Genetic and environmental influences on the relationship between adult ADHD symptoms and self-reported problem drinking in 6024 Dutch twins

E. M. Derks¹*, J. M. Vink^{2,3}, G. Willemsen^{2,4}, W. van den Brink¹ and D. I. Boomsma^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Centre, The Netherlands

² Department of Biological Psychology, The Netherlands Twin Register, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴ EMGO+ Institute for Health and Care Research, VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Background. Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have shown a positive association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and problematic alcohol use in adults. To what extent this association is explained by genetic and environmental factors is largely unknown.

Method. Data on ADHD and alcohol consumption were collected by self-report in 6024 adult Dutch twins. ADHD symptoms were assessed by three subscales of the Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scales – Self-Report: Screening Version (CAARS–S:SV): inattentiveness, hyperactivity and the ADHD index (ADHD-I). Problem drinking was defined as at least two self-reported alcohol-related problems on the CAGE questionnaire. Structural equation modelling was applied to the bivariate twin data to estimate genetic and environmental influences.

Results. Heritability of ADHD symptoms ranged between 32% and 40% and heritability of problem drinking was 50%. The positive correlation between ADHD symptoms and problem drinking was confirmed in this general population sample, with phenotypic correlations between 0.20 and 0.28 and genetic correlations between 0.39 and 0.50. Phenotypic correlations are primarily (61–100%) explained by genetic influences with non-shared environmental influences explaining the remaining covariance. No significant quantitative or qualitative gender differences in covariance structure were found.

Conclusions. This study convincingly shows that ADHD symptoms and problem drinking are moderately but significantly correlated in adults and that genetic correlations are primarily underlying this association. This suggests that early interventions are required to prevent adolescents with ADHD from developing problematic levels of alcohol use. Furthermore, clinicians who treat alcohol-dependent patients should be aware that the patient may have a co-morbid condition of ADHD; integrated interventions are required.

Received 30 August 2013; Revised 30 January 2014; Accepted 31 January 2014; First published online 3 March 2014

Key words: ADHD, alcohol use, bivariate, externalizing disorders, genetic, heritability, problem drinking, twins.

Introduction

Longitudinal studies have shown that individuals who are diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in childhood have an increased risk for problematic alcohol use in adolescence (Friedrichs *et al.* 2012) and adulthood (Knop *et al.* 2009). Crosssectional studies in adults also report a positive association between ADHD symptoms and alcohol dependence (Kessler *et al.* 2006; Friedrichs *et al.* 2012; Tuithof *et al.* 2012). These findings may represent the presence of a common underlying process influencing the risk of both ADHD and alcohol dependence, possibly related to neurobehavioural deficiencies in behavioural disinhibition and reward sensitivity (Volkow *et al.* 2009). The aim of the current study was to investigate the association between ADHD symptoms and problem drinking in a large representative sample of adult Dutch twins. We also wanted to determine to what extent the phenotypic association is explained by shared genetic or environmental factors.

Predictors of alcohol initiation include genetic factors (Poelen *et al.* 2008), environmental factors shared by siblings, such as parental rule setting (van der Zwaluw *et al.* 2008), and other environmental factors, for example having friends who drink alcohol (Geels *et al.* 2013). A review of six large population-based studies showed that externalizing problems, including aggression and behavioural inhibition, increase the risk

^{*} Address for correspondence: Dr E. M. Derks, Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Centre, Meibergdreef 5, 1105 AZ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

⁽Email: e.m.derks@amc.uva.nl)

of problematic alcohol use in adulthood (Zucker, 2008). Other risk factors that were identified by Zucker (2008) include early drinking, and, to a lesser extent, internalizing problems. A developmentally sensitive study by Kendler et al. (2011) investigated the effects of specific alcohol-related genetic risk factors, that is based on the history of alcohol abuse and dependence in the relatives, and non-specific externalizing genetic risk factors, that is based on a composite measure of the co-twin's symptoms of conduct disorder (CD) and antisocial personality disorder, on alcohol consumption. The study included retrospective assessments collected over the ages 12-33 years. The authors showed that the genetic risk for externalizing behaviour on alcohol consumption was strongest at ages 15-17 years and then declined whereas genetic risk factors specific for alcohol consumption did not reach their maximum impact until ages 30-33 years. They further showed that the influence of genetic risk factors was mediated by environmental factors (e.g. prosocial behaviour), and this mediation was stronger for externalizing factors than for alcohol-specific factors (Kendler et al. 2011). Geels et al. (2013) aimed to investigate whether externalizing problems and the risk of early alcohol initiation are directly related or whether the association is mediated by other factors. In line with the findings of Kendler et al. (2011), they report that the effect of externalizing problems is moderated by smoking initiation and peer alcohol use.

Evidence from twin studies indicates that genetic factors explain 50-70% of the variation in alcohol addiction (Agrawal & Lynskey, 2008). Molecular genetic studies support the role of several candidate genes in alcohol use disorder. However, these genetic polymorphisms explain only a small proportion of the total genetic variation and the search for genetic risk factors for alcohol dependence is ongoing. At present, several genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been performed (reviewed in Rietschel & Treutlein, 2013). These studies provide additional evidence for genes involved in the metabolism of alcohol [alcohol dehydrogenase 1B (ADH1B) and the aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 family (ALDH2)] and have pointed to novel risk factors, including polymorphisms in the autism susceptibility candidate 2 gene AUTS2 (Schumann *et al.* **2011**).

Although it was previously assumed that ADHD is a psychiatric disorder that is present only in children, a recent interest in adult ADHD has emerged. A meta-analysis reported a pooled prevalence of 2.5% for DSM-IV-diagnosed ADHD in adults (Simon *et al.* 2009). Twin studies show that individual differences in ADHD symptoms in adulthood are explained by genetic factors for 30–40% of adults with ADHD (van den Berg *et al.* 2006; Boomsma *et al.* 2010).

