesis-flight syndrome. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **56**, 1631–1637.

Jiang X, Luo LZ, Zhang L, Sappington TW and Hu Y (2011) Regulation of migration in *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in China: a review integrating environmental, physiological, hormonal, genetic, and molecular factors. *Environmental Entomology* **40**, 516–533.

Jiang XF, Zhang L, Cheng YX and Luo LZ (2014a) Current status and trends in research on the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in China. *Chinese Journal of Applied Entomology* **51**, 881–889.

Jiang XF, Jiang YY, Zhang L, Cheng YX and Luo LZ (2014b) Investigation and monitoring of overwintering and migrant populations, and the larval occurrence of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). *Chinese Journal of Applied Entomology* **51**, 1114–1119.

Johnson CG (1969) *Migration and Dispersal of Insects by Flight*. London: Methuen.

Johnson SJ (1995) Insect migration in North America: synoptic scale transport in a highly seasonal environment. In Drake VA and Gatehouse AG



- (eds), *Insect Migration: Tracking Resources Through Space and Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 31–66.
- Kim KS, Jones GD, Westbrook JK and Sappington TW** (2010) Multidisciplinary fingerprints: forensic reconstruction of an insect reinvasion. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **7**, 677–686.
- Lee JH and Uhm KB** (1995) Migration of the oriental armyworm *Mythimna separata* in East Asia in relation to weather and climate. In Drake VA and Gatehouse AG (eds), *Insect Migration*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 105–116.
- Li GB** (1996) Armyworm. In Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (ed.), *The Main Pests and Diseases of the National Crops*. Beijing: China Agricultural Press, pp. 657–723.
- Li GB, Wang HX and Hu WX** (1964) Route of the seasonal migration of the oriental armyworm moth in the eastern part of China as indicated by a three-year result of releasing and recapturing of marked moths. *Acta Phytophylacica Sinica* **3**, 101–110.
- Li RD, Wang JQ and Su DM** (1987) *Insect Ovarian Developmental and Prediction of Their Population Dynamics*. Shanghai: Fudan University Press.
- Lin CS** (1990) The application of the effective accumulative temperature rule on the geographic range of oriental armyworm. In Lin CS, Chen RL, Shu XY, Hu BH and Cai XM (eds), *Physiology and Ecology of Oriental Armyworm*. Beijing: Peking University Press, pp. 86–109.
- Lorenz MW** (2007) Oogenesis flight syndrome in crickets: age dependent egg production, flight performance, and biochemical composition of the flight muscles in adult female *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **53**, 819–832.
- Luo LZ, Jiang XF, Li KB and Hu Y** (1999) Influences of flight on reproduction and longevity of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* **2**, 150–158.
- Luo LZ, Li KB, Jiang XF and Hu Y** (2001) Regulation of flight capacity and contents of energy substances by methoprene in the moths of Oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* **8**, 63–72.
- Lv WX, Jiang XF, Zhang L and Luo LZ** (2014) Effect of different tethered flight durations on the reproduction and adult longevity of *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Chinese Journal of Applied Entomology* **51**, 914–921.
- Moon J and Kim Y** (2003) Purification and characterization of vitellin and vitellogenin of the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* **6**, 37–43.
- Qin JY, Liu YQ, Zhang L, Cheng YX, Sappington TW and Jiang XF** (2018) Effects of moth age and rearing temperature on the flight performance of the loyeyi leafworm, *Mythimna loyeyi* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in tethered and free flight. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **111**, 1243–1248.
- Rankin MA, McAnelly ML and Bodenhamer JE** (1986) The oogenesis-flight syndrome revisited. In Danthanarayana W (ed.), *Insect Flight: Dispersal and Migration*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 27–48.
- Rhains M** (2010) Female mating failures in insects. *Entomologia Experimentalis Applicata* **136**, 211–226.
