

# On simplicity of intermediate $C^*$ -algebras

TATTWAMASI AMRUTAM and MEHRDAD KALANTAR

*Department of Mathematics, 3551 Cullen Blvd, Room 641, Philip Guthrie Hoffman Hall,  
Houston, TX 77204, USA*

*(e-mail: tamrutam@math.uh.edu, kalantar@math.uh.edu)*

*(Received 26 September 2018 and accepted in revised form 11 April 2019)*

*Abstract.* We prove simplicity of all intermediate  $C^*$ -algebras  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Gamma \rtimes_r C(X)$  in the case of minimal actions of  $C^*$ -simple groups  $\Gamma$  on compact spaces  $X$ . For this, we use the notion of stationary states, recently introduced by Hartman and Kalantar [Stationary  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Preprint*, 2017, arXiv:1712.10133]. We show that the Powers' averaging property holds for the reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  for any action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  of a  $C^*$ -simple group  $\Gamma$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and use it to prove a one-to-one correspondence between stationary states on  $\mathcal{A}$  and those on  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ .

**Key words:** group actions, operator algebras, crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras, stationary group actions,  $C^*$ -simplicity

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46L10, 46L55 (Primary); 37A55, 46L89 (Secondary)

## 1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras are among the most important and most studied classes of  $C^*$ -algebras. They provide deep connections between theories of  $C^*$ -algebras and dynamical systems. The problem of simplicity of reduced crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras, and more generally understanding the ideal structure of the full crossed products, have received lots of attention in the past few decades (see e.g. [1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17]).

In [6], de la Harpe and Skandalis proved that for any action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  of a Powers' group  $\Gamma$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is simple if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Gamma$ -simple (i.e. has no non-zero proper two-sided closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant ideals). They left it as a question whether the same holds in the more general case of  $C^*$ -simple groups. Building upon the work [11], in [3], the authors answered this question by proving the result for all  $C^*$ -simple groups, by using the dynamics of the Furstenberg boundary action.

Intermediate  $C^*$ -algebras, i.e.  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{B}$  of the form  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ , have recently gained some particular attention, for instance in the work of Suzuki [15, 16]

in connection to problems of minimal ambient nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras as well as maximal injective von Neumann subalgebras.

In this paper we consider the simplicity problem for intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebras of crossed products of  $C^*$ -simple group actions and, more generally, the  $\Gamma$ -simplicity of their unital  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebras.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable discrete  $C^*$ -simple group and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose for some  $C^*$ -simple measure  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  that all  $\mu$ -stationary states on  $\mathcal{A}$  are faithful. Then any unital  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is  $\Gamma$ -simple.*

The following corollary follows immediately from the fact that any  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  that contains  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, any intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is simple.*

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable discrete  $C^*$ -simple group and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a minimal action of  $\Gamma$  on a compact space  $X$ . Then any unital  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\Gamma \rtimes_r C(X)$  is  $\Gamma$ -simple. In particular, any intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \Gamma \rtimes_r C(X)$  is simple.*

Examples of actions  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-commutative and assumptions of Theorem 1.1 hold, include  $\Gamma \curvearrowright C_r^*(\Gamma)$  by inner automorphisms, for any  $C^*$ -simple group  $\Gamma$  [9, Theorem 5.1], as well as  $\mathbb{F}_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{F}_n \rtimes_r C(\partial\mathbb{F}_n)$ , also by inner automorphisms, for any  $n \geq 2$  [9, Example 4.13].

None of the proofs in [3, 6] of simplicity of the reduced crossed products have obvious modification to include the case of invariant subalgebras. In fact, one can observe that such a result is very far from being true in general. For example, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-trivial simple  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  be the trivial action of a Powers' group  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A} = C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is simple. However, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a non-simple unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{B} \subset \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{B} = C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{B}$  is not simple. It is not hard to construct even a faithful such action. But one should notice that the main reason that simplicity for invariant subalgebras could fail is that, in general,  $\Gamma$ -simplicity does not pass to subalgebras.