Although the heritability is lower than the estimated heritability of 71-73% in children (Nikolas & Burt, 2010), it is still substantial. The findings of a large longitudinal study, including data for 44607 twins from the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR), suggest that the decrease in heritability coincides with a switch from parental to self-ratings, and that an increase in occasion-specific environmental variance is the main explanation for the decrease in heritability (Kan et al. 2013). Molecular genetic studies support the role of several candidate genes in ADHD (reviewed in Gizer et al. 2009), but again, these explain only a small proportion of the variation. GWAS have so far yielded no novel significantly associated genetic variants for ADHD (Neale et al. 2010). Relatively few studies have aimed to identify causal genetic variants in adult ADHD (see Franke et al. 2012 for a review). Thus far, a variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphism in the 3'-untranslated region of the SCL6A3/DAT1 gene is the only finding that was confirmed in a large meta-analysis including 1440 adult cases and 1769 controls (Franke et al. 2010). Strikingly, although the 9/9 genotype tends to be associated with ADHD in children, it was the 10/10 genotype that was associated with ADHD in adults. This developmentally sensitive effect of a genetic polymorphism highlights the importance of genetic studies being conducted in both children and adults.

If ADHD and problematic alcohol use are associated in epidemiological studies, this raises important questions regarding the aetiological nature of this association. To what extent do genetic and environmental influences contribute to the covariation between these disorders? Relatively few studies have investigated this question. In a children-of-twins design including 536 twin mothers and 922 children, Knopik et al. (2006) showed that the association between maternal alcohol use disorder and ADHD in offspring was consistent with a genetic explanation. Genetic and environmental contributions to the observed correlations among DSM-IV ADHD symptoms, conduct problems and alcohol problems in 2892 female twins assessed in late adolescence were also investigated (Knopik et al. 2009a). However, in this sample no significant correlation between ADHD symptoms and alcohol problems was found, possibly because only females were included, emphasizing the important role of gender in the study of these behaviours. A more recent study conducted by Edwards & Kendler (2012) investigated to what extent genetic and environmental factors explain the phenotypic associations of adolescent CD and ADHD phenotypes with adult alcohol dependence in 1774 male adult twins. The authors showed that the majority of the genetic liability shared between ADHD and alcohol

dependence was also shared with the genetic liability for CD whereas 13% of the covariance between hyperactivity/impulsivity and alcohol dependence was explained by a shared genetic liability that is unique to these disorders. By contrast, the genetic covariance between inattention and alcohol dependence was entirely explained by a genetic factor that is shared across inattention, CD and alcohol dependence. In the three studies reported above, ADHD was assessed in childhood or (retrospectively) in adolescence. Therefore, the question remains to what extent the association between ADHD symptoms and alcohol problems in adulthood is explained by genetic and environmental risk factors and whether this is similar for males and females. While addressing these questions it may be important to make a distinction between inattentive and hyperactive symptoms because adolescent data indicate that hyperactivity is more strongly associated with substance initiation and substance dependence than inattentiveness (Elkins et al. 2007).

Here, we report findings from a large populationbased twin-family study. All participants completed the questionnaire of the seventh wave of the NTR, including self-reported ADHD symptoms and items related to problematic alcohol use. We address the following questions, while differentiating between hyperactive symptoms, inattentive symptoms and the ADHD index (ADHD-I): a scale designed specifically to identify adults who are likely to be diagnosed with ADHD. First, what is the association between adult ADHD symptoms and adult problem drinking, and does the association differ between men and women? Second, to what extent is the association between ADHD symptoms and problem drinking explained by shared genetic or environmental factors?

Method

Participants and procedure

Phenotype data were collected in participants from the NTR by survey in 2004–2005 (survey 7; Distel *et al.* 2007). Participants were invited by mail to complete a survey on health, lifestyle, personality and psychopathology.

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee at VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, an Institutional Review Board certified by the US Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number IRB-2991 under Federal-wide Assurance-3703; IRB/institute codes, NTR 03–180). All procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 2008.

For this study, we included data collected in 6024 twins from 3784 families for whom data on problem drinking and/or ADHD symptom scores were available. Problem drinking was assessed in 97.4% of the participants and ADHD scores were obtained in 97.6% of the participants. The sample of 6024 twins included 892 monozygotic (MZ) male twins (321 complete pairs), 438 dizygotic (DZ) male twins (125 complete pairs), 2370 MZ female twins (962 complete pairs), 1093 DZ female twins (381 complete pairs) and 1231 opposite-sex twins (381 complete pairs). Zygosity of same-sex twins was based on DNA polymorphisms or validated survey questions. For 71% of the complete twin pairs and for 37% of the incomplete pairs, zygosity information was available from DNA testing.

Measures

Problem drinking

Problematic alcohol use was assessed using the CAGE questionnaire, the name of which is an acronym of its four questions. The CAGE is a widely used screening instrument for problem drinking (Bisson *et al.* 1999). The CAGE questionnaire consists of four dichotomous items assessing the experience of alcohol-related problems: (1) Have you felt the need to Cut down on your drinking? (2) Do you feel Annoyed by people complaining about your drinking? (3) Do you ever feel Guilty about your drinking? and (4) Do you ever drink an Eye-opener in the morning to relieve shakes? Problem drinking was defined as two or more positive items on the CAGE.

ADHD symptom scores

ADHD symptoms were assessed with the self-report screening version of Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scales (CAARS-S:SV; Conners et al. 1999). The CAARS scale consists of 30 items about the presence of ADHD symptoms, including a quantitative assessment of the inattentive symptoms (inattention) using a nine-item subscale, hyperactive-impulsive symptoms (hyperactivity) using a nine-item subscale, and the total ADHD symptom subscale with 12 items, the ADHD-I. There are no overlapping items among the three subscales. The items on the inattention and hyperactivity scales correspond to the symptoms that represent the diagnostic criteria of adult ADHD as outlined in DSM-IV-TR. All items were rated on a four-point Likert scale and missing items were handled according to the CAARS manual recommendations (Conners et al. 1999; Boomsma et al. 2010). The sum scores of the three scales were normally distributed.

