Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 111 (2025), 128–139 doi:10.1017/S0004972724000200

SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR LOGARITHMIC INVERSE COEFFICIENTS OF CONVEX AND STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

VASUDEVARAO ALLU[®][∞] and AMAL SHAJI

(Received 3 February 2024; accepted 6 March 2024; first published online 18 April 2024)

Abstract

We obtain sharp bounds for the second Hankel determinant of logarithmic inverse coefficients for starlike and convex functions.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 30C45; secondary 30C50, 30C55.

Keywords and phrases: univalent function, logarithmic coefficient, Hankel determinant, starlike and convex function, Schwarz function.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Here \mathcal{H} is a locally convex topological vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence over compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that f(0) = 0 and f'(0) = 1. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are univalent (that is, one-to-one) in \mathbb{D} . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then it has the series representation

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(1.1)

For $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{q,n}(f)$ of the Taylor coefficients of the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) is

 $H_{q,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$



The research of the first author is supported by SERB-CRG, Govt. of India, and the research of the second author is supported by UGC-JRF.

[©] The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Hankel determinants of various orders have been studied in many contexts (see for instance [5]). The Fekete–Szegö functional is the second Hankel determinant $H_{2,1}(f)$. Fekete–Szegö obtained estimates for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ with μ real (see [10, Theorem 3.8]).

Let g be the inverse function of $f \in S$ defined in a neighbourhood of the origin with the Taylor series expansion

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n,$$
(1.2)

where we may choose |w| < 1/4 from Koebe's 1/4-theorem. Using variational methods, Löwner [16] obtained the sharp estimate

$$|A_n| \leq K_n$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $K_n = (2n)! / (n! (n + 1)!)$ and $K(w) = w + K_2w^2 + K_3w^3 + \cdots$ is the inverse of the Koebe function. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be a function in class S. Since $f(f^{-1})(w) = w$, it follows from (1.2) that

$$A_{2} = -a_{2},$$

$$A_{3} = -a_{3} + 2a_{2}^{2},$$

$$A_{4} = -a_{4} + 5a_{2}a_{3} - 5a_{2}^{3}.$$

The *logarithmic coefficients* γ_n of $f \in S$ are defined by

$$F_f(z) := \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (1.3)

Few exact upper bounds for γ_n have been established. The significance of this problem in the context of the Bieberbach conjecture was pointed out by Milin [17]. Milin's conjecture that for $f \in S$ and $n \ge 2$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left(k |\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \le 0,$$

led De Branges, by proving this conjecture, to the proof of the Bieberbach conjecture [9]. For the Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$, the logarithmic coefficients are $\gamma_n = 1/n$. Since the Koebe function k plays the role of extremal function for most of the extremal problems in the class S, it might be expected that $|\gamma_n| \le 1/n$ holds for functions in S. However, this is not true in general, even in order of magnitude. Indeed, there exists a bounded function f in the class S with logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n \neq O(n^{-0.83})$ (see [10, Theorem 8.4]). By differentiating (1.3) and equating coefficients,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2}a_2, \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2), \\ \gamma_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

If $f \in S$, it is easy to see that $|\gamma_1| \le 1$, because $|a_2| \le 2$. Using the Fekete–Szegö inequality [10, Theorem 3.8] for functions in S in (1.3), we obtain the sharp estimate

$$|\gamma_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+2e^{-2}) = 0.635\dots$$

For $n \ge 3$, the problem seems much harder and no significant bound for $|\gamma_n|$ when $f \in S$ appears to be known. In 2017, Ali and Allu [1] obtained initial logarithmic coefficient bounds for close-to-convex functions. For recent results on several subclasses of close-to-convex functions, see [2, 6, 21].

