

PROOF OF TWO CONJECTURES ON SUPERCONGRUENCES INVOLVING CENTRAL BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

CHENG-YANG GUO  and VICTOR J. W. GUO  

(Received 31 December 2019; accepted 5 January 2020; first published online 13 February 2020)

Abstract

In this note we use some q -congruences proved by the method of ‘creative microscoping’ to prove two conjectures on supercongruences involving central binomial coefficients. In particular, we confirm the $m = 5$ case of Conjecture 1.1 of Guo [‘Some generalizations of a supercongruence of Van Hamme’, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **28** (2017), 888–899].

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11A07; secondary 11B65.

Keywords and phrases: q -congruences, supercongruences, cyclotomic polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let p be an odd prime. A p -adic congruence is called a supercongruence if it holds modulo p^r for some $r \geq 2$. In 1997, Van Hamme [13] observed 13 supercongruences on truncated forms of Ramanujan’s and Ramanujan-like formulas for $1/\pi$. In particular, the following supercongruence of Van Hamme [13, (B.2)],

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{4k+1}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^3}, \quad (1.1)$$

was first confirmed by Mortenson [9]. Zudilin [14] reproved (1.1) using the WZ (Wilf–Zeilberger) method. Sun [11] utilised the WZ method again and some properties of the Euler numbers to give a refinement of (1.1) modulo p^4 . Swisher [12, (B.3)] proposed an interesting conjecture on a generalisation of (1.1).

Motivated by Zudilin’s work [14], the second author [2] investigated other generalisations of (1.1) and also made some related conjectures. For example, the $m = 5$ case of [2, Conjecture 1.1] can be stated as follows: for any odd prime p and

The second author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771175).

© 2020 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

positive integer r ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k+1)^5}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv 41p^r(-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k+1)^5}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv 41p^r(-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \tag{1.3}$$

It is clear that the supercongruences (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent to each other when $r = 1$, since $\binom{2k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $(p+1)/2 \leq k \leq p-1$. The second author [2] proved that (1.2) is true modulo p^3 for $r = 1$ and primes p satisfying some congruence conditions. Liu [8] gave a proof of (1.2) for the complete $r = 1$ case. Hou *et al.* [7] proved [2, Conjecture 1.1] for $r = 1$. However, the supercongruences (1.2) and (1.3) are still open for $r > 1$.

Recently, the second author and Liu [4] proposed the following companion conjecture (the $m = 5$ case of [4, Conjecture 5.1]): for any odd prime p and positive integer r ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r+1)/2} \frac{(4k-1)^5}{(-64)^k(2k-1)^3} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv -23p^r(-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k-1)^5}{(-64)^k(2k-1)^3} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv -23p^r(-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \tag{1.5}$$

The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *The supercongruences (1.2)–(1.5) are true.*

We shall prove the theorem by using some q -congruences established by the second author in [3]. Before giving the proof, we recall some standard q -notation: $(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$ is the q -shifted factorial; $[n] = [n]_q = (1-q^n)/(1-q)$ is the q -integer and $\Phi_n(q)$ denotes the n th cyclotomic polynomial in q ,

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n,k)=1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where ζ stands for an n th primitive root of unity. During the past few years, many authors have proved different q -analogues of supercongruences (see, for example, [1, 3, 5, 6, 10]). This note indicates that q -congruences can do even more than we could ever have expected.

2. Proof of the theorem

PROOFS OF (1.2) AND (1.3). Recently, the second author [3, Theorem 1.2] utilised the method of ‘creative microscoping’ introduced in [6] to establish the following

q -congruences: for odd $n > 1$, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k + 1]_{q^2} [4k + 1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2-4k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n+1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} \frac{2q + 1}{q^2}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k [4k + 1]_{q^2} [4k + 1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2-4k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n+1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} \frac{2q + 1}{q^2}, \tag{2.2}$$

which are q -analogues of the $m = 3$ case of [2, Conjecture 1.1]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k + 1)^3}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv -3p^r (-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p^r-1} \frac{(4k + 1)^3}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv -3p^r (-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Letting $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ in (2.1) and noticing that $\Phi_n(q^{-1}) = \Phi_n(q)q^{-\varphi(n)}$ for $n > 1$, where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler’s totient function,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k + 1]_{q^2} [4k + 1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{-2k^2-6k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n+1)/2} q^{3-(n-1)^2/2-2n} (2 + q) \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Subtracting (2.1) from (2.5) and then dividing both sides by $1 - q$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^k [4k + 1]_{q^2} [4k + 1]^2 \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} [4k^2 + 2k] q^{-2k^2-6k} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n+1)/2} q^{3-(n-1)^2/2-2n} \frac{2 + q - (2q + 1)q^{n^2-4}}{1 - q} \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that, for $k \geq 0$ and any prime power p^r ,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} = \frac{1}{4^k} \binom{2k}{k},$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{2 + q - (2q + 1)q^{n^2-4}}{1 - q} = 3n^2 - 11$$

and $\Phi_{p^r}(1) = p$. Thus, taking $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (2.6),