Statistical analyses

All model fitting was performed on raw data, using data from both complete and incomplete twin pairs. Because modelling of categorical and continuous twin data is computationally demanding, ADHD scores were recoded into four severity categories with similar endorsement probabilities. We have previously shown that categorizing data has only a minimal effect on the statistical power of genetic modelling analyses (Derks *et al.* 2004).

Phenotypic association and twin correlations

Effects of age and gender on ordinal ADHD scores and problem drinking were assessed with Mx (Neale et al. 2003), a statistical software package designed for genetic analyses, allowing for correction of dependency of the data due to familial relatedness, in a so-called saturated model, that allowed data from twins to be correlated. Age was standardized to a normal distribution with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation (s.D.) of 1, and gender was recoded as -0.5 for females and 0.5 for males. Effects of age and gender were estimated separately for the three ADHD subscales and problem drinking, but the effects were equated for the three thresholds of the ADHD severity categories. Therefore, in each analysis, four covariate effects were estimated. The associations among the ADHD phenotypes and problem drinking were also estimated in the saturated model.

Twin correlations of ADHD scores and problem drinking within and across traits were allowed to be different for female and male MZ and DZ twins and twins of opposite sex. Next, we tested whether correlations were similar in men and women by comparing the fit of a model in which these correlations were constrained to be equal with the fit of the full model.

Genetic modelling

Genetic and environmental influences on ADHD and problem drinking scores were also estimated in Mx. The influence of the relative contributions of genetic and environmental factors to individual differences in ADHD and problem drinking can be inferred from the different levels of genetic relatedness of MZ and DZ twins (Plomin *et al.* 2008). Based on inspection of the twin correlations, we considered models including additive genetic (A), common environment (C) and unique environment (E); effects of genetic dominance were not suggested based on the comparison of MZ and DZ correlations. Quantitative sex differences in genetic architecture were evaluated by testing whether the magnitude of genetic and environmental influences was similar in males and females and qualitative sex differences were assessed by testing whether the genetic correlation in opposite-sex twins was equal to the genetic correlation in same-sex twins. The proportion of the variance accounted for by heritability was calculated by obtaining the ratio of genetic variance to total phenotypic variance. For the bivariate analyses, a Cholesky decomposition was used with the first set of latent factors (i.e. A, C and E) loading on both observed variables (ADHD severity scores and problem drinking) and the second set of factors loading on a single variable (in this instance, problem drinking).

Standardizing the genetic and environmental covariance matrices provides the correlation matrices where the correlations indicate the overlap of genetic effects across the two measures. To take into account the ordinal nature of the data, we fitted a liability threshold model (Lynch & Walsh, 1998).

In the current study, three thresholds divide the ADHD scores into four categories and one threshold sets problem drinking as a dichotomous variable. All statistical analyses were adjusted for age and gender by allowing the thresholds to vary as a function of these variables. The effects of age and gender were assumed to be equal across the different levels of ADHD scores (i.e. the covariate effects were equated for the three threshold dividing ADHD scores into categories). Statistical tests were performed at a type-I error rate of 0.05.

Results

Descriptive statistics

The mean age of the twins was 35.07 years (s.D.=12.14) and 1823 (30.3%) of the participants were male. Analysis of ordinal ADHD scores showed no significant differences between males and females with respect to ADHD-I and hyperactivity scores (ADHD-I: $\chi_1^2=2.76$, p=0.10; hyperactivity: $\chi_1^2=0.11$, p=0.74), whereas inattentive scores were somewhat lower in males than in females ($\chi_1^2=5.13$, p=0.02). Problem drinking was more frequent in males than in females (12.2% v. 7.3%; $\chi_1^2=40.62$, p<0.001). Age was positively correlated with ADHD scores (ADHD-I: $\beta=0.15$, $\chi_1^2=60.65$, p<0.001; hyperactivity: $\beta=0.13$, $\chi_1^2=48.02$, p<0.001; inattentive: $\beta=0.07$, $\chi_1^2=12.18$, p<0.001) and negatively associated with the risk of problem drinking ($\beta=-0.10$, $\chi_1^2=12.48$, p<0.001).

Twin correlations

The correlation between ADHD scores and problem drinking could be equated in males and females without significant deterioration of model fit (see Table 1). The phenotypic correlations of ADHD symptom scores Table 1. Model-fitting results of genetic model-fitting analyses of (a) ADHD-I, (b) hyperactivity and (c) inattentive scores and self-reported problem drinking