The notion of logarithmic inverse coefficients, that is, logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of f, was proposed by Ponnusamy *et al.* [20]. The *logarithmic inverse coefficients* Γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, of f are defined by the equation

$$F_{f^{-1}}(w) := \log \frac{f^{-1}(w)}{w} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n w^n, \quad |w| < 1/4.$$

In [20], Ponnusamy *et al.* found sharp upper bounds for the logarithmic inverse coefficients for the class S, namely

$$|\Gamma_n| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \binom{2n}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with equality only for the Koebe function or one of its rotations. Ponnusamy *et al.* [20] also obtained sharp bounds for the initial logarithmic inverse coefficients for some of the important geometric subclasses of S.

Recently, Kowalczyk and Lecko [12] proposed the study of the Hankel determinant whose entries are logarithmic coefficients of $f \in S$, given by

$$H_{q,n}(F_f/2) = \begin{vmatrix} \gamma_n & \gamma_{n+1} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q-1} \\ \gamma_{n+1} & \gamma_{n+2} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n+q-1} & \gamma_{n+q} & \cdots & \gamma_{n+2(q-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Kowalczyk and Lecko [12] obtained a sharp bound for the second Hankel determinant $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ for starlike and convex functions. Sharp bounds for $H_{2,1}(F_f/2)$ for various subclasses of S are considered in [3, 4, 11, 13, 18]).

In this paper, we consider the second Hankel determinant for logarithmic inverse coefficients. From (1.4), for $f \in S$ given by (1.1), the second Hankel determinant of $F_{f^{-1}}/2$ is given by

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \Gamma_1\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_2^2 = \frac{1}{4}(A_2A_4 - A_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}A_2^4)$$

= $\frac{1}{48}(13a_2^4 - 12a_2^2a_3 - 12a_3^2 + 12a_2a_4).$ (1.5)

We note that $|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)|$ is invariant under rotation, since for $f_{\theta}(z) := e^{-i\theta} f(e^{i\theta}z)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in S$,

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f_{\theta}^{-1}}/2) = \frac{e^{4i\theta}}{48}(13a_2^4 - 12a_2^2a_3 - 12a_3^2 + 12a_2a_4) = e^{4i\theta}H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2).$$

131

The main aim of this paper is to find a sharp upper bound for $|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)|$ when f belongs to the class of convex or starlike functions. A domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be starlike with respect to a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if the line segment joining z_0 to any point in Ω lies entirely in Ω . If z_0 is the origin, then we say that Ω is a starlike domain. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a starlike domain. We denote by \mathcal{S}^* the class of starlike functions f in \mathcal{S} . It is well known that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in \mathcal{S}^* if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (1.6)

Further, a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is called convex if the line segment joining any two points of Ω lies entirely in Ω . A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called convex if $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex domain. We denote by *C* the class of convex functions in *S*. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in *C* if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(1.7)

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we present the key lemmas which will be used to prove the main results of this paper. Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of all analytic functions *p* having positive real part in \mathbb{D} , with the form

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \cdots .$$
(2.1)

A member of \mathcal{P} is called a Carathéodory function. It is known that $|c_n| \leq 2, n \geq 1$, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. By using (1.6) and (1.7), functions in the classes \mathcal{S}^* and C can be represented in terms of functions in the Carathéodory class \mathcal{P} .

Parametric representations of the coefficients are often useful. In Lemma 2.1, (2.2) is due to Carathéodory [10]. Equation (2.3) can be found in [19]. In 1982, Libera and Zlotkiewicz [14, 15] derived (2.4) with the assumption that $c_1 \ge 0$. Later, Cho *et al.* [7] derived (2.4) in the general case and gave the explicit form of the extremal function.

LEMMA 2.1. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form (2.1), then

$$c_1 = 2p_1,$$
 (2.2)

$$c_2 = 2p_1^2 + 2(1 - p_1^2)p_2 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$c_3 = 2p_1^3 + 4(1 - p_1^2)p_1p_2 - 2(1 - p_1^2)p_1p_2^2 + 2(1 - p_1^2)(1 - |p_2|^2)p_3$$
(2.4)

for some $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le 1\}.$

For $p_1 \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1 as in (2.2), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1+p_1 z}{1-p_1 z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