On the other hand, in the above setup, even in the more general case of a  $C^*$ -simple group  $\Gamma$ , if  $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$  is commutative and  $\Gamma$ -simple (which is equivalent to minimality of  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ ), since any invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $C(Y)$  where  $Y$  is an equivariant factor of  $X$ , and since minimality passes to factors, it follows that  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{B}$  is also simple by [3, Theorem 7.1].

Thus, we have observed that if  $\Gamma$  is  $C^*$ -simple and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is minimal, then any intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \Gamma \rtimes_r C(X)$  which itself is of the form  $\mathcal{B} = \Gamma \rtimes_r C(Y)$  is simple.

To deal with general intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebras, not necessarily of the crossed product type, we need to translate minimality in the non-commutative setting in a way that passes to subalgebras and does not require a crossed product structure to realize. Inspired

by the recent work [9] of Hartman and the second-named author, we use the notion of stationary states to capture ‘minimality’ of the intermediate  $C^*$ -subalgebras.

Before proceeding into the details of our results, we recall some definitions and basic facts, and fix some conventions and terminology. Unless otherwise stated,  $\Gamma$  will be a countable discrete group and all compact spaces are assumed Hausdorff. We denote by  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$  the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$  and by  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{\lambda_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ . We denote by  $\tau_0$  the canonical trace on  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , defined by  $\tau_0(\lambda_e) = 1$  and  $\tau_0(\lambda_s) = 0$  for all non-trivial elements  $s \in \Gamma/\{e\}$ .

By  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra, we mean a unital  $C^*$ -algebra on which  $\Gamma$  acts by  $*$ -automorphisms. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Gamma$ -simple if it does not contain any non-trivial proper closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant ideals. If  $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$  is commutative, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\Gamma$ -simple if and only if  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is minimal, i.e. the compact  $\Gamma$ -space  $X$  does not have any non-empty proper closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subsets.

Any action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on the state space of  $\mathcal{A}$  in a canonical way,  $(s\tau)(a) = \tau(s^{-1}a)$  for  $s \in \Gamma, a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and a state  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ; a state  $\tau$  on a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\mu$ -stationary if  $\mu * \tau = \tau$ , where  $\mu * \tau = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)s\tau$  is the convolution of  $\tau$  by  $\mu$ . The theory of stationary states was introduced and studied in [9], where applications to several rigidity problems in ergodic theory and operator algebras were given. One of the main results there states that a countable group  $\Gamma$  is  $C^*$ -simple if and only if there is a measure  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  such that the canonical trace  $\tau_0$  is the unique  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  [9, Theorem 5.1]; such a measure  $\mu$  is called a  $C^*$ -simple measure [9, Definition 5.2].

## 2. Powers’ averaging property for crossed products

The Powers’ averaging property for the reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \times_r \mathcal{A}$  of the action of a Powers’ group  $\Gamma$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  was proved by de la Harpe and Skandalis in [6]. Recent developments of the subject include independent results of Haagerup [8] and Kennedy [13], where they proved that the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  of any  $C^*$ -simple group  $\Gamma$  has the Powers’ averaging property; subsequently, Bryder and Kennedy [5] used similar techniques to prove the Powers’ averaging property for the reduced (twisted) crossed product of  $C^*$ -simple group actions. In this section we prove a more explicit version of the latter result which we need below to give a description of stationary states on the reduced crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra. Namely, we prove that the same averaging scheme that works at the level of the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  can be lifted to the crossed product level as well.

First, let us quickly recall the construction of reduced crossed products in order to introduce our notation. We refer the interested reader to [4] for more details. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Fix a faithful  $*$ -representation  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  into the space of bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ . Denote by  $\ell^2(\Gamma, H)$  the space of square summable  $H$ -valued functions on  $\Gamma$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\ell^2(\Gamma, H)$  by left translation unitaries

$$\tilde{\lambda}_s \xi(t) := \xi(s^{-1}t) \quad (s, t \in \Gamma, \xi \in \ell^2(\Gamma, H)).$$

There is also a  $*$ -representation  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma, H))$  defined by

$$[\sigma(a)\xi](t) := \pi(t^{-1}a)(\xi(t)) \quad (a \in A, \xi \in \ell^2(\Gamma, H), t \in \Gamma).$$

The reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by unitaries  $\{\tilde{\lambda}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$  and operators  $\{\sigma(a) : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$  in  $B(\ell^2(\Gamma, H))$ . Note that  $\tilde{\lambda}_s \sigma(a) \tilde{\lambda}_{s^{-1}} = \sigma(sa)$  for all  $s \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, the group  $\Gamma$  also acts on  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  by inner automorphisms.