Mode	1	No. of parameters	-2 LL	Compared to model	χ^2	df	р
(a) AL	DHD-I and problem drinking						
1	Saturated model, male and female correlations are allowed to be different ^a	27	19107.03	N.A.			
2	Model 1, but male and female correlations equated	15	19122.21	1	15.18	12	0.23
3	ACE model, quantitative and qualitative sex differences allowed	24	19115.72	1	8.69	3	0.03
4	ACE model, no qualitative sex difference	22	19116.74	3	1.02	2	0.60
5	ACE model, no quantitative or qualitative sex differences	15	19122.33	4	5.59	7	0.59
6	AE model ADHD, ACE model problem drinking, genetic and environmental influences equated in males and females	13	19122.71	5	2.373	2	0.31
7	AE model, no sex differences	12	19124.70	5	2.37	3	0.50
8	AE model, no sex differences	12	19124.70	6	1.99	1	0.16
9	CE model	12	19143.34	5	21.01	3	< 0.001
10	E model	9	19341.09	5	216.39	6	< 0.001
11	AE model, no genetic correlation	11	19160.68	7	35.98	1	< 0.001
12	AE model, no unique environmental correlation	11	19130.88	7	6.18	1	0.01
(b) Hy	peractivity and problem drinking						
1	Saturated model, male and female correlations are allowed to be different ^a	27	19359.42	N.A.			
2	Model 1, but male and female correlations equated	15	19369.65	1	10.23	12	0.60
3	ACE model, quantitative and qualitative sex differences allowed	24	19361.61	1	2.19	3	0.53
4	ACE model, no qualitative sex difference	22	19361.62	3	0.01	2	0.99
5	ACE model, no quantitative or qualitative sex differences	15	19371.06	4	9.44	7	0.22
6	AE model ADHD, ACE model problem drinking, genetic and environmental influences equated in males and females	13	19371.34	5	0.28	2	0.87
7	AE model, no sex differences	12	19372.45	5	1.39	3	0.71
8	AE model, no sex differences	12	19372.45	6	1.11	1	0.29
9	CE model	12	19388.95	5	17.89	3	< 0.001
10	E model	9	19544.04	5	172.98	6	< 0.001
11	AE model, no genetic correlation	11	19398.59	7	26.14	1	< 0.001
12	AE model, no unique environmental correlation	11	19373.07	7	0.62	1	0.43
(c) Ina	ttentive and problem drinking						
1	Saturated model, male and female correlations are allowed to be different ^a	27	19208.66	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
2	Model 1, but male and female correlations equated	15	19223.36	1	14.7	12	0.26
3	ACE model, quantitative and qualitative sex differences allowed	24	19214.84	1	6.18	3	0.10
4	ACE model, no qualitative sex difference	22	19215.40	3	0.56	2	0.76
5	ACE model, no quantitative or qualitative sex differences	15	19223.43	4	8.03	7	0.33

Model		No. of parameters	-2 LL	Compared to model	x ²	df	d
6	AE model ADHD, ACE model problem drinking, genetic and environmental influences equated in males and females	13	19 223.43	IJ	0	0	N.A
7	AE model, no sex differences	12	19 225.01	ß	1.58	ю	0.66
8	AE model, no sex differences	12	19 225.01	9	1.58	1	0.21
6	CE model	12	19244.81	5 D	21.38	ю	<0.001
10	E model	6	19 457.81	5 D	234.38	9	<0.001
11	AE model, no genetic correlation	11	19249.73	7	24.72	1	<0.001
12	AE model, no unique environmental correlation	11	19235.51	7	10.5	1	0.001
			:				
AUI ^a In 1	ADHD-1, Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder index; LL, log likelihood; dt, degrees of freedom; N.A., not applicable. ^a In the saturated model we estimate four thresholds (one for problem drinking and three for (a) ADHD-1 (b) hyperactivity or (c) inattentive scores) ² four covariate effects	m; N.A., not applica) ADHD-1 <i>(h</i>) hyper	ible. Practivity or (c)	inattentive scores)	· four covaria	te effects	
Ŧ	are saturated intoact, we contract to the intestion (view for providing and and and the set)	יש לייי ויא ידי עדו דערני וי	THEFT AT A TO A	חומוורדווו אר הרהד החו	, 1041 WY 4114	ור רווריים	

(two for age and two for gender); one within-subject cross-trait correlation; and three correlations (cross-twin ADHD, cross-twin problem drinking and cross-twin cross-trait) in monozygotic (MZ) males, dizygotic (DZ) males, MZ females, DZ females, opposite-sex twins male-female, and opposite-sex twins female-male The best fitting model is printed in bold. and problem drinking within individuals were 0.20 (hyperactivity), 0.28 (inattentive) and 0.30 (ADHD-I). Supplementary Tables S1–S3 show the full contingency tables of the categorized ADHD scores and problem drinking by gender.

Table 2 shows the correlations for ADHD symptom scores, problem drinking and the cross-trait correlations across twins. Correlations in MZ twin pairs are consistently higher than correlations in DZ twin pairs, indicating genetic influences on ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking. For problem drinking, MZ correlations were less than twice the DZ correlations, which indicates possible shared environmental influences. Cross-trait correlations were higher in MZ twins (0.17–0.22) than in DZ twins (0.08–0.12), suggesting that the correlation between these traits is mediated by genetic effects.

Genetic modelling

Because the twin correlations of ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking are suggestive of shared environmental effects, we first fitted a full ACE model to the multivariate data. The results of the genetic modelling analyses are summarized in Table 1. The ACE models provided an adequate fit compared to the saturated model. Next, we fitted the more constrained AE models, allowing only for additive genetic and nonshared environmental influences. Compared to the ACE model, the fit of this simpler model was not significantly worse, suggesting that shared environmental influences do not significantly contribute to the variances or covariance of ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking. The E model, which does not allow for genetic influences, did not fit the data well.

Next, we investigated whether the covariance between ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking was influenced by genetic or non-shared environmental influences. These analyses showed that the genetic and non-shared environmental correlations were significantly greater than zero. The final model of ADHD-I and inattentive problems included genetic and nonshared environmental influences on the variances and on the covariance of ADHD scores and problem drinking. The covariance between hyperactivity and problem drinking was only influenced by genetic effects and not by unique environmental effects. The parameter estimates of the genetic models are summarized in Table 3. In Supplementary Table S4, we show the parameter estimates of the full ACE model.

Contributions of genetic and non-shared environmental influences

Our data show that the heritabilities of ADHD symptom scores varied from 32% (hyperactivity) to 38%

Table 1 (cont.)