V. Allu and A. Shaji

For $p_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $p_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1 and c_2 as in (2.2) and (2.3), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (p_1 + \overline{p_1}p_2)z + p_2 z^2}{1 - (p_1 - \overline{p_1}p_2)z - p_2 z^2}.$$
(2.5)

For $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $p_3 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is unique function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with c_1, c_2 and c_3 as in (2.2)–(2.4), namely

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (\overline{p_2}p_3 + \overline{p_1}p_2 + p_1)z + (\overline{p_1}p_3 + p_1\overline{p_2}p_3 + p_2)z^2 + p_3z^3}{1 + (\overline{p_2}p_3 + \overline{p_1}p_2 - p_1)z + (\overline{p_1}p_3 - p_1\overline{p_2}p_3 - p_2)z^2 - p_3z^3}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Next we recall the following well-known result due to Choi et al. [8].

LEMMA 2.2. Let A, B, C be real numbers and

$$Y(A, B, C) := \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} (|A + Bz + Cz^2| + 1 - |z|^2).$$

(i) If $AC \ge 0$, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} |A| + |B| + |C|, & |B| \ge 2(1 - |C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 - |C|)}, & |B| < 2(1 - |C|). \end{cases}$$

(ii) If AC < 0, then

$$Y(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} 1 - |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 - |C|)}, & -4AC(C^{-2} - 1) \le B^2 \land |B| < 2(1 - |C|), \\ 1 + |A| + \frac{B^2}{4(1 + |C|)}, & B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(C^{-2} - 1)\}, \\ R(A, B, C), & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

where

$$R(A, B, C) = \begin{cases} |A| + |B| + |C|, & |C|(|B| + 4|A|) \le |AB|, \\ -|A| + |B| + |C|, & |AB| \le |C|(|B| - 4|A|), \\ (|A| + |C|)\sqrt{1 - \frac{B^2}{4AC}}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

3. Main results

Now we will prove the first main result of this paper. We obtain the following sharp bound for $H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)$ for functions in the class *C*.

THEOREM 3.1. For $f \in C$ given by (1.1),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le \frac{1}{33}.$$
(3.1)

The inequality is sharp.

132

PROOF. Let $f \in C$ be of the form (1.1). Then by (1.7),

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = p(z) \tag{3.2}$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.1). Since the class *C* is invariant under rotation and the function is also rotationally invariant, we can assume that $c_1 \in [0, 2]$. Comparing the coefficients on both sides of (3.2) yields

$$a_{2} = \frac{1}{2}c_{1},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{1}{6}(c_{2} + c_{1}^{2}),$$

$$a_{4} = \frac{1}{24}(2c_{3} + 3c_{1}c_{2} + c_{1}^{3}).$$

Hence, by (1.5),

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{1}{2304}(11c_1^4 - 20c_1^2c_2 - 16c_2^2 + 24c_1c_3).$$

By (2.2)–(2.4), after simplification,

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{p_1^4}{48} - \frac{1}{24}(1-p_1^2)p_1^2p_2 - \frac{1}{72}(1-p_1^2)(2+p_1^2)p_2^2 + \frac{1}{24}(1-p_1^2)(1-|p_1^2|)p_1p_3.$$
(3.3)

We consider three cases according to the value of p_1 .

Case 1: $p_1 = 1$. By (3.3),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{48}.$$

Case 2: $p_1 = 0$. By (3.3),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{36}|p_2^2| \le \frac{1}{36}.$$

Case 3: $p_1 \in (0, 1)$. Since $|p_3| \le 1$, applying the triangle inequality in (3.3) gives

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{24}p_1(1-p_1^2) \left(\left| \frac{p_1^3}{2(1-p_1^2)} - p_1p_2 - \frac{2+p_1^2}{3p_1}p_2^2 \right| + 1 - |p_2^2| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{24}p_1(1-p_1^2) (|A+Bp_2+Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2|), \qquad (3.4)$$

where

$$A := \frac{p_1^3}{2(1-p_1^2)}, \quad B := -p_1, \quad C := -\frac{2+p_1^2}{3p_1},$$