We denote by  $\mathbb{E} : \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{A})$  the canonical conditional expectation, which is defined by  $\mathbb{E}(\sigma(a)) = \sigma(a)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_s) = 0$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $s \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ . The map  $\mathbb{E}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant and faithful.

We denote by  $\mu^k$  the  $k$ th convolution power of a measure  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ . Also, for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we denote  $\mu * a = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)s^{-1}a$  for the convolution of  $a$  by  $\mu$ .

The following lemma provides the estimation that will allow us to lift an averaging scheme from the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra to the reduced crossed product.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group, let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any  $t \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have*

$$\|\mu * (\sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma, H))} \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|\mu * \lambda_t\|_{\mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma))}. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* For  $\xi \in \ell^2(\Gamma, H)$ , observe that for each  $t' \in \Gamma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([\mu * (\sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_t)](\xi))(t') &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)[\tilde{\lambda}_s \sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_t \tilde{\lambda}_{s^{-1}}(\xi)](t') \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)[\sigma(sa)\tilde{\lambda}_{st^{-1}s^{-1}}\xi](t') = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)\pi(t'^{-1}sa)[\xi(st^{-1}s^{-1}t')]. \end{aligned}$$

Define the function  $\xi_1(t') = \|\xi(t')\|_H, t' \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\xi_1 \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$  and  $\|\xi_1\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} = \|\xi\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma, H)}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\mu * (\sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_t)](\xi)\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma, H)}^2 &= \sum_{t' \in \Gamma} \|([\mu * (\sigma(a)\tilde{\lambda}_t)](\xi))(t')\|_H^2 \\ &= \sum_{t' \in \Gamma} \left\| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)\pi(t'^{-1}sa)[\xi(st^{-1}s^{-1}t')] \right\|_H^2 \\ &\leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \sum_{t' \in \Gamma} \left( \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)\|\xi(st^{-1}s^{-1}t')\|_H \right)^2 \\ &= \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \left\| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)\lambda_{st^{-1}s^{-1}}(\xi_1) \right\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\ &\leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2 \left\| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \mu(s)\lambda_{st^{-1}s^{-1}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma))}^2 \|\xi_1\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\|\xi_1\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} = \|\xi\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma, H)}$ , the inequality (1) follows. □

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $C^*$ -simple group, let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  be a  $C^*$ -simple measure, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Then*

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k * (a - \mathbb{E}(a)) \right\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for every  $a \in \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there are  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}$  such that for  $b = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma(a_i) \tilde{\lambda}_{t_i} + E(a)$  we have  $\|b - a\|_{\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}} < \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $C^*$ -simple, it follows from [9, Proposition 4.7] that  $\|(1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^n * \lambda_{t_i}\|_{C_r^*(\Gamma)} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Thus, Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k * (b - \mathbb{E}(a)) \right\|_{\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}} &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \mu^k * (\sigma(a_i) \tilde{\lambda}_{t_i}) \right\|_{\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \|a_i\|_{\mathcal{A}} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k * \tilde{\lambda}_{t_i} \right\|_{C_r^*(\Gamma)} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^k * (a - \mathbb{E}(a)) \right\|_{\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}} \leq \varepsilon$$

and, since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, the theorem follows. □