	Monozygotic twins	Dizygotic twins
Inattentive	0.40 (0.35 to 0.46)	0.19 (0.11 to 0.27)
Hyperactive	0.33 (0.27 to 0.39)	0.12 (0.04 to 0.20)
ADHD-I	0.38 (0.32 to 0.43)	0.18 (0.10 to 0.25)
Problem drinking	0.47 (0.34 to 0.59)	0.35 (0.17 to 0.52)
Inattentive-problem drinking	0.17 (0.10 to 0.24)	0.09 (-0.01 to 0.18)
Hyperactive-problem drinking	0.17 (0.10 to 0.24)	0.12 (0.02 to 0.21)
ADHD-I–problem drinking	0.22 (0.15 to 0.29)	0.08 (-0.02 to 0.17)

Table 2. Twin correlations (95% CI) of inattentiveness, hyperactive and ADHD-I scores with self-reported problem drinking

ADHD-I, Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder index; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3. Estimates of genetic and environmental influences of the (co)variation of ADHD symptoms and problem drinking

	Phenotypic covariance	Additive genetic contribution (A) (%)	Unique environmental contribution (E) (%)	Genetic correlation	Unique environmental correlation
ADHD-I	N.A.	38	62	N.A.	N.A.
Hyperactivity	N.A.	32	68	N.A.	N.A.
Inattentive	N.A.	40	60	N.A.	N.A.
Problem drinking	N.A.	50	50	N.A.	N.A.
Covariance ADHD-I-problem drinking	0.30	91	9	0.49	0.15
Covariance hyperactivity-problem drinking	0.20	100	0	0.50	0
Covariance Inattentive–problem drinking	0.28	61	39	0.39	0.20

ADHD-I, Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder index; N.A., not applicable.

(ADHD-I) to 40% (inattentive), with the remaining variance being explained by non-shared environmental influences (see Table 3). Problem drinking was explained by genetic factors (50%) and non-shared environmental influences (50%). The correlations between ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking (ranging from 0.20 to 0.30) were primarily explained by genetic factors, with the remaining covariance being explained by non-shared environmental influences. The genetic correlations between ADHD symptom categories and problem drinking ranged between 0.39 and 0.50.

Discussion

Adult ADHD symptoms and adult problem drinking were found to be moderately associated in a large general population sample including data from 6024 adult twins, with correlations ranging between 0.20 and 0.30 depending on the ADHD subscale. There are relatively few previous studies on the co-morbidity between ADHD and alcohol abuse in adulthood. Shekim *et al.* (1990) investigated 48 men and eight women (aged

19-65 years) with adult ADHD and showed that 34% were also diagnosed with alcohol abuse or dependence. Biederman et al. (1995) compared the lifetime risk for psychoactive substance and alcohol use disorders between 120 adults with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD and 268 comparison subjects without ADHD. The rate of alcohol abuse or dependence did not differ significantly between the adults with and without ADHD. By contrast, the risk of drug and polysubstance (drug plus alcohol) use was increased in subjects with ADHD. Finally, Tuithof et al. (2012) found that the risk of alcohol use disorder was increased about threefold in 74 Dutch subjects with ADHD compared to 3235 subjects without ADHD and this association was mediated by a diagnosis of CD. In agreement with these studies, we have shown a positive association between the number of ADHD symptoms and problematic alcohol use in adults.

In contrast with the paucity of data in adults, an extensive literature exists on the association between ADHD and problematic alcohol/substance use in adolescents (for a review, see Shaw *et al.* 2012). A significant cross-sectional association between

ADHD and alcohol/drug use has been reported in most of these studies (Disney *et al.* 1999; Wilens *et al.* 2011), but no significant association was reported in a female twin study (Knopik *et al.* 2009*a*). Moreover, in an at-risk sample of 223 boys with an alcoholic father and 106 matched controls, Knop *et al.* (2009) showed that the presence of teacher-rated ADHD symptoms assessed at age 16 was a significant predictor of alcohol severity at age 40 (r=0.35). As this study was performed in subjects with a positive family history for alcohol dependence, this suggests that correlations between ADHD and alcohol-related problems are particularly strong in genetically vulnerable subjects.

Genetic and environmental influences on ADHD symptoms and alcohol problems

Genetic modelling confirms previous findings by showing that both ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking in adults are heritable traits. The heritabilities of ADHD symptoms range from 32% to 40%, showing little variation between the different dimensions of ADHD (e.g. hyperactivity versus inattention). These estimates are very close to the previously estimated heritability of 33% using overlapping data in combination with data from other relatives (Boomsma et al. 2010) and the heritability estimates of 37% (inattention) and 38% (hyperactivity/impulsivity) obtained in 15198 adult Swedish twins (Larsson et al. 2013). Our data further show a substantial heritability (50%) of problem drinking, in line with previous estimates for alcohol dependence that range between 50% and 70% (Agrawal & Lynskey, 2008). Even though we did not formally assess the DSM-IV diagnosis for alcohol dependence, the high heritability of problem drinking suggests that this is a reliably assessed phenomenon that has heritability similar compared to a formal alcohol dependence diagnosis.