Since AC < 0, we can apply Lemma 2.2(ii). The argument now divides into five parts. 3(*a*). For $p_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right)-B^2=-\frac{p_1^2(14+p_1^2)}{3(2+p_1^2)}\leq 0.$$

The inequality |B| < 2(1 - |C|) is equivalent to $p_1(4 - 6p_1 + 5p_1^2) < 0$ which is not true for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(b). It is easy to check that

$$\min\left\{4(1+|C|)^2, -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right)\right\} = -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right),$$

and from 3(a),

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right) \le B^2.$$

Therefore, the inequality $B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(1/C^2 - 1)\}$ does not hold for $0 < p_1 < 1$.

3(c). The inequality $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) - |AB| \le 0$ is equivalent to $4 + 6p_1^2 - p_1^4 \le 0$, which is false for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(d). The inequality

$$|AB| - |C|(|B| - 4|A|) = \frac{9p_1^4 + 10p_1^2 - 4}{1 - p_1^2} \le 0$$

is equivalent to $9p_1^4 + 10p_1^2 - 4 \le 0$, which is true for

$$0 < p_1 \le p_1' = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\sqrt{61} - 5} \approx 0.5588.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (3.4) that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \leq \frac{1}{24}p_1(1-p_1^2)(-|A|+|B|+|C|) = \frac{1}{144}(4+4p_1^2-11p_1^4) = h(p_1),$$

where $h(x) = 4 + 4x^2 - 11x^4$. By a simple calculation, the maximum of the function h(x) for $0 < x \le p'_1$ occurs at the point $x_0 = \sqrt{2/11}$. We conclude that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le h\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}\right) = \frac{1}{33}.$$

3(e). For $p'_1 < p_1 < 1$, we use the last case of Lemma 2.2 together with (3.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &\leq \frac{1}{24} p_1(1-p_1^2)(|C|+|A|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}} \\ &= \frac{1}{144} (p_1^4-2p_1^2+4) \sqrt{\frac{7-p_1^2}{4+2p_1^2}} = k(p_1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{144}(x^4 - 2x^2 + 4)\sqrt{\frac{7 - x^2}{4 + 2x^2}}.$$

[7]

We want to find the maximum of k(x) over the interval $p'_1 < x < 1$. Observe that

$$k'(x) = \frac{x}{144} \sqrt{\frac{7 - x^2}{4 + 2x^2}} \left(\frac{92 - 54x^2 - 15x^4 + 4x^6}{(-7 + x^2)(2 + x^2)}\right) = 0$$

if and only if $92 - 54x^2 - 15x^4 + 4x^6 = 0$. However, all the real roots of this equation lie outside the interval $p'_1 < x < 1$ and k'(x) < 0 for $p'_1 < x < 1$. So k is decreasing and hence $k(x) \le k(p'_1)$ for $p'_1 < x < 1$. We conclude that, for $p'_1 < x < 1$,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le k(p_1') \approx 0.0290035.$$

The desired inequality (3.1) follows from Cases 1–3. By tracking back in the proof, we see that equality in (3.1) holds when

$$p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad p_3 = 1.$$

and

[8]

$$|A + Bp_2 + Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2| = -|A| + |B| + |C|,$$
(3.5)

where

$$A = \frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad B = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}, \quad C = 4\sqrt{\frac{2}{11}}$$

Indeed, we can easily verify that one of the solutions of (3.5) is $p_2 = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.2, we conclude that equality holds for the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.7), corresponding to the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.5) with $p_1 = \sqrt{2/11}$, $p_2 = 1$ and $p_3 = 1$, that is,

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{2/11}z + z^2}{1 - z^2}.$$

This complete the proof.

Next, we obtain the sharp bound for $H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)$ for functions in the class S^* .

THEOREM 3.2. For $f \in S^*$ given by (1.1),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le \frac{13}{12}.$$
(3.6)

The inequality is sharp.