### 3. Stationary states on the reduced crossed product

In this section we prove, for an action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  of a  $C^*$ -simple group, a one-to-one correspondence between stationary states on  $\mathcal{A}$  and stationary states on the reduced crossed product  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ . This correspondence, together with the important feature of stationary states that for any action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  there is a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  [9, Proposition 4.2], are the main ingredients in proving our main result, Theorem 1.1.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $C^*$ -simple group, let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  be a  $C^*$ -simple measure, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Then any  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$  for some  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  be a  $C^*$ -simple measure and let  $\tau$  be a  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $\Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ . Then, for any  $a \in \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ , Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(a - \mathbb{E}(a))| &= |(\mu^n * \tau)(a - \mathbb{E}(a))| = |\tau(\mu^n * (a - \mathbb{E}(a)))| \\ &\leq \|\mu^n * (a - \mathbb{E}(a))\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\tau = \tau \circ \mathbb{E}$ . Thus, if we let  $\nu = \tau|_{\sigma(\mathcal{A})} \circ \sigma$  be the state on  $\mathcal{A}$  obtained from restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \Gamma \rtimes_r \mathcal{A}$ , we see that  $\nu$  is  $\mu$ -stationary and  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$ . □

*Remark 3.2.* A similar bijective correspondence between tracial states on the reduced crossed product and invariant tracial states on  $\mathcal{A}$  was proved by de la Harpe and Skandalis [6] in the case of Powers' groups  $\Gamma$  and by Bryder and Kennedy [5] in the case of  $C^*$ -simple groups  $\Gamma$ .

*Remark 3.3.* The conclusion of the above Theorem 3.1 in the case of trivial action  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$  translates to unique stationarity of the canonical trace on the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ . Thus, it generalizes one direction of [9, Theorem 5.1] and, in fact, combined with the latter, they give a similar characterization of  $C^*$ -simplicity, which we record in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *The following are equivalent for a countable group  $\Gamma$ :*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is  $C^*$ -simple;
- (2) there is  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  such that for any action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ , any  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\Gamma \ltimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$  for some  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
- (3) there is an action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$  such that for some  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ , every  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\Gamma \ltimes_r \mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$  for some  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* By [9, Theorem 5.1], every  $C^*$ -simple group admits a  $C^*$ -simple measure and thus (1)  $\implies$  (2) follows from Theorem 3.1. The implication (2)  $\implies$  (3) is trivial. Now suppose that (3) holds. Then let  $\eta$  be a  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ . By [9, Proposition 4.2],  $\eta$  extends to a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\Gamma \ltimes_r \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\nu$  be the state on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$ . Then for  $s \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$  we have  $\eta(\lambda_s) = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{E}(\lambda_s)) = 0$  and hence  $\eta = \tau_0$ . This shows that  $\tau_0$  is the unique  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $C_r^*(\Gamma)$  and thus  $\Gamma$  is  $C^*$ -simple by [9, Theorem 5.1]. □

#### 4. Proofs of the main results

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable discrete  $C^*$ -simple group and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  be such that all  $\mu$ -stationary states on  $\mathcal{A}$  are faithful. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a unital  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\Gamma \ltimes_r \mathcal{A}$  and let  $I$  be a proper closed two-sided  $\Gamma$ -invariant ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{B}$  induces an action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{B}/I$ . By [9, Proposition 4.2], there exists a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\eta$  on  $\mathcal{B}/I$ . Composing  $\eta$  with the canonical quotient map  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/I$ , we obtain a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tilde{\eta}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  that vanishes on  $I$ . Applying [9, Proposition 4.2] again, this  $\tilde{\eta}$  can be extended to a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\tau$  on  $\Gamma \ltimes_r \mathcal{A}$ . By Theorem 3.1, there is a  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\tau = \nu \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathbb{E}$ . By the assumptions,  $\nu$  is faithful and, since  $\mathbb{E}$  is also faithful, it follows that  $\tau$  is faithful. But  $\tau$  vanishes on  $I$  and hence  $I$  is trivial. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need to work with a generating  $C^*$ -simple measure, existence of which for a  $C^*$ -simple group was not established formally in [9]. But we verify below that a simple tweak in the proof of [9, Theorem 5.1] will do the job.

LEMMA 4.1. (Cf. [9, Theorem 5.1]) *Every countable  $C^*$ -simple group  $\Gamma$  admits a generating  $C^*$ -simple measure.*

*Proof.* It was shown in the proof of [9, Theorem 5.1] that if  $\Gamma$  is a  $C^*$ -simple group, then there is a sequence  $(\mu_n)$  of probabilities on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\|\mu_n * a - \tau_0(a)1_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $a \in C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , and that any such sequence  $(\mu_n)$  has a subsequence  $(\mu_{n_k})$  such that  $\mu := \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1/2^k)\mu_{n_k}$  is a  $C^*$ -simple measure.