The main aim of the current study was to investigate the aetiological nature of the covariation among ADHD symptom severity and problem drinking. The current genetic analyses show that the phenotypic association between ADHD symptom categories and problem drinking is due to genetic influences for 61-100%. In other words, the phenotypic correlations are primarily attributable to genes that contribute to the risk of both ADHD and problem drinking. The genetic correlations, that is the extent to which genes contributing to individual differences in ADHD symptoms overlap with those contributing to variation in problem drinking, are substantial (r=0.39-0.50). This is in line with the findings of Edwards & Kendler (2012), who showed similar genetic correlations (range 0.44-0.65) between adolescent ADHD factors (forgetfulness, inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity) and adult alcohol dependence. These authors further showed that hyperactivity/impulsivity is the only dimension that remains significantly associated with alcohol dependence at a genetic level after controlling for conduct problems. A study in 12-18-year-old twins supports a genetic aetiology of the co-morbidity between problematic alcohol use and ADHD (Young et al. 2000) whereas a large study in female adolescent twins reports no significant genetic, or phenotypic, correlation between these traits (Knopik et al. 2009a). The inconsistency in adolescent data may be due to a relatively weak influence of genetic factors on drinking behaviour during this life stage (Young et al. 2000). Kendler et al. (2008) show that environmental influences (e.g. peer interactions) are primarily important for problematic drinking behaviours in adolescents whereas genetic influences become more prominent in adulthood. Supporting the hypothesis that ADHD symptoms in children and adolescents are genetically correlated with alcohol use disorder in adulthood, Knopik et al. (2009b) used the childrenof-twins design and found that genetic influences contribute to the association between alcohol use disorder in mothers and ADHD in their offspring.

Gender differences in heritability

This is the first large-scale study to formally address gender differences in the aetiological influences on the co-morbidity between ADHD and problem drinking. Previous genetically informative studies have focused on either males or females (Knopik et al. 2009a; Edwards & Kendler, 2012) or were too small to reliably address this question (Young et al. 2000). The study by Edwards & Kendler (2012), conducted in male twins, showed that adolescent hyperactivity is significantly associated with adult alcohol dependence at a genetic level whereas the study by Knopik et al. (2009a), conducted in adolescent female twins, showed no significant association between ADHD symptoms and alcohol problems. These findings are suggestive of sex differences in the association between ADHD and problem drinking, although these studies differ also in a variety of other factors (e.g. inclusion of confounders, mean age of the subjects). Supporting the findings of earlier co-morbidity studies (Disney et al. 1999; Friedrichs et al. 2012), gender-specific tests reveal similar correlations between ADHD and problem drinking in males and females in our study. We have tested for quantitative and qualitative sex differences and shown that genetic and environmental influences do not differ as a function of gender. Our findings indicate that genetic and environmental influences contributing to individual differences in these traits are equally important in males and females and that the same genes play a role.

Strengths and limitations

This study reports on a large sample (n=6024) of adult twins in The Netherlands. The size of this study allows reliable estimation of the influence of genetic and environmental factors on the association between selfreported ADHD symptoms and problem drinking in males and females.

The results of this study should be interpreted in view of the following limitations. First, in this large general-population sample, problem drinking and ADHD symptoms were assessed by self-report and we did not obtain formal clinical diagnoses based on DSM-IV. However, it is reassuring that the positive association between self-reported problem drinking and ADHD symptoms is in line with the findings of earlier studies using clinical diagnosis. Second, individuals self-rated on both their level of ADHD symptoms and problem drinking. We cannot rule out the possibility that part of the association between ADHD and problem drinking is explained by shared rater variance. Third, problem drinking was defined based on the report of problems that participants experienced in relation to alcohol use. This definition did not include the actual amount of alcoholic drinks that the participant used at the time of assessment. Fourth, we did not investigate to what extent the association between ADHD symptom scores and problem drinking was mediated by CD because CD was not assessed at this time-point. It is therefore difficult to draw conclusions about the direction of causality of the reported association.

Clinical implications

In line with the findings of previous studies (Tuithof et al. 2012; van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen et al. 2013), our study provides further evidence for an increased risk of problematic alcohol use in adults with persisting ADHD symptoms. Our results show that this phenotypic association is primarily explained by overlapping genetic risk factors. A genetic vulnerability that is shared between ADHD and problem drinking implies that adolescents diagnosed with ADHD may have an increased risk of developing alcohol-related problems and early interventions may be applied to decrease this risk. Our results further suggest that clinicians who treat alcoholdependent patients should be aware of the possibility that the patient may have a co-morbid condition of ADHD. Treatment of alcohol-dependent patients with co-morbid ADHD requires integrated interventions (Murthy & Chand, 2012; van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen et al. 2013). Finally, the lack of gender differences in the association between ADHD and selfreported problem drinking indicates that females with ADHD are at equally high risk for alcohol-related problems compared to males with ADHD. As males are more often referred to treatment for ADHD than females (Derks *et al.* 2007), clinicians should be especially aware of co-morbidity with (undiagnosed) ADHD in females with alcohol-related problems.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714000361.

Acknowledgements

We thank all of the twins who participated in this study. This work was supported by the following funding agencies: ZonMw (Addiction:31160008) project: Genetic determinants of risk behaviour in relation to alcohol use and alcohol use disorder: a developmental perspective (D.I.B.); Beyond the Genetics of Addiction (ERC-284167) and Genetics of Mental Illness (ERC-230374). E.M.D. is supported by the Volksbond Rotterdam Foundation.

Declaration of Interest

None

References

- Agrawal A, Lynskey MT (2008). Are there genetic influences on addiction: evidence from family, adoption and twin studies. *Addiction* **103**, 1069–1081.
- Biederman J, Wilens T, Mick E, Milberger S, Spencer TJ, Faraone SV (1995). Psychoactive substance use disorders in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): effects of ADHD and psychiatric comorbidity. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 152, 1652–1658.
- **Bisson J, Nadeau L, Demers A** (1999). The validity of the CAGE scale to screen for heavy drinking and drinking problems in a general population survey. *Addiction* **94**, 715–722.
- Boomsma DI, Saviouk V, Hottenga JJ, Distel MA, de Moor MH, Vink JM, Geels LM, van Beek JH, Bartels M, De Geus EJ, Willemsen G (2010). Genetic epidemiology of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD index) in adults. *PLoS One* **5**, e10621.
- **Conners CK, Erhardt D, Sparrow E** (1999). *Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scales* (CAARS). Multi-Health Systems: North Tonawanda, NY.
- **Derks EM, Dolan CV, Boomsma DI** (2004). Effects of censoring on parameter estimates and power in genetic modeling. *Twin Research* **7**, 659–669.
- Derks EM, Hudziak JJ, Boomsma DI (2007). Why more boys than girls with ADHD receive treatment: a study of Dutch twins. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **10**, 765–770.
- Disney ER, Elkins IJ, McGue M, Iacono WG (1999). Effects of ADHD, conduct disorder, and gender on substance use

and abuse in adolescence. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **156**, 1515–1521.