- Rovnyak AM, Burks CS, Gassmann AJ and Sappington TW** (2018) Interrelation of mating, flight, and fecundity in navel orangeworm (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) females. *Entomologia Experimentalis Applicata* **166**, 304–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12675>.
- Saha TT, Shin SW, Du W, Roy S, Zhao B, Hou Y, Wang XL, Zou Z, Girke T and Raikhel AS** (2016) Hairy and Groucho mediate the action of juvenile hormone receptor Methoprene-tolerant in gene repression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **113**, 735–743.
- Sappington TW** (2018) Migratory flight of insect pests within a year-round distribution: European corn borer as a case study. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* **17**, 1485–1505.
- Sharma HC and Davies JC** (1983) The oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Wlk.) distribution, biology and control: a literature review. Miscellaneous report No 59. Overseas Development Administration, Wrights Lane.
- Sibly RM, Witt CC, Wright NA, Venditti C, Jetz W and Brown JH** (2012) Energetics, lifestyle, and reproduction in birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **109**, 10937–10941.
- Stevens VM, Whitmee S, Le Gaillard JF, Clobert J, Böhning-Gaese K, Bonte D, Broandle M, Dehling DM, Hof C, Trochet A and Baguette M** (2014) A comparative analysis of dispersal syndromes in terrestrial and semi-aquatic animals. *Ecology Letters* **17**, 1039–1052.
- Sun BB, Jing XF, Zhang L, Stanley DW, Luo LZ and Long W** (2013) Methoprene influences reproduction and flight capacity in adults of the rice leaf roller, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenée) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* **82**, 1–13.
- Wada T, Ogawa Y and Nakasuga T** (1988) Geographical difference in mated status and autumn migration in the rice leaf roller moth, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **46**, 141–148.
- Wang YZ and Zhang XX** (2001) Studies on the migratory behaviors of oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata* (Walker). *Acta Ecologica Sinica* **21**, 772–779.
- Wang GP, Zhang QW, Ye ZH and Luo LZ** (2006) The role of nectar plants in the severe outbreaks of armyworm *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in China. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **96**, 445–455.
- Zeng J, Jiang YY and Liu J** (2013) Analysis of the armyworm outbreak in 2012 and suggestions of monitoring and forecasting. *Journal of Plant Protection* **39**, 117–121.
- Zera AJ** (2007) Endocrine analysis in evolutionary-developmental studies of insect polymorphism: hormone manipulation versus direct measurement of hormonal regulators. *Evolution & Development* **9**, 499–513.
- Zhang L, Jiang XF and Luo LZ** (2008) Determination of sensitive stage for switching migrant oriental armyworms into residents. *Environmental Entomology* **37**, 1389–1395.
- Zhang YH, Zhang Z, Jiang YY, Zeng J, Gao YB and Cheng DF** (2012) Preliminary analysis of the outbreak of the third-generation armyworm *Mythimna separata* in 2012 in China. *Journal of Plant Protection* **38**, 1–8.
- Zhang L, Pan P, Sappington TW, Lu WX, Luo LZ and Jiang XF** (2015) Accelerated and synchronized oviposition induced by flight of young females may intensify larval outbreaks of the rice leaf roller. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0121821.
- Zhang Z, Zhang YY, Wang J, Liu J, Tang QB, Li XR, Cheng DF and Zhu X** (2018) Analysis on the migration of first-generation *Mythimna separata* (Walker) in China in 2013. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* **17**, 1527–1537.
- Zhang L, Cheng LL, Chapman JW, Sappington TW, Liu JJ, Cheng YX and Jiang XF** (2020) Juvenile hormone regulates the shift from migrants to residents in adult oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata*. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 11626.
- Zhao ZH and Cheng DF** (2016) Analysis of the outbreak and suggestions of the armyworm *Mythimna separata* in part areas of China. *Seed Science and Technology* **34**, 89–90.
- Zhao XC, Feng HQ, Wu B, Wu XF, Liu ZF, Wu KM and McNeil JN** (2009) Does the onset of sexual maturation terminate the expression of migratory behaviour in moths? A study of the oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separata*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **55**, 1039–1043.