Now, consider a sequence  $(\mu_n)$  as above and, for a fixed  $\omega \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$  with full support, let  $\tilde{\mu}_n := \omega * \mu_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then every  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  has full support and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mu}_n * a - \tau_0(a)1_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}\| &= \|\omega * \mu_n * a - \tau_0(a)1_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}\| \\ &= \|\omega * [\mu_n * a - \tau_0(a)1_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}]\| \\ &\leq \|\mu_n * a - \tau_0(a)1_{C_r^*(\Gamma)}\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in C_r^*(\Gamma)$ , which implies, as commented above, that for an appropriately chosen subsequence, that the measure  $\tilde{\mu} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/2^k)\tilde{\mu}_{n_k}$  is  $C^*$ -simple. Since the measures  $\mu_{n_k}$  have full support, so does the  $C^*$ -simple measure  $\tilde{\mu}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable discrete  $C^*$ -simple group and let  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  be a minimal action on the compact space  $X$ . By Lemma 4.1, there is a generating  $C^*$ -simple measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$  be  $\mu$ -stationary. It is not hard to see that  $\text{Supp}(\nu)$  is invariant under the action of elements in  $\text{Supp}(\mu)$  and, since  $\mu$  is generating, the support of  $\nu$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Therefore, by minimality of the action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ , we conclude that  $\text{Supp}(\nu) = X$ . This implies that every  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $C(X)$  is faithful and hence the result follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements.* We thank the anonymous referee for bringing to our attention a result in the reference [5] and for other helpful suggestions. MK was supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1700259.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg. Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **37** (1994), 119–124.
- [2] E. Bédos. Discrete groups and simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **109**(3) (1991), 521–537.
- [3] E. Breuillard, M. Kalantar, M. Kennedy and N. Ozawa.  $C^*$ -simplicity and the unique trace property for discrete groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **126** (2017), 35–71.
- [4] N. Brown and N. Ozawa.  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Finite-dimensional Approximations (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [5] R. S. Bryder and M. Kennedy. Reduced twisted crossed products over  $C^*$ -simple groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **6** (2018), 1638–1655.
- [6] P. de la Harpe and G. Skandalis. Powers' property and simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Ann.* **273** (1986), 241–250.
- [7] G. A. Elliott. Some simple  $C^*$ -algebras constructed as crossed products with discrete outer automorphism groups. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **16**(1) (1980), 299–311.
- [8] U. Haagerup. A new look at  $C^*$ -simplicity and the unique trace property of a group. *Operator Algebras and Applications (The Abel Symposium 2015) (Abel Sympia, 12)*. Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 167–176.
- [9] Y. Hartman and M. Kalantar. Stationary  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Preprint*, 2017, arXiv:1712.10133.
- [10] S. Y. Jang and S. G. Lee. Simplicity of crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118**(3) (1993), 823–826.
- [11] M. Kalantar and M. Kennedy. Boundaries of reduced  $C^*$ -algebras of discrete groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **727** (2017), 247–267.
- [12] S. Kawamura and J. Tomiyama. Properties of topological dynamical systems and corresponding  $C^*$ -algebras. *Tokyo J. Math.* **13** (1990), 251–257.
- [13] M. Kennedy. An intrinsic characterization of  $C^*$ -simplicity. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, accepted. *Preprint*, 2015, arXiv:1509.01870.
- [14] A. Sierakowski. The ideal structure of reduced crossed products. *Münster J. Math.* **3** (2010), 237–261.
- [15] Y. Suzuki. Minimal ambient nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. *Adv. Math.* **304** (2017), 421–433.
- [16] Y. Suzuki. Complete descriptions of intermediate operator algebras by intermediate extensions of dynamical systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, accepted. *Preprint*, 2018, arXiv:1805.02077.
- [17] M. Takesaki. On the cross-norm of the direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* **16** (1964), 111–122.