Distel MA, Ligthart L, Willemsen G, Nyholt DR, Trull TJ, Boomsma DI (2007). Personality, health and lifestyle in a questionnaire family study: a comparison between highly cooperative and less cooperative families. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **10**, 348–353.

Edwards AC, Kendler KS (2012). Twin study of the relationship between adolescent attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder and adult alcohol dependence. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* **73**, 185–194.

Elkins IJ, McGue M, Iacono WG (2007). Prospective effects of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, conduct disorder, and sex on adolescent substance use and abuse. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **64**, 1145–1152.

Franke B, Faraone SV, Asherson P, Buitelaar J, Bau CH, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Mick E, Grevet EH, Johansson S, Haavik J, Lesch KP, Cormand B, Reif A (2012). The genetics of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults, a review. *Molecular Psychiatry* 17, 960–987.

Franke B, Vasquez AA, Johansson S, Hoogman M, Romanos J, Boreatti-Hummer A, Heine M, Jacob CP, Lesch KP, Casas M, Ribases M, Bosch R, Sanchez-Mora C, Gomez-Barros N, Fernandez-Castillo N, Bayes M, Halmoy A, Halleland H, Landaas ET, Fasmer OB, Knappskog PM, Heister AJ, Kiemeney LA, Kooij JJ, Boonstra AM, Kan CC, Asherson P, Faraone SV, Buitelaar JK, Haavik J, Cormand B, Ramos-Quiroga JA, Reif A (2010). Multicenter analysis of the *SLC6A3/DAT1* VNTR haplotype in persistent ADHD suggests differential involvement of the gene in childhood and persistent ADHD. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 656–664.

Friedrichs B, Igl W, Larsson H, Larsson JO (2012). Coexisting psychiatric problems and stressful life events in adults with symptoms of ADHD – a large Swedish population-based study of twins. *Journal of Attention Disorders* **16**, 13–22.

Geels LM, Vink JM, van Beijsterveldt CE, Bartels M, Boomsma DI (2013). Developmental prediction model for early alcohol initiation in Dutch adolescents. *Journal* of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs **74**, 59–70.

Gizer IR, Ficks C, Waldman ID (2009). Candidate gene studies of ADHD: a meta-analytic review. *Human Genetics* 126, 51–90.

Kan KJ, Dolan CV, Nivard MG, Middeldorp CM,
van Beijsterveldt CE, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI (2013).
Genetic and environmental stability in attention problems across the lifespan: evidence from the Netherlands Twin Register. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 52, 12–25.

Kendler KS, Gardner C, Dick DM (2011). Predicting alcohol consumption in adolescence from alcohol-specific and general externalizing genetic risk factors, key environmental exposures and their interaction. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 1507–1516.

Kendler KS, Schmitt E, Aggen SH, Prescott CA (2008). Genetic and environmental influences on alcohol, caffeine, cannabis, and nicotine use from early adolescence to middle adulthood. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **65**, 674–682. Kessler RC, Adler L, Barkley R, Biederman J, Conners CK, Demler O, Faraone SV, Greenhill LL, Howes MJ, Secnik K, Spencer T, Ustun TB, Walters EE,
Zaslavsky AM (2006). The prevalence and correlates of adult ADHD in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 163, 716–723.

Knop J, Penick EC, Nickel EJ, Mortensen EL, Sullivan MA, Murtaza S, Jensen P, Manzardo AM, Gabrielli WF Jr. (2009). Childhood ADHD and conduct disorder as independent predictors of male alcohol dependence at age 40. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs* **70**, 169–177.

Knopik VS, Heath AC, Bucholz KK, Madden PA, Waldron M (2009*a*). Genetic and environmental influences on externalizing behavior and alcohol problems in adolescence: a female twin study. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* **93**, 313–321.

Knopik VS, Heath AC, Jacob T, Slutske WS, Bucholz KK, Madden PA, Waldron M, Martin NG (2006). Maternal alcohol use disorder and offspring ADHD: disentangling genetic and environmental effects using a children-of-twins design. *Psychological Medicine* **36**, 1461–1471.

Knopik VS, Jacob T, Haber JR, Swenson LP, Howell DN (2009b). Paternal alcoholism and offspring ADHD problems: a children of twins design. *Twin Research and Human Genetics* **12**, 53–62.

Larsson H, Asherson P, Chang Z, Ljung T, Friedrichs B, Larsson JO, Lichtenstein P (2013). Genetic and environmental influences on adult attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: a large Swedish population-based study of twins. *Psychological Medicine* **43**, 197–207.

Lynch M, Walsh B (1998). *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits*. Sinauer Associates: Sunderland, MA.

Murthy P, Chand P (2012). Treatment of dual diagnosis disorders. Current Opinion in Psychiatry 25, 194–200.

Neale BM, Medland SE, Ripke S, Asherson P, Franke B, Lesch KP, Faraone SV, Nguyen TT, Schafer H, Holmans P, Daly M, Steinhausen HC, Freitag C, Reif A, Renner TJ, Romanos M, Romanos J, Walitza S, Warnke A, Meyer J, Palmason H, Buitelaar J, Vasquez AA, Lambregts-Rommelse N, Gill M, Anney RJ, Langely K, O'Donovan M, Williams N, Owen M, Thapar A, Kent L, Sergeant J, Roeyers H, Mick E, Biederman J, Doyle A, Smalley S, Loo S, Hakonarson H, Elia J, Todorov A, Miranda A, Mulas F, Ebstein RP, Rothenberger A, Banaschewski T, Oades RD, Sonuga-Barke E, McGough J, Nisenbaum L, Middleton F, Hu X, Nelson S (2010). Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 49, 884-897.