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p^r-1)/2} \frac{(4k + 1)^3}{(-64)^k} \binom{2k}{k}^3 (4k^2 + 2k) \equiv 11p^r (-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $(4k + 1)^2 = 4(4k^2 + 2k) + 1$, combining (2.3) and (2.7), we are led to (1.2). Similarly, applying (2.2) and (2.4), we can prove (1.3). \square

PROOFS OF (1.4) AND (1.5). The second author [3] also proved the following q -congruences: for odd $n > 1$, modulo $[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k^2} \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{(n-1)^2/2} \frac{2+q}{q^3}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $M = (n + 1)/2$ or $n - 1$. Letting $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ in (2.8) and multiplying both sides by q^{-8} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} q^{2k-2k^2} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{-4-2n-(n-1)^2/2} (2q + 1) \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Subtracting (2.8) from (2.9) and dividing both sides by $1 - q$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^3}{(q^4; q^4)_k^3} [4k^2 - 2k] q^{2k-2k^2} \\ & \equiv [n]_{q^2} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} q^{-4-2n-(n-1)^2/2} \frac{2q + 1 - (2 + q)q^{n^2+2}}{1 - q} \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Letting $n = p^r$ and $q \rightarrow 1$ in (2.8) and (2.10) and noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k} &= \frac{-1}{4^k(2k - 1)} \binom{2k}{k}, \\ \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{2q + 1 - (2 + q)q^{p^{2r}+2}}{1 - q} &= 3p^{2r} + 5 \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(4k - 1)^3}{(-64)^k (2k - 1)^3} \binom{2k}{k}^3 \equiv -3p^r (-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(4k - 1)^3}{(-64)^k (2k - 1)^3} \binom{2k}{k}^3 (4k^2 - 2k) \equiv -5p^r (-1)^{(p-1)r/2} \pmod{p^{r+2}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $N = (p^r + 1)/2$ or $p^r - 1$. Since $(4k - 1)^2 = 4(4k^2 - 2k) + 1$, combining (2.11) and (2.12), we immediately obtain (1.4) and (1.5). \square

References

- [1] C.-Y. Gu and V. J. W. Guo, ' q -Analogues of two supercongruences of Z.-W. Sun', *Czechoslovak Math. J.* to appear.
- [2] V. J. W. Guo, 'Some generalizations of a supercongruence of van Hamme', *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **28** (2017), 888–899.
- [3] V. J. W. Guo, 'Common q -analogues of some different supercongruences', *Results Math.* **74** (2019), Article ID 131.
- [4] V. J. W. Guo and J.-C. Liu, 'Some congruences related to a congruence of Van Hamme', *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* to appear.
- [5] V. J. W. Guo and M. J. Schlosser, 'Some new q -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers', *Results Math.* **75** (2020), Article ID 1.
- [6] V. J. W. Guo and W. Zudilin, 'A q -microscope for supercongruences', *Adv. Math.* **346** (2019), 329–358.
- [7] Q.-H. Hou, Y.-P. Mu and D. Zeilberger, 'Polynomial reduction and supercongruences', *J. Symbolic Comput.* to appear.
- [8] J.-C. Liu, 'Semi-automated proof of supercongruences on partial sums of hypergeometric series', *J. Symbolic Comput.* **93** (2019), 221–229.
- [9] E. Mortenson, 'A p -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 4321–4328.
- [10] A. Straub, 'Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 1023–1036.
- [11] Z.-W. Sun, 'A refinement of a congruence result by van Hamme and Mortenson', *Illinois J. Math.* **56** (2012), 967–979.
- [12] H. Swisher, 'On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme', *Res. Math. Sci.* **2** (2015), Article ID 18.
- [13] L. Van Hamme, 'Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series', in: *p -Adic Functional Analysis (Nijmegen, 1996)*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 192 (Dekker, New York, 1997), 223–236.
- [14] W. Zudilin, 'Ramanujan-type supercongruences', *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 1848–1857.

CHENG-YANG GU, School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu,
PR China
e-mail: 525290408@qq.com

VICTOR J. W. GUO, School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, Jiangsu,
PR China
e-mail: jwguo@hytc.edu.cn