PROOF. Let $f \in S^*$ be of the form (1.1). By (1.6),

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) \tag{3.7}$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ of the form (2.1). By comparing the coefficients on both sides of (3.7),

$$a_{2} = c_{1},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{2} + c_{1}^{2}),$$

$$a_{4} = \frac{1}{6}(2c_{3} + 3c_{1}c_{2} + c_{1}^{3})$$

Hence, by (1.5),

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{1}{48}(6c_1^4 - 6c_1^2c_2 - 3c_2^2 + 4c_1c_3).$$

From (2.2)–(2.4), by straightforward computation,

$$H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2) = \frac{13}{12}p_1^4 - \frac{5}{2}(1-p_1^2)p_2 - \frac{1}{12}(1-p_1^2)(3+p_1^2)p_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}(1-p_1^2)(1-|p_1^2|)p_1p_3.$$
(3.8)

Now we consider three cases according to the value of p_1 .

Case 1: $p_1 = 1$. By (3.8),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{13}{12}.$$

Case 2: $p_1 = 0$. By (3.8),

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{1}{4}|p_2^2| \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

Case 3: $p_1 \in (0, 1)$. Applying the triangle inequality in (3.8) and using the fact that $|p_3| \le 1$,

$$\begin{split} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &= \frac{1}{3}p_1(1-p_1^2) \Big(\Big| \frac{13p_1^3}{4(1-p_1^2)} - \frac{5}{2}p_1p_2 - \frac{3+p_1^2}{4p_1}p_2^2 \Big| + 1 - |p_2^2| \Big) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{24}p_1(1-p_1^2) (|A+Bp_2+Cp_2^2| + 1 - |p_2^2|), \end{split}$$

where

$$A := \frac{13p_1^3}{4(1-p_1^2)}, \quad B := -\frac{5}{2}p_1, \quad C := -\frac{3+p_1^2}{4p_1}$$

Since AC < 0, we can apply Lemma 2.2(ii).

3(a). For $p_1 \in (0, 1)$,

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2} - 1\right) - B^2 = -\frac{3p_1^2(16 + p_1^2)}{(3 + p_1^2)} \le 0.$$

The inequality |B| < 2(1 - |C|) is equivalent to $3 - 4p_1 + 2p_1^2 < 0$ which is not true for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(b). It is easy to see that

$$\min\left\{4(1+|C|)^2, -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right)\right\} = -4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right),$$

[9]

and from 3(a),

$$-4AC\left(\frac{1}{C^2}-1\right) \le B^2.$$

Therefore, the inequality $B^2 < \min\{4(1 + |C|)^2, -4AC(1/C^2 - 1)\}$ does not hold for $0 < p_1 < 1$.

3(c). The inequality $|C|(|B| + 4|A|) - |AB| \le 0$ is equivalent to the inequality $44p_1^4 - 68p_1^2 - 16 - p_1^4 \ge 0$, which is false for $p_1 \in (0, 1)$.

3(d). The inequality

$$|AB| - |C|(|B| - 4|A|) = \frac{96p_1^4 + 88p_1^2 - 15}{1 - p1^2} \le 0$$

is equivalent to $96p_1^4 + 88p_1^2 - 15 \le 0$, which is true for

$$0 < p_1 \le p_1'' = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{211} - 11}{6}} \approx 0.38328.$$

From (3.7) and Lemma 2.2,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le \frac{1}{3}p_1(1-p_1^2)(-|A|+|B|+|C|) = \frac{1}{12}(3+8p_1^2-24p_1^4) = h(p_1), \quad (3.9)$$

where $h(x) = 3 + 8x^2 - 24x^4$. Since $h'(x) > 0$ in $0 < x \le p_1''$, we have $h(x) \le h(p_1'')$ for

where $h(x) = 3 + 8x^2 - 24x^4$. Since h'(x) > 0 in $0 < x \le p''_1$, we have $h(x) \le h(p''_1)$ for $0 < x \le p''_1$. Therefore,

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le \frac{1}{48}(-58 + 5\sqrt{211}) \approx 0.304775.$$