Neale M, Booker S, Xie G, Maes H (2003). Mx: Statistical Modeling. Department of Psychiatry: Richmond, VA.

Nikolas MA, Burt SA (2010). Genetic and environmental influences on ADHD symptom dimensions of inattention and hyperactivity: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **119**, 1–17. Plomin R, DeFries JC, McClearn GE, McGuffin P (2008). Behavioral Genetics. Worth Publishers: New York.

Poelen EA, Derks EM, Engels RC, van Leeuwe JF, Scholte RH, Willemsen G, Boomsma DI (2008). The relative contribution of genes and environment to alcohol use in early adolescents: are similar factors related to initiation of alcohol use and frequency of drinking? *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 32, 975–982.

Rietschel M, Treutlein J (2013). The genetics of alcohol dependence. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1282, 39–70.

Schumann G, Coin LJ, Lourdusamy A, Charoen P, Berger KH, Stacey D, Desrivieres S, Aliev FA, Khan AA, Amin N, Aulchenko YS, Bakalkin G, Bakker SJ, Balkau B, Beulens JW, Bilbao A, de Boer RA, Beury D, Bots ML, Breetvelt EJ, Cauchi S, Cavalcanti-Proenca C, Chambers JC, Clarke TK, Dahmen N, De Geus EJ, Dick D, Ducci F, Easton A, Edenberg HJ, Esko T, Fernandez-Medarde A, Foroud T, Freimer NB, Girault JA, Grobbee DE, Guarrera S, Gudbjartsson DF, Hartikainen AL, Heath AC, Hesselbrock V, Hofman A, Hottenga JJ, Isohanni MK, Kaprio J, Khaw KT, Kuehnel B, Laitinen J, Lobbens S, Luan J, Mangino M, Maroteaux M, Matullo G, McCarthy MI, Mueller C, Navis G, Numans ME, Nunez A, Nyholt DR, Onland-Moret CN, Oostra BA, O'Reilly PF, Palkovits M, Penninx BW, Polidoro S, Pouta A, Prokopenko I, Ricceri F, Santos E, Smit JH, Soranzo N, Song K, Sovio U, Stumvoll M, Surakk I, Thorgeirsson TE, Thorsteinsdottir U, Troakes C, Tyrfingsson T, Tonjes A, Uiterwaal CS, Uitterlinden AG, van der Harst P, van der Schouw YT, Staehlin O, Vogelzangs N, Vollenweider P, Waeber G, Wareham NJ, Waterworth DM, Whitfield JB, Wichmann EH, Willemsen G, Witteman JC, Yuan X, Zhai G, Zhao JH, Zhang W, Martin NG, Metspalu A, Doering A, Scott J, Spector TD, Loos RJ, Boomsma DI, Mooser V, Peltonen L, Stefansson K, van Duijn CM, Vineis P, Sommer WH, Kooner JS, Spanagel R, Heberlein UA, Jarvelin MR, Elliott P (2011). Genome-wide association and genetic functional studies identify autism susceptibility candidate 2 gene (AUTS2) in the regulation of alcohol consumption. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 108, 7119-7124.

Shaw M, Hodgkins P, Caci H, Young S, Kahle J, Woods AG, Arnold LE (2012). A systematic review and analysis of long-term outcomes in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: effects of treatment and non-treatment. *BMC Medicine* **10**, 99.

Shekim WO, Asarnow RF, Hess E, Zaucha K, Wheeler N (1990). A clinical and demographic profile of a sample of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, residual state. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* **31**, 416–425.

Simon V, Czobor P, Balint S, Meszaros A, Bitter I (2009). Prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **194**, 204–211.

Tuithof M, ten Have M, van den Brink W, Vollebergh W, de Graaf R (2012). The role of conduct disorder in the association between ADHD and alcohol use (disorder). Results from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study–2. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* **123**, 115–121.

van den Berg SM, Willemsen G, de Geus EJ, Boomsma DI (2006). Genetic etiology of stability of attention problems in young adulthood. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B*, *Neuropsychiatric Genetics* **141B**, 55–60.

van der Zwaluw CS, Scholte RH, Vermulst AA, Buitelaar JK, Verkes RJ, Engels RC (2008). Parental problem drinking, parenting, and adolescent alcohol use. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* **31**, 189–200.

van Emmerik-van Oortmerssen K, Vedel E, Koeter MW, de Bruijn K, Dekker JJ, van den Brink W, Schoevers RA (2013). Investigating the efficacy of integrated cognitive behavioral therapy for adult treatment seeking substance use disorder patients with comorbid ADHD: study protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Psychiatry* 13, 132.

Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Kollins SH, Wigal TL, Newcorn JH, Telang F, Fowler JS, Zhu W, Logan J, Ma Y, Pradhan K, Wong C, Swanson JM (2009). Evaluating dopamine reward pathway in ADHD: clinical implications. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **302**, 1084–1091.

Wilens TE, Martelon M, Joshi G, Bateman C, Fried R, Petty C, Biederman J (2011). Does ADHD predict substance-use disorders? A 10-year follow-up study of young adults with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy* of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry **50**, 543–553.

Young SE, Stallings MC, Corley RP, Krauter KS, Hewitt JK (2000). Genetic and environmental influences on behavioral disinhibition. *American Journal of Medical Genetics* 96, 684–695.

Zucker RA (2008). Anticipating problem alcohol use developmentally from childhood into middle adulthood: what have we learned? *Addiction* **103** (Suppl. 1), 100–108.