3(e). Furthermore, for $p_1'' < p_1 < 1$, from (3.8) and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{split} |H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| &\leq \frac{1}{24} p_1(1-p_1^2)(|C|+|A|) \sqrt{1-\frac{B^2}{4AC}} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (12p_1^4-2p_1^2+3) \sqrt{\frac{16-3p_1^2}{39+13p_1^2}} = k(p_1), \end{split}$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{\frac{16 - 3x^2}{39 + 13x^2}}(12x^4 - 2x^2 + 3)$$

As k'(x) = 0 has no solution in $(p''_1, 1)$ and k'(x) > 0, the maximum occurs at x = 1 and we conclude that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{f^{-1}}/2)| \le k(1) = \frac{13}{12}$$
 for $p_1'' < x < 1$.

The desired inequality (3.6) follows from Cases 1–3. For the equality, consider the Koebe function

$$k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}.$$

[10]

Clearly, $k \in S^*$ and it is easy to show that

$$|H_{2,1}(F_{k^{-1}}/2)| = \frac{13}{12}$$

This completes the proof.

References

- M. F. Ali and V. Allu, 'On logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146 (2017), 1131–1142.
- [2] M. F. Ali and V. Allu, 'Logarithmic coefficients of some close-to-convex functions', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 95 (2017), 228–237.
- [3] V. Allu and V. Arora, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of certain analytic functions', Preprint, 2021, arXiv:2110.05161.
- [4] V. Allu, V. Arora and A. Shaji, 'On the second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients for certain univalent functions', *Mediterr. J. Math.* 20 (2023), Article no. 81.
- [5] V. Allu, A. Lecko and D. K. Thomas, 'Hankel, Toeplitz and Hermitian–Toeplitz determinants for Ozaki close-to-convex functions', *Mediterr. J. Math.* **19** (2022), Article no. 22.
- [6] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk, O. Kwon, A. Lecko and Y. Sim, 'On the third logarithmic coefficient in some subclasses of close-to-convex functions', *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. (Esp.)* 114 (2020), Article no. 52.
- [7] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Sharp bounds of some coefficient functionals over the class of functions convex in the direction of the imaginary axis', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 100 (2019), 86–96.
- [8] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, 'A general approach to the Fekete–Szegö problem', J. Math. Soc. Japan 59 (2007), 707–727.
- [9] L. de Branges, 'A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture', Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137–152.
- [10] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259 (Springer, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg–Tokyo, 1983).
- [11] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of convex and starlike functions of order alpha', *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 45 (2022), 727–740.
- [12] B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'Second Hankel determinant of logarithmic coefficients of convex and starlike functions', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **105** (2022), 458–467.
- B. Kowalczyk and A. Lecko, 'The second Hankel determinant of the logarithmic coefficients of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions', *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* 117 (2023), Article no. 91.
- [14] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, 'Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982), 225–230.
- [15] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, 'Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivatives in *P*', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 87 (1983), 251–257.
- [16] K. Löwner, 'Untersuchungenuber schlichte konforme Abbildungen des Einheitskreises I', Math. Ann. 89 (1923), 103–121.
- [17] I. M. Milin, Univalent Functions and Orthonormal Systems, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 49 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977).
- [18] M. Mundalia and S. S. Kumar, 'Coefficient problems for certain close-to-convex functions', Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 49 (2023), Article no. 5.
- [19] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions (Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975).
- [20] S. Ponnusamy, N. L. Sharma and K. J. Wirths, 'Logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of univalent functions', *Results Math.* 73 (2018), Article no. 160.
- [21] S. Ponnusamy, N. L. Sharma and K. J. Wirths, 'Logarithmic coefficients problems in families related to starlike and convex functions', J. Aust. Math. Soc. 109 (2020), 230–249.

138

VASUDEVARAO ALLU, School of Basic Sciences,

Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar 752050, Odisha, India e-mail: avrao@iitbbs.ac.in

AMAL SHAJI, School of Basic Sciences,

[12]

Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar 752050, Odisha, India e-mail: amalmulloor@gmail.com